Long time small solutions to nonlinear parabolic equations

Chen Zhimin

Abstract

A sharp result on global small solutions to the Cauchy problem

$$u_t = \Delta u + f(u, Du, D^2u, u_t)$$
 $(t > 0), u(0) = u_0$

in \mathbb{R}^n is obtained under the assumption that f is \mathbb{C}^{1+r} for r > 2/n and $||u_0||_{\mathbb{C}^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} + ||u_0||_{\mathbb{W}^2_1(\mathbb{R}^n)}$ is small. This implies that the assumption that f is smooth and $||u_0||_{\mathbb{W}^k_1(\mathbb{R}^n)} + ||u_0||_{\mathbb{W}^k_2(\mathbb{R}^n)}$ is small for k large enough, made in earlier work, is unnecessary.

0. Introduction

Let $f \in \mathbb{C}^{1+r}$ in a neighbourhood of the origin and consider the global existence of small solutions to the Cauchy problem

(0.1)
$$u_t = \Delta u + f(u, Du, D^2u, u_t) \quad \text{in} \quad \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}_+, \\ u(0) = u_0 \quad \text{in} \quad \mathbb{R}^n.$$

Here $n \ge 1$, $f(w) = O(|w|^{1+r})$ for small $w \in \mathbb{R}^{n^2+n+2}$, $r \ge 1$ and r > 2/n. It is well known (see [1] and [5]) that the problem

(0.2)
$$u_t = \Delta u + u^{1+r} \quad \text{in} \quad \mathbf{R}^n \times \mathbf{R}_+, \quad r > 0,$$
$$u(0) = u_0 \quad \text{in} \quad \mathbf{R}^n,$$

has a unique global solution provided r>2/n and u_0 is small. In addition, if $r \le 2/n$, then the solution of (0.2) may possibly blow up in a finite time no matter how small u_0 is.

It is the purpose of the paper to deduce the following.

Theorem 0.1. Let $\theta \in (0, 1)$,

(0.3)
$$r \in [1, \infty), \quad r > 2/n, \quad f(w) = O(|w|^{1+r}) \quad \text{as} \quad w \to 0$$

for $w \in \mathbb{R}^{n^2+n+2}$, and $f \in \mathbb{C}^{1+r}$ near the origin. Then there exists a constant $\delta > 0$ such that whenever

$$||u_0||_{C^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} + ||u_0||_{W_1^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} < \delta,$$

(0.1) admits a unique classical solution u such that

$$\sup_{t>0} \left((t+1)^{(1/2)n} (\|u(t)\|_{\mathbf{C}^{2}(\mathbf{R}^{n})} + \|u_{t}(t)\|_{\mathbf{C}(\mathbf{R}^{n})} + t^{(1/2)\theta} ([u(t)]_{\mathbf{C}^{2+\theta}(\mathbf{R}^{n})} + [u_{t}(t)]_{\mathbf{C}^{\theta}(\mathbf{R}^{n})}) \right) \\ + \|u(t)\|_{\mathbf{W}_{1}^{2}(\mathbf{R}^{n})} + \|u_{t}(t)\|_{\mathbf{L}_{1}(\mathbf{R}^{n})} + t^{(1/2)\theta} ([u(t)]_{\mathbf{B}_{1-\infty}^{2+\theta}(\mathbf{R}^{n})} + [u_{t}(t)]_{\mathbf{B}_{1-\infty}^{\theta}(\mathbf{R}^{n})}) \right) < \infty.$$

As a consequence, we have the following by-products.

Corollary 0.1. (Klainerman [2].) Assume

$$r \in N$$
, $(1+1/r)/r > n/2$,

f does not depend on u_t and f is smooth with $f(w) = O(|w|^{1+r})$ for small w. There exists an integer k and a small $\delta > 0$, such that if

$$||u_0||_{\mathbf{W}_{2}^{\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{R}^n)} + ||u_0||_{\mathbf{W}_{2}^{\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{R}^n)} < \delta,$$

then there is a unique global classical solution u of (0.1) such that

$$t^{(1+\varepsilon)/r} \|u(t)\|_{\mathbf{L}_{\infty}(\mathbf{R}^n)} + \|u(t)\|_{\mathbf{L}_{1}(\mathbf{R}^n)} < \infty$$
 as $t \to \infty$

for some small $\varepsilon > 0$.

Corollary 0.2. (Ponce [4].) If f does not depend on u_1 and is a smooth linear function with respect to second derivatives in a neighbourhood of the origin and (0.3) with integer r is valid, then there is an integer k>2+n/2 and a constant $\delta>0$ such that for any u_0 with

$$||u_0||_{\mathbf{W}_{\mathbf{1}}^k(\mathbf{R}^n)} + ||u_0||_{\mathbf{W}_{\mathbf{2}}^k(\mathbf{R}^n)} < \delta,$$

(0.1) has a unique global classical solution u satisfying

$$\sup_{t>0} t^{(1/2)n} \|u(t)\|_{C^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} < \infty.$$

Corollary 0.3. (Zheng-Chen [6], Li-Chen [3].) Assume that f is smooth and satisfies (0.3) with integer r. Then for every integer $k \ge n+5$, there is a small $\delta > 0$, such that for u_0 with

$$||u_0||_{\mathbf{W}_1^k(\mathbf{R}^n)} + ||u_0||_{\mathbf{W}_2^{k+1}(\mathbf{R}^n)} < \delta,$$

(0.1) has a unique classical solution u such that

$$\sup_{t>0} (t+1)^{(1/2)n} (\|u(t)\|_{\mathbf{C}^2(\mathbf{R}^n)} + \|u_t(t)\|_{\mathbf{L}_{\infty}(\mathbf{R}^n)}) < \infty.$$

The outline of the paper is as follows. The first section contains the notation. The second section describes a decay estimate for a linear heat equation. Section 3 contains the proof of Theorem 0.1, which is obtained by means of a fixed point theorem.

1. Notation

We use the following notation in the paper.

 $\mathbf{R}_{+} = (0, \infty)$. N is the set of all nonnegative integers. [s] is the integer part of $s \in \mathbf{R}$. $n \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\}$.

$$x = (x_1, ..., x_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$$
, $D_i = \partial/\partial x_i$, $D^{\alpha} = D_1^{\alpha_1} ... D_n^{\alpha_n}$

with $\alpha = (\alpha_1, ..., \alpha_n) \in \mathbb{N}^n$ and $|\alpha| = \alpha_1 + ... + \alpha_n$. D^k denotes the vector $(D^{\alpha}, ..., D^{\beta})$ for all $\alpha, ..., \beta \in \mathbb{N}^n$ with $|\alpha| = ... = |\beta| = k$. $D^1 = D$, $u_t = \partial u/\partial t$ and Δ denotes the Laplacian.

All functions in the paper are real. c denotes a positive constant which may be different from formula to formula, but is always independent of the variables and functions occurring in a given place. Especially, it does not depend on the "time" variable $t \in \mathbb{R}_+$.

 $\mathbf{W}_{p}^{k}(\mathbf{R}^{n})$, for $k \in \mathbb{N}$, $p \in [1, \infty)$, is the space of functions u on \mathbf{R}^{n} such that

$$||u||_{\mathbf{W}^{k}_{p}(\mathbb{R}^{n})} = ||u||_{k,p} = \sum_{|\alpha| \leq k} ||D^{\alpha}u||_{\mathbf{L}_{p}(\mathbb{R}^{n})} < \infty.$$

 $C(\mathbb{R}^n)$ denotes the set of all bounded and uniformly continuous functions on \mathbb{R}^n .

For $k \in \mathbb{N}$.

$$\mathbf{C}^k(\mathbf{R}^n) = \{ u \mid D^{\alpha}u \in \mathbf{C}(\mathbf{R}^n) \text{ for all } |\alpha| \le k \}$$

endowed with the norm

$$||u||_{\mathbf{C}^{k}(\mathbf{R}^{n})} = ||u||_{k,0} = ||u||_{k} = \sum_{|\alpha| \leq k} ||D^{\alpha}u||_{\mathbf{L}_{\infty}(\mathbf{R}^{n})},$$

 $C^0(\mathbf{R}^n) = C(\mathbf{R}^n)$ and $\|.\|_0 = \|.\|.$

 $C^s(\mathbb{R}^n)$, for $s \in \mathbb{R}_+ \setminus \mathbb{N}$, is the space of all functions $u \in C^{[s]}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with

$$[u]_s = \sup_{x \neq y, x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n} \frac{|D^{[s]}u(x) - D^{[s]}u(y)|}{|x - y|^{s - [s]}} < \infty.$$

Of course, $C^s(\mathbf{R}^n)$ is endowed with the norm

$$||u||_{\mathbf{C}^{s}(\mathbf{R}^{n})} = ||u||_{s} = ||u||_{[s]} + [u]_{s}.$$

 $\mathbf{B}_{1,\infty}^{s}(\mathbf{R}^{n})$, for $s \in \mathbf{R}_{+} \setminus \mathbf{N}$, is the space of all functions $u \in \mathbf{W}_{1}^{s}(\mathbf{R}^{n})$ such that

$$[u]_{s,1} = \sup_{y \in \mathbb{R}^n, y \neq 0} \frac{\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |D^{[s]} u(x+y) - D^{[s]} u(x)| \ dx}{|y|^{s-[s]}} < \infty.$$

Similarly, $B_{1,\infty}^{s}(\mathbb{R}^{n})$ is endowed with the norm

$$||u||_{\mathbf{B}_{1,\infty}^{s}(\mathbf{R}^{n})} = ||u||_{s,1} = ||u||_{[s],1} + [u]_{s,1}.$$

U(t), t>0, is the Gauss—Weierstrass semigroup written in the form

$$U(t)u(x) = (4\pi t)^{-(1/2)n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \exp\left(-|x-y|^2/4t\right) u(y) \, dy.$$

For $\theta \in (0, 1)$, $A_0 = C(\mathbf{R}^n)$ and $A_1 = \mathbf{L_1}(\mathbf{R}^n)$, we denote by $D_A^i(\theta, \infty)$ with i=0, 1 the Banach space of all functions $u \in A_i$ such that

$$|[u]|_{\theta,i} = \sup_{t>0} ||t^{1-\theta} \Delta U(t)u||_{A_i} < \infty$$

endowed with the norm

$$||u||_{D^i_{\Delta}(\theta,\infty)} = ||u||_{A_i} + |[u]|_{\theta,i}.$$

2. An a priori estimate

This section is devoted to deducing a basic a priori estimate for solutions to the linear heat equation

(2.1)
$$u_t = \Delta u + f \text{ in } \mathbf{R}^n \times \mathbf{R}_+, \\ u(0) = u_0 \text{ in } \mathbf{R}^n.$$

Let us begin with two basic lemmas.

Lemma 2.1. Let $\theta \in (0, 1)$, $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and $t \in \mathbb{R}_+$. Then we have

- (i) $||U(t)u|| \le c(t+1)^{-(1/2)n}(||u|| + ||u||_{0,1})$ for $u \in L_1(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap C(\mathbb{R}^n)$,
- (ii) $||D^k U(t)u|| \le ct^{-(1/2)k}||u||$ for $u \in \mathbb{C}(\mathbb{R}^n)$,
- (iii) $||D^k U(t)u||_{0,1} \le ct^{-(1/2)k}||u||_{0,1}$ for $u \in L_1(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

It should be noted that the result is well-known and trivial. It can be deduced immediately from the definition of U(t).

Lemma 2.2. Let $\theta \in (0, 1)$. Then we have

(i)
$$|[u]|_{(1/2)\theta,0} \leq c[u]_{\theta}$$
 for $u \in \mathbb{C}^{\theta}(\mathbb{R}^n)$,

(ii)
$$|[u]|_{(1/2)\theta,1} \leq c[u]_{\theta,1}$$
 for $u \in \mathbf{B}^{\theta}_{1,\infty}(\mathbf{R}^n)$,

(iii)
$$[u]_{\theta,1} \leq c |[u]|_{(1/2)\theta,1}$$
 for $u \in D^1_{\Delta} \left(\frac{1}{2} \theta, \infty\right)$,

(iv)
$$[u]_{\theta} \leq c |[u]|_{(1/2)\theta,0}$$
 for $u \in D_{\Delta}^{0}(\frac{1}{2}\theta, \infty)$.

Proof. The first two inequalities are proved in the same way. For example, for $u \in C^{\theta}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and $t \in \mathbb{R}_+$, we have

$$|\Delta U(t)u(x)| \le ct^{-1-(1/2)n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \exp\left(-|y|^2/6t\right) |u(x+y) - u(x)| \, dy$$

$$\le ct^{-(1/2)n+(1/2)\theta-1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \exp\left(-|y|^2/8t\right) \, dy[u]_{\theta}$$

$$\le ct^{(1/2)\theta-1}[u]_{\theta}.$$

For the proof of (iii), we set

$$R(t, \Delta)u(x) = \int_0^\infty \exp(-st)U(s)u(x) ds \quad \text{for} \quad t > 0, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^n, \quad u \in D_\Delta^1\left(\frac{1}{2}\theta, \infty\right).$$

By lemma 2.1 and the property $\Delta U(t)u=(U(t)u)_t$, we obtain the following

$$t^{(1/2)\theta} \| tR(t, \Delta) u + u \|_{0,1} = t^{(1/2)\theta} \| \Delta R(t, \Delta) u \|_{0,1} \le c,$$

$$t^{1/2} \| DR(t, \Delta) u \|_{0,1} \le c \| u \|_{0,1} \quad \text{and} \quad (R(t, \Delta) u)_t = R^2(t, \Delta) u.$$

Therefore, for $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and t > s > 0, we have

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} |u(x+y)-u(y)| \, dy$$

$$\leq 2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} |tR(t,\Delta)u(y)+u(y)| \, dy+\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} |sR(s,\Delta)u(x+y)-sR(s,\Delta)u(y)| \, dy$$

$$+ \int_{s}^{t} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} |\Delta R^{2}(\tau,\Delta)u(x+y)-\Delta R^{2}(\tau,\Delta)u(y)| \, dy \, d\tau$$

$$\leq ct^{-(1/2)\theta} |[u]|_{(1/2)\theta,1} + cs^{1/2}|x| \, ||u||_{0,1} + c|x| \int_{s}^{t} \tau^{-1/2} ||\Delta R(\tau,\Delta)u||_{0,1} \, d\tau$$

$$\leq c(t^{-(1/2)\theta} |[u]|_{(1/2)\theta,1} + s^{1/2}|x| \, ||u||_{0,1} + t^{1/2 - (1/2)\theta}|x| \cdot |[u]|_{(1/2)\theta,1}.$$

Letting $s \to 0$ and setting $t = |x|^{-2}$, we have (iii).

Similarly, we obtain (iv) and complete the proof.

With the use of the above preparations, we proceed to the proof of the following.

Proposition 2.1. Let $\theta \in (0, 1)$, $n \ge 1$, rn > 2, and let u be the solution of (2.1). Then we have

$$\begin{split} \sup_{t>0} \left((t+1)^{(1/2)n} \left(\|u(t)\|_2 + \|u_t(t)\| + t^{(1/2)\theta} \left(\sum_{k \leq 2} [u(t)]_{k+\theta} + [u_t(t)]_{\theta} \right) \right) \\ + \|u(t)\|_{2,1} + \|u_t(t)\|_{0,1} + t^{(1/2)\theta} \left(\sum_{k \leq 2} [u(t)]_{k+\theta,1} + [u_t(t)]_{\theta,1} \right) \right) \\ & \leq c \left(\|u_0\|_2 + \|u_0\|_{2,1} + \sup_{t>0} (t+1)^{(1/2)nr} \left(\|f(t)\| + \|f(t)\|_{0,1} \right) \right) \\ & + c \sup_{t>0} (t+1)^{(1/2)nr} t^{(1/2)\theta} \left([f(t)]_{\theta} + [f(t)]_{\theta,1} \right) \end{split}$$

provided the right-hand side is finite.

Proof. Since u is the solution of (2.1), we have, for t>0,

$$\begin{aligned} &\|u_t(t)\|_{0,1} + t^{(1/2)\theta} [u_t(t)]_{\theta,1} + (t+1)^{(1/2)n} (\|u_t(t)\| + t^{(1/2)\theta} [u_t(t)]_{\theta}) \\ & \leq \|u(t)\|_{2,1} + t^{(1/2)\theta} [u(t)]_{2+\theta,1} + (t+1)^{(1/2)n} (\|u(t)\|_2 + t^{(1/2)\theta} [u(t)\|_{2+\theta}) \\ & + \|f(t)\|_{0,1} + t^{(1/2)\theta} [f(t)]_{\theta,1} + (t+1)^{(1/2)nr} (\|f(t)\| + t^{(1/2)\theta} [f(t)]_{\theta}). \end{aligned}$$

Hence it suffices to prove the estimate without the u_t terms. Equivalently, the solution u can be written

(2.2)
$$u(t) = U(t)u_0 + \int_0^t U(t-s)f(s) ds.$$

It follows from lemmas 2.1 and 2.2 that

$$(t+1)^{(1/2)n} \|U(t)u_0\|_2 + \|U(t)u_0\|_{2,1} \le c(\|u_0\|_2 + \|u_0\|_{2,1}),$$

and, for k=0, 1, 2,

$$(t+1)^{(1/2)n}t^{(1/2)\theta}[U(t)u_0]_{k+\theta} + t^{(1/2)\theta}[U(t)u_0]_{k+\theta,1}$$

$$\leq ct^{(1/2)\theta}((t+1)^{(1/2)n}\sup_{s>0}s^{1-(1/2)\theta}\|\Delta U(s)D^kU(t)u_0\|$$

$$+\sup_{s>0}s^{1-(1/2)\theta}\|\Delta U(s)D^kU(t)u_0\|_{0,1})$$

$$\leq ct^{(1/2)\theta}\sup_{s>0}s^{1-(1/2)\theta}(s+t)^{-1}(\|D^ku_0\| + \|D^ku_0\|_{0,1})$$

$$\leq c(\|u_0\|_2 + \|u_0\|_{2,1}).$$

Consequently, it remains to estimate the integral term of (2.2). Set

$$M(f) = \sup_{t>0} (t+1)^{(1/2)nr} (\|f(t)\| + \|f(t)\|_{0,1} + t^{(1/2)\theta} ([f(t)]_{\theta} + [f(t)]_{\theta,1})).$$

We calculate, for $t, s \in R_+$ and k=0, 1, 2,

$$\|\Delta U(s)D^{k}\int_{0}^{(1/2)t}U(t-\sigma)f(\sigma)\,d\sigma\|$$

$$= \|\int_{0}^{(1/2)t}U((t+s-\sigma)/2)\Delta D^{k}U((t+s-\sigma)/2)f(\sigma)\,d\sigma\|$$

$$\leq c\int_{0}^{(1/2)t}(t+s-\sigma+1)^{-(1/2)n}(t+s-\sigma)^{-1-(1/2)k}(\|f(\sigma)\|+\|f(\sigma)\|_{0,1})\,d\sigma,$$

by lemma 2.1,

$$\leq c(t+1)^{-(1/2)n}(t+s)^{-1-(1/2)k} \int_{0}^{(1/2)t} (\sigma+1)^{-(1/2)nr} d\sigma M(f)$$

$$\leq c(t+1)^{-(1/2)n} s^{(1/2)\theta-1} t^{-(1/2)\theta} t^{-(1/2)k} (1-(t+1)^{1-(1/2)nr}) M(f)$$

$$\leq c(t+1)^{-(1/2)n} t^{-(1/2)\theta} s^{(1/2)\theta-1} M(f),$$

$$\left\| \Delta U(s) D^k \int_{0}^{(1/2)t} U(t-\sigma) f(\sigma) d\sigma \right\|_{0,1}$$

$$= \left\| \int_{0}^{(1/2)t} \Delta D^k U(t+s-\sigma) f(\sigma) d\sigma \right\|_{0,1}$$

$$\leq c \int_{0}^{(1/2)t} (t+s-\sigma)^{-1-(1/2)k} \|f(\sigma)\|_{0,1} d\sigma,$$

$$\leq c s^{(1/2)\theta-1} t^{-(1/2)\theta} M(f).$$

by lemma 2.1,

and

Moreover, note that

$$(t+1)^{-(1/2)nr} \int_{0}^{t} (s+\sigma)^{(1/2)\theta-1-(1/2)k} d\sigma \leq c s^{(1/2)\theta-1} (t+1)^{-(1/2)nr} t^{1-(1/2)k}$$

$$\leq c s^{(1/2)\theta-1}, \text{ provided } k=0,1,$$

$$(t+1)^{-(1/2)nr} \int_{0}^{t} (s+\sigma)^{(1/2)\theta-1-(1/2)k} d\sigma \leq \int_{0}^{t} (s+\sigma)^{(1/2)\theta-2} d\sigma$$

$$\leq c s^{(1/2)\theta-1}, \text{ provided } k=2,$$

$$(t+1)^{-(1/2)nr} \int_{0}^{t} (s+\sigma+1)^{-(1/2)n} (s+\sigma)^{(1/2)\theta-1-(1/2)k} d\sigma$$

$$\leq (t+1)^{-(1/2)nr} s^{(1/2)\theta-1} \int_{0}^{t} (s+\sigma+1)^{-(1/2)n} d\sigma$$

$$\leq c (t+1)^{-(1/2)n} s^{(1/2)\theta-1}, \text{ provided } k=0,$$

$$(t+1)^{-(1/2)nr} \int_{0}^{t} (s+\sigma+1)^{-(1/2)n} (s+\sigma)^{(1/2)\theta-1-(1/2)k} d\sigma$$

$$\leq (t+1)^{-(1/2)nr} \int_{0}^{t} (s+\sigma+1)^{-(1/2)n} (s+\sigma)^{(1/2)\theta-1-(1/2)k} d\sigma$$

$$\leq (t+1)^{-(1/2)nr} \int_{0}^{t} (s+\sigma+1)^{-(1/2)n} (s+\sigma)^{(1/2)\theta-1-(1/2)k} d\sigma$$

$$\leq (t+1)^{-(1/2)nr} \int_{0}^{t} (s+\sigma)^{(1/2)\theta-2} d\sigma$$

 $\leq c(t+1)^{-(1/2)n}s^{(1/2)\theta-1}$, provided k=1,2.

We have, for k=0, 1, 2,

$$\begin{split} & \left\| \Delta U(s) \, D^k \int_{(1/2)t}^t \, U(t-\sigma) f(\sigma) \, d\sigma \right\|_{0,1} \\ & = \, \left\| \int_{(1/2)t}^t \, D^k U \big((t+s-\sigma)/2 \big) \Delta U \big((t+s-\sigma)/2 \big) f(\sigma) \, d\sigma \right\|_{0,1} \\ & \leq c \int_{(1/2)t}^t \, (t+s-\sigma)^{-(1/2)k} \| \Delta U \big((t+s-\sigma)/2 \big) f(\sigma) \|_{0,1} \, d\sigma, \end{split}$$

by lemma 2.1,

$$\leq c \int_{(1/2)t}^{t} (t+s-\sigma)^{(1/2)\theta-1-(1/2)k} (\sigma+1)^{-(1/2)nr} \sigma^{-(1/2)\theta} d\sigma M(f),$$

by lemma 2.2,

$$\leq c(t+1)^{-(1/2)nr}t^{-(1/2)\theta}\int_0^t (s+\sigma)^{(1/2)\theta-1-(1/2)k}d\sigma M(f)$$

$$\leq cs^{(1/2)\theta-1}t^{-(1/2)\theta}M(f),$$

and

$$\left\| \Delta U(s) D^{k} \int_{(1/2)t}^{t} U(t-\sigma) f(\sigma) d\sigma \right\|$$

$$= \left\| \int_{(1/2)t}^{t} U((t+s-\sigma)/3) D^{k} U((t+s-\sigma)/3) \Delta U((t+s-\sigma)/3) f(\sigma) d\sigma \right\|$$

$$\leq c \int_{(1/2)t}^{t} (t+s-\sigma+1)^{-(1/2)n} (t+s-\sigma)^{(1/2)\theta-1-(1/2)k} ([f(\sigma)]_{\theta,1} + [f(\sigma)]_{\theta}) d\sigma$$

$$\leq c M(f) \int_{(1/2)t}^{t} (t+s-\sigma+1)^{-(1/2)n} (t+s-\sigma)^{(1/2)\theta-1-(1/2)k} (\sigma+1)^{-(1/2)n\tau} \sigma^{-(1/2)\theta} d\sigma$$

$$\leq c M(f) (t+1)^{-(1/2)n\tau} t^{-(1/2)\theta} \int_{0}^{t} (s+\sigma+1)^{-(1/2)n} (s+\sigma)^{(1/2)\theta-1-(1/2)k} d\sigma$$

$$\leq c M(f) s^{(1/2)\theta-1} t^{-(1/2)\theta} (t+1)^{-(1/2)n}.$$

In view of lemma 2.2, we thus have shown that

$$t^{(1/2)\theta}\left(\sum_{k\leq 2} [u(t)]_{k+\theta,1} + (t+1)^{(1/2)n}\left(\sum_{k\leq 2} [u(t)]_{k+\theta} + [u_t(t)]_{\theta}\right)\right) \leq cM(f).$$

Further, let us show that

$$(t+1)^{(1/2)n}\|D^2u(t)\|+\|D^2u(t)\|_{0,1}\leq cM(f).$$

Note that, for t>0 and $v\in D_{\Delta}^{i}(\frac{1}{2}\theta, \infty)$ with i=0, 1,

$$||D^{2}U(t)v(\cdot)||_{0,i} \leq ct^{-1-(1/2)n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \exp(-|y|^{2}/6t) ||v(\cdot+y)-v(\cdot)||_{0,i} dy$$

$$\leq ct^{(1/2)\theta-1}[v]_{\theta,i}.$$

We have

$$\begin{split} \left\| D^2 \int_{(1/2)t}^t U(t-s) f(s) \, ds \right\|_{1,i} &\leq c \int_{(1/2)t}^t (t-s)^{(1/2)\theta - 1} [f(s)]_{\theta,i} \, ds \\ &\leq c (t+1)^{-(1/2)nr} t^{-(1/2)\theta} \int_0^t (t-s)^{(1/2)\theta - 1} \, ds \, M(f) \\ &\leq c (t+1)^{-(1/2)n} M(f), \end{split}$$

and, similarly,

$$\begin{split} & \left\| D^2 \int_0^{(1/2)t} U(t-s) f(s) \, ds \right\|_{0,1} + (t+1)^{(1/2)n} \left\| D^2 \int_0^{(1/2)t} U(t-s) f(s) \, ds \right\| \\ & \leq c \int_0^{(1/2)t} (t-s)^{-1} \left(1 + (t+1)^{(1/2)n} (t-s+1)^{-(1/2)n} \right) \left(\| f(s) \|_{0,1} + \| f(s) \| \right) ds \\ & \leq c t^{-1} \int_0^t (s+1)^{-(1/2)nr} \, ds M(f) \leq c M(f). \end{split}$$

Finally, we show that

$$||u(t)||_{0,1} + (t+1)^{(1/2)n} ||u(t)|| \le cM(f).$$

Indeed, following the above, we have

$$\left\| \int_0^t U(t-s)f(s) \, ds \right\|_{0,1} + (t+1)^{(1/2)n} \left\| \int_0^{(1/2)t} U(t-s)f(s) \, ds \right\| \le cM(f),$$

and, since rn > 2 and $r \ge 1$, we get

$$\left\| \int_{(1/2)t}^{t} U(t-s)f(s) \, ds \right\| \le c \int_{(1/2)t}^{t} (t-s+1)^{-(1/2)n} \left(\|f(s)\| + \|f(s)\|_{0,1} \right) ds$$

$$\le c (t+1)^{-(1/2)nr} \int_{0}^{t} (t-s+1)^{-(1/2)n} \, ds M(f)$$

$$\le c (t+1)^{-(1/2)n} M(f).$$

The proof is complete.

3. Proof of Theorem 0.1

With the use of the Banach fixed point theorem, Theorem 0.1 is, in fact, a simple consequence of Proposition 2.1.

Proof of Theorem 0.1. Let B denote the unit ball of \mathbb{R}^{n^2+n+2} . Without loss of generality, we suppose that $f \in \mathbb{C}^{1+r}(\overline{B})$.

In order to apply the fixed point theorem, we need the following notation.

X is the Banach space of all functions u on $\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}_+$ such that the norm

$$||u||_{\mathbf{X}} = \sup_{t>0} \left((t+1)^{(1/2)n} (||u(t)||_2 + ||u_t(t)||_1 + t^{(1/2)\theta} (\sum_{k \le 2} [u(t)]_{k+\theta} + [u_t(t)]_{\theta}) \right) + ||u(t)||_{2,1} + ||u_t(t)||_{\theta,1} + t^{(1/2)\theta} (\sum_{k \le 2} [u(t)]_{k+\theta,1} + [u_t(t)]_{\theta,1})$$

is finite.

Y is the Banach space of all functions $u \in \mathbb{C}^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ such that the norm

$$||u||_{\mathbf{v}} = ||u||_{2} + ||u||_{2,1}$$

is finite.

For $E \in (0, 1)$,

$$X(E) = \{u \in \mathbf{X} \mid ||u||_{\mathbf{X}} \le E\}, \text{ and } Y(E) = \{u \in \mathbf{Y} \mid ||u||_{\mathbf{Y}} \le E^2\}.$$

For $u \in X(E)$, $u_0 \in Y(E)$ and t > 0, we set

$$u^* = (u, Du, D^2u, u_t), \text{ and } T_{u_0}u(t) = U(t)u_0 + \int_0^t U(t-s)f(u^*(s)) ds.$$

Recall that $f \in \mathbb{C}^{1+r}(\overline{B})$ and $f(w) = O(|w|^{1+r})$ for small $w \in B$. Hence there is a constant $E \in (0, 1)$ such that for $u, v \in X(E)$ and $u_0 \in Y(E)$, the following estimates hold.

$$\begin{split} & [f(u^*(t))]_{0,1} \leq c[u^*(t)]_{\theta,1} \|u^*(t)\|^r \\ & \leq c \Big(\sum_{k \leq 2} [u(t)]_{k+\theta,1} + [u_t(t)]_{\theta,1} \Big) \Big(\|u(t)\|_2 + \|u_t(t)\|^r \\ & \leq ct^{-(1/2)\theta} (t+1)^{-(1/2)nr} \|u\|_{\mathbf{X}}^{1+r}, \\ & \|f(u^*(t))\|_{0,1} \leq c \Big(\|u(t)\|_{2,1} + \|u_t(t)\|_{0,1} \Big) \Big(\|u(t)\|_2 + \|u_t(t)\|^r \Big) \\ & \leq c(t+1)^{-(1/2)nr} \|u\|_{\mathbf{X}}^{1+r}, \\ & t^{(1/2)\theta} [f(u^*(t))]_{\theta} + \|f(u^*(t))\| \leq ct^{(1/2)\theta} [u^*(t)]_{\theta} \|u^*(t)\|^r + \|u^*(t)\|^{1+r} \\ & \leq c(t+1)^{-(1/2)nr} \|u\|_{\mathbf{X}}^{1+r}, \\ & [f(u^*(t)) - f(v^*(t))]_{\theta,1} \\ & \leq c \Big(\|u^*(t)\| + \|v^*(t)\| \Big)^r [u^*(t) - v^*(t)]_{\theta,1} \\ & + c \Big([u^*(t)]_{\theta} + [v^*(t)]_{\theta} \Big) \Big(\|u^*(t)\| + \|v^*(t)\| \Big)^{r-1} \|u^*(t) - v^*(t)\|_{0,1} \\ & \leq ct^{-(1/2)\theta} (t+1)^{-(1/2)nr} (\|u\|_{\mathbf{X}} + \|v\|_{\mathbf{X}})^r \|u-v\|_{\mathbf{X}}, \\ & \|f(u^*(t)) - f(v^*(t))\|_{0,1} \leq c \Big(\|u^*(t)\| + \|v^*(t)\| \Big)^r \|u^*(t) - v^*(t)\|_{0,1} \\ & \leq c(t+1)^{-(1/2)nr} \Big(\|u\|_{\mathbf{X}} + \|v\|_{\mathbf{X}} \Big)^r \|u-v\|_{\mathbf{X}}, \\ & (t+1)^{(1/2)nr} \Big(t^{(1/2)\theta} [f(u^*(t)) - f(v^*(t))]_{\theta} + \|f(u^*(t)) - f(v^*(t))\| \Big) \\ & \leq c (\|u\|_{\mathbf{X}} + \|v\|_{\mathbf{Y}} \Big)^r \|u-v\|_{\mathbf{X}}. \end{split}$$

and

From the above estimates and proposition 2.1, we have

$$\begin{aligned} ||T_{u_0}(u)||_{\mathbf{X}} &\leq c(||u_0||_{\mathbf{Y}} + ||u||_{\mathbf{X}}^{1+r}) \leq cE^2 \leq E \\ ||T_{u_0}(u) - T_{u_0}(v)||_{\mathbf{X}} &\leq c(||u||_{\mathbf{X}} + ||v||_{\mathbf{X}})^r ||u - v||_{\mathbf{X}} \\ &\leq cE||u - v||_{\mathbf{X}} \leq \frac{1}{2} ||u - v||_{\mathbf{X}}, \end{aligned}$$

provided $u, v \in X(E), u_0 \in Y(E)$ with $E \in (0, 1)$ sufficiently small. Taking into account the Banach theorem, we have that the operator T_{u_0} has a unique fixed point $u \in X(E)$ provided $u_0 \in Y(E)$. The proof is complete.

Acknowledgement. The author is grateful to the referee for his valuable criticisms and kind suggestions.

References

- 1. Fuлтa, H., On the blowing up of solution of the Cauchy problem $u_t = \Delta u + u^{1+r}$, J. Fac. Sci. Univ. Tokyo Sect. I 13 (1966), 109—124.
- KLAINERMAN, S., Long time behavior of solutions to nonlinear evolution equations, Arch. Rat. Mechs. Anal. 78 (1982), 73—98.
- 3. LI TATSIEN and CHEN YUNMEI, Nonlinear Evolution Equations, to appear.
- PONCE, G., Global existence of small solutions to a class of nonlinear evolution equations, Nonl. Anal. T. M. A. 9 (1985), 399—418.
- 5. Weissler, F. B., Existence and non-existence of global solutions for a semilinear heat equation, *Israel. J. Math.* 38 (1981), 29—40.
- 6. ZHENG SONGMU and CHEN YUNMEI, Global existence for nonlinear parabolic equations, *Chin.*Ann of Math. 7B (1986), 57—73.

Received May 16, 1989

and

Chen Zhimin
Department of Mathematics
Tianjin University
Tianjin
People's Republic of China