

## Research Article

# A Bäcklund Transformation for the Burgers Hierarchy

**Xifang Cao and Chuanyou Xu**

*School of Mathematical Sciences, Yangzhou University, Yangzhou 225002, China*

Correspondence should be addressed to Xifang Cao, [xfcao@yzu.edu.cn](mailto:xfcao@yzu.edu.cn)

Received 19 October 2009; Revised 2 February 2010; Accepted 14 March 2010

Academic Editor: John Mallet-Paret

Copyright © 2010 X. Cao and C. Xu. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

We give a Bäcklund transformation in a unified form for each member in the Burgers hierarchy. By applying the Bäcklund transformation to the trivial solutions, we generate some solutions of the Burgers hierarchy.

## 1. Introduction

Let

$$P_{-1} = 1, \quad P_0(u) = u, \quad (1.1)$$

and for  $j \geq 1$ , define the differential expressions  $P_j(u, \dots, \partial_x^j u)$  recursively as follows:

$$P_j(u, \dots, \partial_x^j u) = (u + \partial_x)P_{j-1}(u, \dots, \partial_x^{j-1} u). \quad (1.2)$$

Then the Burgers hierarchy is defined by

$$u_t = \partial_x P_j(u, \dots, \partial_x^j u), \quad j \geq 1. \quad (1.3)$$

The first few members of the hierarchy (1.3) are

$$u_t = 2uu_x + u_{xx}, \quad (1.4)$$

$$u_t = 3u^2 u_x + 3u_x^2 + 3uu_{xx} + u_{xxx}, \quad (1.5)$$

$$u_t = 4u^3 u_x + 12uu_x^2 + 6u^2 u_{xx} + 10u_x u_{xx} + 4uu_{xxx} + u_{xxxx}, \quad (1.6)$$

with (1.4) being just the Burgers equation.

There is much literature on the Burgers hierarchy. Olver [1] derived the hierarchy (1.3) from the point of view of infinitely many symmetries. The work in [2] showed that the Cole-Hopf transformation

$$w \mapsto u = \frac{w_x}{w} \quad (1.7)$$

transforms solutions of the linear equation

$$w_t = \partial_x^{j+1} w \quad (1.8)$$

to that of (1.3). With the help of the Cole-Hopf transformation (1.9), Taflin [3] and Tasso [4] showed, respectively, that the Burgers equation (1.4) and the second member (1.5) of the hierarchy (1.3) can be written in the Hamiltonian form. More recently, Talukdar et al. [5] constructed an appropriate Lagrangian by solving the inverse problem of variational calculus and then Hamiltonized (1.5) to get the relevant Poisson structure. Furthermore, they pointed out that their method is applicable to each member of (1.3). Pickering [6] proved explicitly that each member of (1.3) passes the Weiss-Tabor-Carnevale Painlevé test.

This paper is devoted to the study of Bäcklund transformation for the Burgers hierarchy. Bäcklund transformation was named after the Swedish mathematical physicist and geometer Albert Victor Bäcklund (1845-1922), who found in 1883 [7], when studying the surfaces of constant negative curvature, that the sine-Gordon equation

$$u_{xt} = \sin u \quad (1.9)$$

has the following property: if  $u$  solves (1.9), then for an arbitrary non-zero constant  $\lambda$ , the system on  $v$

$$\begin{aligned} v_x &= u_x - 2\lambda \sin \frac{u+v}{2}, \\ v_t &= -u_t + \frac{2}{\lambda} \sin \frac{u-v}{2} \end{aligned} \quad (1.10)$$

is integrable; moreover,  $v$  also solves (1.9). So (1.10) gives a transformation  $u \mapsto v$ , now called Bäcklund transformation, which takes one solution of (1.9) into another. For example, substituting the trivial solution  $u(x, t) \equiv 0$  into (1.10) yields one-soliton solution:

$$v(x, t) = 4 \arctan \exp\left(\alpha - \lambda x - \frac{1}{\lambda} t\right), \tag{1.11}$$

where  $\alpha$  is an arbitrary constant. By repeating this procedure one can get multiple-soliton solutions. Some other nonlinear partial differential equations (PDEs), such as KdV equation [8]

$$u_t = 6uu_x + u_{xxx}, \tag{1.12}$$

modified KdV equation [9]

$$u_t = u^2u_x + u_{xxx}, \tag{1.13}$$

Burgers equation (1.4) [10], and a generalized Burgers equation [11]

$$u_t + b(t)uu_x + a(t)u_{xx} = 0, \tag{1.14}$$

also possess Bäcklund transformations. Now Bäcklund transformation has become a useful tool for generating solutions to certain nonlinear PDEs. Much literature is devoted to searching Bäcklund transformations for some nonlinear PDEs (see, e.g., [12–15]). In this paper, we give a Bäcklund transformation for each member in the Burgers hierarchy. As an application, by applying our Bäcklund transformation to the trivial solutions, we generate some new solutions of (1.3).

## 2. Bäcklund Transformation

First, the differential expressions  $P_j$  have the following property.

**Theorem 2.1.** *For an arbitrary constant  $\lambda$ , let*

$$u = v + \frac{v_x}{\lambda + v}. \tag{2.1}$$

Then

$$P_j(u, \dots, \partial_x^j u) = \frac{\lambda P_j(v, \dots, \partial_x^j v) + P_{j+1}(v, \dots, \partial_x^{j+1} v)}{\lambda + v}, \quad j \geq 1. \tag{2.2}$$

*Proof.* We use induction to prove (2.2).

First, for  $j = 1$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}
 P_1(u, u_x) &= u^2 + u_x \\
 &= \left(v + \frac{v_x}{\lambda + v}\right)^2 + v_x - \frac{v_x^2}{(\lambda + v)^2} + \frac{v_{xx}}{\lambda + v} \\
 &= \frac{\lambda(v^2 + v_x) + v^3 + 3vv_x + v_{xx}}{\lambda + v} \\
 &= \frac{\lambda P_1(v, v_x) + P_2(v, v_x, v_{xx})}{\lambda + v}.
 \end{aligned} \tag{2.3}$$

So (2.2) is true for  $j = 1$ .

Next, fix a  $k > 1$ , and assume that (2.2) is true for  $j = k - 1$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned}
 P_k(u, \dots, \partial_x^k u) &= \left(v + \frac{v_x}{\lambda + v} + \partial_x\right) P_{k-1}(u, \dots, \partial_x^{k-1} u) \\
 &= \left(v + \frac{v_x}{\lambda + v} + \partial_x\right) \frac{\lambda P_{k-1}(v, \dots, \partial_x^{k-1} v) + P_k(v, \dots, \partial_x^k v)}{\lambda + v} \\
 &= \frac{(v + \partial_x)(\lambda P_{k-1}(v, \dots, \partial_x^{k-1} v) + P_k(v, \dots, \partial_x^k v))}{\lambda + v} \\
 &= \frac{\lambda P_k(v, \dots, \partial_x^k v) + P_{k+1}(v, \dots, \partial_x^{k+1} v)}{\lambda + v};
 \end{aligned} \tag{2.4}$$

that is, (2.2) is valid for  $j = k$ .

Therefore, (2.2) is always true for  $j \geq 1$ . □

Now we state our main result.

**Theorem 2.2.** *If  $u$  is a solution of (1.3), then the system on  $v$*

$$\begin{aligned}
 v_x &= (\lambda + v)(u - v), \\
 v_t &= (\lambda + v) \sum_{k=0}^j (-\lambda)^{j-k} \left( P_k(u, \dots, \partial_x^k u) - v P_{k-1}(u, \dots, \partial_x^{k-1} u) \right)
 \end{aligned} \tag{2.5}$$

*is integrable; moreover,  $v$  also satisfies (1.3). Therefore, (2.5) defines a Bäcklund transformation  $u \mapsto v$ , in a unified form, for each member of the Burgers hierarchy (1.3).*

*Proof.* By (1.3) and (2.5) we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 v_{xt} &= (\lambda + v)(u - v) \sum_{k=0}^j (-\lambda)^{j-k} \left( P_k(u, \dots, \partial_x^k u) - v P_{k-1}(u, \dots, \partial_x^{k-1} u) \right) \\
 &\quad + (\lambda + v) \partial_x P_j(v, \dots, \partial_x^j v) \\
 &\quad - (\lambda + v)^2 \sum_{k=0}^j (-\lambda)^{j-k} \left( P_k(u, \dots, \partial_x^k u) - v P_{k-1}(u, \dots, \partial_x^{k-1} u) \right),
 \end{aligned} \tag{2.6}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 v_{tx} &= (\lambda + v)(u - v) \sum_{k=0}^j (-\lambda)^{j-k} \left( P_k(u, \dots, \partial_x^k u) - v P_{k-1}(u, \dots, \partial_x^{k-1} u) \right) \\
 &\quad + (\lambda + v) \sum_{k=0}^j (-\lambda)^{j-k} \partial_x P_k(v, \dots, \partial_x^j v) \\
 &\quad - v(\lambda + v) \sum_{k=0}^{j-1} (-\lambda)^{j-1-k} \partial_x P_k(v, \dots, \partial_x^j v) \\
 &\quad - (\lambda + v)^2 (u - v) \sum_{k=0}^j (-\lambda)^{j-k} P_{k-1}(u, \dots, \partial_x^k u) \\
 &= (\lambda + v)(u - v) \sum_{k=0}^j (-\lambda)^{j-k} \left( P_k(u, \dots, \partial_x^k u) - v P_{k-1}(u, \dots, \partial_x^{k-1} u) \right) \\
 &\quad + (\lambda + v) \partial_x P_j(v, \dots, \partial_x^j v) - (\lambda + v)^2 \sum_{k=0}^{j-1} (-\lambda)^{j-1-k} \partial_x P_k(v, \dots, \partial_x^j v) \\
 &\quad - (\lambda + v)^2 (u - v) \sum_{k=0}^j (-\lambda)^{j-k} P_{k-1}(u, \dots, \partial_x^k u) \\
 &= (\lambda + v)(u - v) \sum_{k=0}^j (-\lambda)^{j-k} \left( P_k(u, \dots, \partial_x^k u) - v P_{k-1}(u, \dots, \partial_x^{k-1} u) \right) \\
 &\quad + (\lambda + v) \partial_x P_j(v, \dots, \partial_x^j v) \\
 &\quad - (\lambda + v)^2 \sum_{k=0}^j (-\lambda)^{j-k} \left( P_k(u, \dots, \partial_x^k u) - v P_{k-1}(u, \dots, \partial_x^{k-1} u) \right);
 \end{aligned} \tag{2.7}$$

therefore  $v_{xt} = v_{tx}$ ; that is, (2.5) is an integrable system associated with (1.3).

From the first equation of (2.5) we have

$$u = v + \frac{v_x}{\lambda + v}. \tag{2.8}$$

So

$$P_0(u) - v = \frac{v_x}{\lambda + v} = \frac{\partial_x P_0(v)}{\lambda + v}. \quad (2.9)$$

On the other hand, by (2.2)

$$P_k(u, \dots, \partial_x^k u) - v P_{k-1}(u, \dots, \partial_x^{k-1} u) = \frac{\lambda \partial_x P_{k-1}(v, \dots, \partial_x^{k-1} v) + \partial_x P_k(v, \dots, \partial_x^k v)}{\lambda + v}, \quad k \geq 1. \quad (2.10)$$

Substituting (2.9) and (2.10) into the second equation of (2.5) yields

$$v_t = \partial_x P_j(v, \dots, \partial_x^j v); \quad (2.11)$$

that is,  $v$  also satisfies the Burgers hierarchy (1.3).  $\square$

### 3. Exact Solutions

In this section we always assume that  $\lambda$  is an arbitrary nonzero constant.

From a known solution  $u$  of (1.3), the first equation of (2.5) gives

$$v(x, t) = \frac{e^{\int(\lambda+u)dx} - \lambda \int e^{\int(\lambda+u)dx} dx - \lambda c(t)}{\int e^{\int(\lambda+u)dx} dx + c(t)}, \quad (3.1)$$

with the “integration constant”  $c(t)$  satisfying a first-order ordinary differential equation determined by the second equation of (2.5).

*Example 3.1.* Take the trivial solution  $u(x, t) \equiv 1$  of (1.3). Then from (1.2) we have

$$P_j(u, \dots, \partial_x^j u) \equiv 1 \quad \text{for } j \geq 1. \quad (3.2)$$

So (2.5) becomes

$$\begin{aligned} v_x &= (\lambda + v)(1 - v), \\ v_t &= \frac{(\lambda + v)(1 - v)(1 - (-\lambda)^{j+1})}{1 + \lambda}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.3)$$

Solving (3.3) gives the following solution of (1.3):

$$v(x, t) = \frac{e^{(1+\lambda)x+(1+(-1)^j \lambda^{j+1})t} + \lambda e^c}{e^{(1+\lambda)x+(1+(-1)^j \lambda^{j+1})t} - e^c}, \quad (3.4)$$

where  $c$  is an arbitrary constant.

Note that (3.4) is a traveling wave solution.

*Example 3.2.* By the Cole-Hopf transformation (1.7),

$$u(x, t) = \frac{1}{x} \tag{3.5}$$

is a solution of (1.3). Then from (1.2) we have

$$P_j(u, \dots, \partial_x^j u) \equiv 0 \quad \text{for } j \geq 1. \tag{3.6}$$

So (2.5) becomes

$$\begin{aligned} v_x &= (\lambda + v) \left( \frac{1}{x} - v \right), \\ v_t &= (\lambda + v) \left( (-\lambda)^j \left( \frac{1}{x} - v \right) - \frac{(-\lambda)^{j-1} v}{x} \right). \end{aligned} \tag{3.7}$$

Solving (3.7) gives the following solution of (1.3):

$$v(x, t) = \frac{\lambda e^{\lambda(x+(-\lambda)^j t)} + \lambda e^c}{(-1 + \lambda x) e^{\lambda(x+(-\lambda)^j t)} - e^c}. \tag{3.8}$$

Note that (3.8) is not a traveling wave solution.

*Example 3.3.* By the Cole-Hopf transformation (1.7),

$$u(x, t) = \frac{2}{x} \tag{3.9}$$

is a solution of (1.3) for  $j \geq 2$ . Then from (1.2) we have

$$P_1(u, u_x) = \frac{2}{x^2}, \quad P_j(u, \dots, \partial_x^j u) \equiv 0 \quad \text{for } j \geq 2. \tag{3.10}$$

So (2.5) becomes

$$\begin{aligned} v_x &= (\lambda + v) \left( \frac{2}{x} - v \right), \\ v_t &= (\lambda + v) \left( (-\lambda)^j \left( \frac{2}{x} - v \right) + 2(-\lambda)^{j-1} \left( \frac{1}{x^2} - \frac{v}{x} \right) - \frac{2(-\lambda)^{j-2} v}{x^2} \right). \end{aligned} \tag{3.11}$$

Solving (3.11) gives the following solution of (1.3) for  $j \geq 2$ :

$$v(x, t) = \frac{2\lambda(-1 + \lambda x)e^{\lambda(x+(-\lambda)^j t)} + \lambda e^c}{(2 - 2\lambda x + \lambda^2 x^2)e^{\lambda(x+(-\lambda)^j t)} - e^c}. \quad (3.12)$$

Note that (3.12) is not a traveling wave solution.

*Example 3.4.* By the Cole-Hopf transformation (1.7),

$$u(x, t) = \frac{3}{x} \quad (3.13)$$

is a solution of (1.3) for  $j \geq 3$ . Then from (1.2) we have

$$P_1(u, u_x) = \frac{6}{x^2}, \quad P_2(u, u_x, u_{xx}) = \frac{6}{x^3}, \quad P_j(u, \dots, \partial_x^j u) \equiv 0 \quad \text{for } j \geq 3. \quad (3.14)$$

So (2.5) becomes

$$\begin{aligned} v_x &= (\lambda + v) \left( \frac{3}{x} - v \right), \\ v_t &= (\lambda + v) \left( (-\lambda)^j \left( \frac{3}{x} - v \right) + 3(-\lambda)^{j-1} \left( \frac{2}{x^2} - \frac{v}{x} \right) + 6(-\lambda)^{j-2} \left( \frac{1}{x^3} - \frac{v}{x^2} \right) - \frac{6(-\lambda)^{j-3} v}{x^3} \right). \end{aligned} \quad (3.15)$$

Solving (3.15) gives the following solution of (1.3) for  $j \geq 3$ :

$$v(x, t) = \frac{3\lambda(2 - 2\lambda x + \lambda^2 x^2)e^{\lambda(x+(-\lambda)^j t)} + \lambda e^c}{(-6 + 6\lambda x - 3\lambda^2 x^2 + \lambda^3 x^3)e^{\lambda(x+(-\lambda)^j t)} - e^c}. \quad (3.16)$$

Note that (3.16) is not a traveling wave solution.

*Remark 3.5.* In general, for an arbitrary positive integer  $k$ ,

$$u(x, t) = \frac{k}{x} \quad (3.17)$$

is a solution of (1.3) for  $j \geq k$ . Substituting (3.17) into (2.5) gives the following solution of (1.3) for  $j \geq k$ :

$$v(x, t) = \frac{(\partial f(x, x^2, \dots, x^k) / \partial x) e^{\lambda(x+(-\lambda)^j t)} + \lambda e^c}{f(x, x^2, \dots, x^k) e^{\lambda(x+(-\lambda)^j t)} - e^c}, \quad (3.18)$$

where

$$f(x, x^2, \dots, x^k) = (-1)^k k! + (-1)^{k-1} k! \lambda x + (-1)^{k-2} \frac{k!}{2!} \lambda^2 x^2 + \dots - k \lambda^{k-1} x^{k-1} + \lambda^k x^k. \quad (3.19)$$

## Acknowledgment

This work is supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China through the Grant no. 10571149.

## References

- [1] P. J. Olver, "Evolution equations possessing infinitely many symmetries," *Journal of Mathematical Physics*, vol. 18, no. 6, pp. 1212–1215, 1977.
- [2] D. V. Choodnovsky and G. V. Choodnovsky, "Pole expansions of nonlinear partial differential equations," *Nuovo Cimento B*, vol. 40, no. 2, pp. 339–353, 1977.
- [3] E. Taflin, "Analytic linearization, Hamiltonian formalism, and infinite sequences of constants of motion for the Burgers equation," *Physical Review Letters*, vol. 47, no. 20, pp. 1425–1428, 1981.
- [4] H. Tasso, "Hamiltonian formulation of odd Burgers hierarchy," *Journal of Physics A*, vol. 29, no. 23, pp. 7779–7784, 1996.
- [5] B. Talukdar, S. Ghosh, and U. Das, "Inverse variational problem and canonical structure of Burgers equations," *Journal of Mathematical Physics*, vol. 46, no. 4, Article ID 043506, p. 8, 2005.
- [6] A. Pickering, "The Weiss-Tabor-Carnevale Painlevé test and Burgers' hierarchy," *Journal of Mathematical Physics*, vol. 35, no. 2, pp. 821–833, 1994.
- [7] C. Rogers and W. F. Shadwick, *Bäcklund Transformations and Their Applications*, vol. 161 of *Mathematics in Science and Engineering*, Academic Press, New York, NY, USA, 1982.
- [8] H. D. Wahlquist and F. B. Estabrook, "Bäcklund transformation for solutions of the Korteweg-de Vries equation," *Physical Review Letters*, vol. 31, pp. 1386–1390, 1973.
- [9] G. L. Lamb Jr., "Bäcklund transformations for certain nonlinear evolution equations," *Journal of Mathematical Physics*, vol. 15, pp. 2157–2165, 1974.
- [10] Z.-S. Lü, "An explicit Bäcklund transformation of Burgers equation with applications," *Communications in Theoretical Physics*, vol. 44, no. 6, pp. 987–989, 2005.
- [11] W.-P. Hong, "On Bäcklund transformation for a generalized Burgers equation and solitonic solutions," *Physics Letters A*, vol. 268, no. 1-2, pp. 81–84, 2000.
- [12] R. Conte, M. Musette, and A. M. Grundland, "Bäcklund transformation of partial differential equations from the Painlevé-Gambier classification. II. Tzitzéica equation," *Journal of Mathematical Physics*, vol. 40, no. 4, pp. 2092–2106, 1999.
- [13] A. M. Grundland and D. Levi, "On higher-order Riccati equations as Bäcklund transformations," *Journal of Physics A*, vol. 32, no. 21, pp. 3931–3937, 1999.
- [14] D. Levi, "Toward a unification of the various techniques used to integrate nonlinear partial differential equations: Bäcklund and Darboux transformations vs. dressing method," *Reports on Mathematical Physics*, vol. 23, no. 1, pp. 41–56, 1986.
- [15] H. Wu, "On Bäcklund transformations for nonlinear partial differential equations," *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, vol. 192, no. 1, pp. 151–179, 1995.