Capacities and extremal plurisubharmonic functions on subset of \mathbb{C}^n

Urban Cegrell *

1. Introduction

Let U be a bounded open subset of \mathbb{C}^n . Denote by PSH(U) the plurisubharmonic functions on U. We construct a capacity D (in Choquet's sense, cf. Choquet [6]) on U, related to the complex structure. A compact set K is of vanishing D-capacity if and only if K is \mathbb{C}^n -polar. (See Josefson [8] and Lelong [9] for definitions and results concerning \mathbb{C}^n -polar sets). We also show that, in many cases, the D-capacity of a compact set K is related to the extremal plurisubharmonic function

$$\overline{\lim}_{z' \to z} \sup \{ \varphi(z'); \ \varphi \leq 0; \ \varphi|_K \leq -1, \ \varphi \in \mathrm{PSH}(U) \}$$

studied by Bedford [2], Siciak [10], Zaharjuta [12] and others.

2. Capacitary functionals

Definition. Let S be a compact space. Denote by T(S) and C(S) the real-valued and the continuous real-valued functions on S respectively. A capacitary functional L is a mapping

$$T(S) \xrightarrow{L} [0, +\infty]$$

such that $L(1) < +\infty$ and

- i) $|\alpha| L(h) = L(\alpha h), \forall \alpha \in \mathbb{R}, \forall h \in T(S).$
- ii) $L(h_1+h_2) \le L(h_1)+L(h_2)$.
- iii) If $0 \le h_1 \le h_2$ then $L(h_1) \le L(h_2)$.
- iv) If $h_n \in C(S)$, $h_n \ge h_{n+1} \ge 0$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$ then $\lim_{n \to \infty} L(h_n) = L(\lim_{n \to \infty} h_n)$.

^{*} Supported by the Swedish Natural Science Research Council contract no. 3435-100.

200 U. Cegrell

Remark. Every capacitary functional is continuous on C(S).

Remark. Anger [1] studies capacitary functional without condition iv).

Definition. Let S be a compact space and L a capacitary functional. Define a set of measures M_L by

$$M_L = \left\{ \mu \ge 0; \int h \, d\mu \le L(h), \, \forall \, h \in C(S) \right\}$$

and \hat{L} by

$$\hat{L}(h) = \sup_{\mu \in M_{\tau}} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} |h| \, d\mu.$$

Remark. Since $0 \le \int d\mu \le L(1) < +\infty \forall \mu \in M_L$, M_L is weakly compact.

Proposition 2.1. For every non-negative upper semicontinuous function h we have $\hat{L}(h)=L(h)$.

Proof. If h is continuous then it follows from the Hahn—Banach theorem that there is a measure μ such that

i)
$$\int h \, d\mu = L(h)$$

ii)
$$\int f d\mu \le L(f) \quad \forall 0 \le f \in C(S)$$

and we can choose μ to be positive (cf. Anger [1]). Hence, we have proved the statement for continuous functions.

Let now h be any upper semicontinuous function on S and choose a decreasing sequence $\{h_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ of continuous functions on S with $\lim_{n\to+\infty} h_n = h$. Since $\hat{L}(h_n) = L(h_n)$, $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}$ it is by iv) enough to prove that

$$\lim_{n\to+\infty}\hat{L}(h_n)=\hat{L}(h).$$

Choose $\mu_n \in M_L$ so that $\hat{L}(h_n) = \int h_n d\mu_n$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$. We can assume that μ_n tends to μ weakly where $\mu \in M_L$. For each fixed m we then have

$$\int h_m d\mu = \lim_{n \to +\infty} \int h_m d\mu_n \ge \lim_{n \to +\infty} \int h_n d\mu_n = \lim_{n \to +\infty} \hat{L}(h_n)$$

so

$$\int h \ d\mu \ge \lim_{n \to +\infty} \hat{L}(h_n) \ge \int h \ d\mu$$

which proves the proposition.

Corollary 2.2. Let M be a weakly compact set of measures. Put

$$A(f) = \sup_{\mu \in M} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |f| d\mu, \quad f \in T(S).$$

Then A(f) is a capacitary functional and

$$P(S)\ni E\mapsto A(\chi_E)$$

is a capacity. Here P(S) denotes the subsets of S and χ_E the characteristic function of E.

Corollary 2.3. For every non-negative universally measurable function h on S we have $\hat{L}(h) \leq L(h)$.

Proposition 2.4. Let S be a compact space and F a convex cone of negative upper semicontinuous functions on S containing all the negative constants. Let R be a negative continuous linear form on F. Then $\overline{R}(h) = \inf \{R(\varphi); \varphi \in F, \varphi \leq -|h|\}$ is a capacitary functional.

Proof. We restrict ourselves to iv) in the definition of capacitary functional. The verification of i)—iii) is easy and will be omitted.

Assume that $\{h_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ is a decreasing sequence of non-negative continuous functions on S. By iii) it is clear that $\overline{R}(h_n) \ge \overline{R}(h)$ where $\lim_{n \to +\infty} h_n = h \ge 0$. Given $\varepsilon > 0$ choose $\varphi \in F$ such that $\varphi < -h + \varepsilon$ and $\overline{R}(h) + \varepsilon > R(\varphi)$. Now $S = \bigcup_n \{\varphi + h_n < \varepsilon\}$ so there is an n_{ε} such that $\varphi - \varepsilon < -h_{n_{\varepsilon}}$ on S. Hence

$$\overline{R}(h_n) \leq R(\varphi - \varepsilon) = R(\varphi) + \varepsilon R(-1), \quad n \geq n_{\varepsilon}$$

so

$$\overline{R}(h) \leq \overline{R}(h_n) \leq \overline{R}(h) + \varepsilon (1 + R(-1)), \quad n \geq n_{\varepsilon}$$

which proves the proposition.

Definition. Let z be a fixed point in the compact set S; we also write z for the linear form on T(S) defined by

$$T(S)\ni h\mapsto -h(z).$$

Then \bar{z} denotes the capacitary functional constructed in Proposition 2.4, $M_z = \{\mu \ge 0; \int h \, d\mu \le \bar{z}(h), h \in C(S)\}$ and $\hat{z}(h) = \sup_{\mu \in M_z} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |h| \, d\mu$ (cf. the definition just before Proposition 2.1.)

Proposition 2.5. If $\mu \in M_z$ then

$$\int \hat{\xi}(\chi_E) \, d\mu(\xi) \leq \hat{z}(\chi_E)$$

for every universally capacitable set E.

Proof. Let K be any compact subset of S. Then $\hat{z}(\chi_K) = \bar{z}(\chi_K)$ by Proposition 2.1. Now, if $\varphi \in F$ and $\mu \in M_z$ then $\varphi(z) \leq \int \varphi \ d\mu$. To see this choose $\{h_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ a decreasing sequence of continuous functions on S with $\lim_{n \to +\infty} h_n = \varphi$. Then

$$-\int \varphi \ d\mu = \lim \int -h_n \ d\mu \leq \lim_{n \to +\infty} \bar{z}(-h_n) \leq \bar{z}(-\varphi) = -\varphi(z).$$

Hence

$$\int \bar{z}(\chi_{K}) d\mu \leq \inf \left\{ -\int \varphi d\mu; \ \varphi \in F; \ \varphi \leq -\chi_{K} \right\}$$

$$\leq \inf \left\{ -\varphi(z); \ \varphi \in F; \ \varphi \leq -\chi_{K} \right\} = \bar{z}(\chi_{K})$$

202 U. Cegreli

so

$$\int \hat{\xi}(\chi_K) \, d\mu(\xi) \leq \hat{z}(\chi_K)$$

and both expressions are capacities which completes the proof of the proposition.

Corollary 2.6. $\hat{z}(\chi_E) = \hat{z}(\xi \mapsto \hat{\xi}(\chi_E))$.

Corollary 2.7. If E is universally capacitable and if $\hat{z}(\chi_E)$ is upper semicontinuous, then $\hat{z}(\chi_E) = \bar{z}(\chi_E)$.

Proof.
$$\bar{z}(\hat{z}(\chi_E)) \ge \bar{z}(\chi_E) \ge \hat{z}(\chi_E) = \hat{z}(\hat{z}(\chi_E)) = \bar{z}(\hat{z}(\chi_E))$$
 so $\hat{z}(\chi_E) = \bar{z}(\chi_E)$.

Corollary 2.8. $\mu \in M_z \Leftrightarrow \varphi(z) \leq \int \varphi \ d\mu \ \forall \varphi \in F$.

Proof. It follows from the proof of Proposition 2.5 that if $\mu \in M_z$ then $\varphi(z) \leq \int \varphi \, d\mu$ for every $\varphi \in F$. Conversely, assume that $\varphi(z) \leq \int \varphi \, d\mu$ for every $\varphi \in F$. Given $0 \leq h \in C(S)$, choose $\varphi \in F$ with $\varphi \leq -h$. Then $\int h \, d\mu \leq \int -\varphi \, d\mu \leq -\varphi(z)$ and it follows that $\int h \, d\mu \leq \bar{z}(h)$ so $\mu \in M_z$ by definition.

Corollary 2.9. For any bounded non-negative function h we have $\hat{z}(h) \leq \bar{z}(h)$.

Proof. Given $\mu \in M_z$. If $0 \le h$ is a bounded function and if $\phi \in F$; $\phi \le -h$ then

$$\int^* h \, d\mu \le \int -\varphi \, d\mu \le -\varphi(z)$$

so $\hat{z}(h) \leq \bar{z}(h)$.

3. Extremal plurisubharmonic functions in a bounded set in Cⁿ

Let U be any bounded set in \mathbb{C}^n . Denote by F the convex cone

$$F = \{ \varphi \in \mathrm{PSH}(U); \ \varphi \leq 0, \lim_{z' \to z} \varphi(z') \text{ exists } \forall \ z \in \partial U \}.$$

(The value $-\infty$ is allowed.) We want to apply the result of the preceding section and so we put for $z \in \overline{U}$

$$\begin{split} & \bar{z}(h) = \inf \left\{ -\varphi(z); \ \varphi \in F, \ \varphi \le -|h| \right\} \\ & M_z = \left\{ \mu \ge 0; \ \int h \ d\mu \le \bar{z}(h), \ \forall \ 0 \le h \in C(\overline{U}) \right\} \\ & \hat{z}(h) = \sup_{\mu \in M_z} \int h \ d\mu. \end{split}$$

We already know that $\hat{z}(h) \leq \bar{z}(h)$ for any bounded function with equality if h is upper semicontinuous.

Consider now the family $(\hat{z}(\chi_E))_{E \in P(U)}$.

Since $\hat{z}(\chi_K) = \bar{z}(\chi_K)$ for every compact set K and since $\bar{z}(\chi_E)$ is Lebesgue-measurable for every E it is clear that $\hat{z}(\chi_K)$ is Lebesgue measurable. By a proof, similar to that of Theorem 3.5 in Cegrell [4] we have the following proposition.

Proposition 3.10. The set function d defined by

$$P(U)\ni E \stackrel{d}{\longmapsto} \int_{U} \hat{z}(\chi_{E}) dz$$

is a subadditive capacity on U.

Proposition 3.11. If $E \subset U$ is \mathbb{C}^n -polar then d(E) = 0. If $E = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} K_n$ where d(E) = 0 and K_n are compact subsets of U then E is \mathbb{C}^n -polar.

Proof. If E is Cⁿ-polar then $\bar{z}(\chi_E)=0$ a.e. (cf. Siciak [10]) and since $\hat{z}(\chi_E) \leq \bar{z}(\chi_E)$ (Corollary 2.9) we have d(E)=0.

On the other hand, if $E = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} K_n$ where K_n are compacts and d(E) = 0, we have to prove that E is \mathbb{C}^n -polar. It is enough to prove that K_n is \mathbb{C}^n -polar. But $\hat{z}(\chi_{K_n}) = \bar{z}(\chi_{K_n})$ by Proposition 2.1 and we conclude that K_n is \mathbb{C}^n -polar (cf. Siciak [10]).

Remark. Proposition 3.11 is also proved by Gamelin and Sibony [7, p. 62].

Theorem 3.12. Denote by L the capacitary functional

$$h \mapsto L(h) = \int_U \bar{z}(h) dz,$$

by D the capacity

$$P(U)\ni E\mapsto D(E)=\sup_{\mu\in M_L}\mu^*(E)$$

and by d the capacity defined in proposition 3.10. Then d(E)=D(E) for every universally capacitable set.

Proof. We know that d and D are capacities, so it is sufficient to prove that d(K) = D(K) for every compact set K. But then, again by Proposition 2.1, we have

$$D(K) = \sup_{\mu \in M_T} \mu(K) = \int_U \overline{z}(\chi_K) dz = \int_U \hat{z}(\chi_K) dz = d(K).$$

Remark. We know that $L(\chi_E)=0$ if and only if E is \mathbb{C}^n -polar but we do not know if D(E)=0 implies that E is \mathbb{C}^n -polar. This problem is equivalent to the following problem:

If a Borel set has the property that every compact subset in \mathbb{C}^n -polar, is the set then necessarily \mathbb{C}^n -polar? Thus, this question has a positive answer if and only if there is a capacity vanishing exactly on the \mathbb{C}^n -polar sets. In that case D is such a capacity. In any case, the following proposition is easily proved.

Proposition 3.13. Let E be a subset of U. Then E is \mathbb{C}^n -polar if and only if there is a decreasing sequence $\{O_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ of open sets containing E such that $\lim_{n\to+\infty} D(O_n)=0$.

204 U. Cegrell

4. Extremal plurisubharmonic functions in regular domains

Let U be an open and bounded subset of \mathbb{C}^n . If there is a $\psi \in PSH(U)$ such that

$$A_{\alpha} = \{z \in U; \ \psi(z) < \alpha\}$$

is relatively compact in U for every $\alpha < 0$ and $U = \bigcup_{\alpha < 0} A_{\alpha}$ then U is called P-regular (cf. Siciak [10] and Zaharjuta [12]) or hyperconvex (cf. Berg [3] and Stehlé [11]). If ψ can be extended to a plurisubharmonic function in a neighborhood of \overline{U} we say that U is essential or amply P-regular (cf. Zaharjuta [13]).

Consider the following extremal plurisubharmonic function (cf. Bedford [2], Siciak [10] and Zaharjuta [12]):

$$h_E(z) = \sup \{ \varphi(z); \ \varphi \in PSH(U); \ \varphi \le 0, \ \varphi|_E \le -1 \}.$$

If U is P-regular and K compact in U then it is clear that

$$h_{\kappa}(z) = -\bar{z}(\gamma_{\kappa}) = -\hat{z}(\gamma_{\kappa})$$

and since

$$P(U)\ni E\mapsto \hat{z}(\chi_E)$$

is a capacity we have proved the following theorem, which gives a partial answer to a conjecture posed by Siciack in [10, p. 149].

Theorem 4.14. Assume that U is P-regular and that $\{K_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ is an increasing sequence of compact subsets of U such that $\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} K_n = K_{\infty}$ is compact in U. Then $\lim_{n \to +\infty} h_{K_n} = h_{K_{\infty}}$.

Remark. This is a partial answer to a conjecture posed by Siciak [10, p. 149]. This result is also used implicitly in Zaharjuta [12, Lemma 6].

Lemma 4.15. Assume that U is P-regular and that K is compact in U. Then $\hat{z}(\chi_K)$ is upper semicontinuous.

Proof. Given $\varepsilon > 0$. Let N be any compact subset of U and choose M such that $M\psi \le \varphi - \varepsilon$ on N where $\varphi \in \mathrm{PSH}(U)$, $\varphi \le 0$ and $\varphi|_K = -1$. Choose $(\varphi_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$ a decreasing sequence of negative continuous plurisubharmonic functions, defined near $\{M\psi < -\varepsilon\}$, with limit φ . Take n so large that $\varphi_n - \varepsilon < -1$ on K and put

$$\theta = \begin{cases} \sup (\varphi_n - \varepsilon, M\psi), & z \in \{M\psi < -\varepsilon\} \\ M\psi, & z \in \{M\psi \ge -\varepsilon\}. \end{cases}$$

It is clear that $\theta \in PSH(U)$ and that $\theta \le -1$ on K.

Furthermore, θ is continuous on N since $\theta = \varphi_n - \varepsilon$ on N. Thus h_K can be written as a supremum of continuous functions on N. Since N was any compact subset of U and since $\hat{z}(\chi_K) = -h_K(z)$ the lemma is proved.

Remark. See also Zaharjuta [13, Theorem 3.1].

Corollary 4.16. It follows from Lemma 4.15 that if U is P-regular, then $(\hat{z}(\chi_E))_{E \in P(N)}$ is a swarm for every compact subset N of U.

In particular, by Theorem 3.6 in Cegrell [4] $\hat{z}(\chi_E)$ is a universally capacitable function for every universally capacitable set E.

Note. A class of non-negative functions $(L_E)_{E \in P(V)}$, is called a swarm if the following two conditions hold:

- i) $E \mapsto L_E(x)$ is a capacity for every fixed x,
- ii) L_K is an upper semi-continuous function with compact support for every compact set K.

For the rest of this section we assume that U is amply P-regular in \mathbb{C}^2 . We wish to study the connections between the capacity C defined in Bedford [2] and the capacity D defined in Section 3. It is not known if C is a capacity in Choquet's sense.

Theorem 4.17. To every compact subset N of U there is a constant C_N such that

$$C(K) \leq C_N D(K)$$

for every compact subset K of N.

Proof. Let N be any compact subset of U. By the same method as in Cegrell [5, Section 6], we prove that there is a constant C_N such that

$$\int_{N} dd^{c} \varphi \Lambda \, dd^{c} \eta \leq C_{N} \|\varphi\|_{U} |\eta|_{U} \tag{*}$$

for all $\varphi \in \mathrm{PSH}(U) \cap L^{\infty}(U)$ and all $\eta \in \mathrm{PSH}(U) \cap L^{1}(U)$. Here $\|\cdot\|_{U}$ is the L^{∞} -norm and $|\cdot|_{U}$ the L^{1} -norm. To prove (*) we choose θ to be a testfunction on U which is equal to 1 near N and $0 \le \theta \le 1$. We then have

$$0 \leq \int_{N} dd^{c} \varphi \Lambda \, dd^{c} \eta \leq \int_{U} \varphi \, dd^{c} \eta \Lambda \, dd^{c} \theta.$$

The right hand side of this inequality defines, for θ fixed, a bilinear form on $[\delta\text{-PSH}(U)\cap L^{\infty}(U)] \times \delta\text{-PSH}(U)$. (See [5] for the notation of delta-plurisubharmonic functions). To prove continuity of this form, it is enough to prove separate continuity and this follows from Cegrell [5, Theorem 2.3.1] since

$$\int_{U} \varphi \, dd^{c} \eta \Lambda dd^{c} \theta \ge 0 \quad \text{for} \quad \varphi, \, \eta \in \text{PSH}(U), \, \varphi \in L^{\infty}(U).$$

This completes the proof of (*).

Now, if K is compact in N, we have

$$C(K) \leq \int_{N} dd^{c} \left(-\hat{z}(\chi_{K})\right) \Lambda dd^{c} \left(-\hat{z}(\chi_{K})\right) \leq C_{N} \int_{U} \hat{z}(\chi_{K}) dz$$

so $C(K) \leq C_N D(K)$.

Remark. By Bedford [2, Proposition 4.1] we have for every pair of compact subsets K and K' of N:

$$\int \frac{\left(dd^c(-\hat{z}(\chi_{K'}))\right)^2 \chi_K}{C_N} \leq \int \frac{\left(d\dot{d}^c(-\hat{z}(\chi_K))\right)^2 \chi_K}{C_N}$$

so, by Theorem 4.17, for every K compact in N the measures

$$\frac{\left(dd^c(-\hat{z}(\chi_K))\right)^2}{C_N}\chi_N\in M_D$$

where $M_D = \{ \mu \ge 0; \ \mu(k) \le D(K), \ \forall K \text{ compact in } U \}$. (Compare with Theorem 3.12.)

References

- Anger, B. and Lembcke, J., Hahn—Banach type theorems for hypolinear functionals. Math. Ann. 209 (1974), 127—151.
- 2. BEDFORD, E., Extremal plurisubharmonic functions and pluripolar sets in C2. Preprint 1979.
- 3. Berg, G., Bounded holomorphic functions of several complex variables. U.U.D.M. 1979: 3.
- 4. CEGRELL, U., On product capacities with application to complex analysis. Preprint 1979.
- 5. CEGRELL, U., Delta-plurisubharmonic functions. Math. Scand. 43 (1978), 343-352.
- 6. CHOQUET, G., Lectures on analysis. W. A. Benjamin, New York and Amsterdam 1969.
- 7. GAMELIN, T. W. and SIBONY, N., Subharmonicity for uniform algebras. Preprint 1978.
- 8. Josefson, B., On the equivalence between locally polar and globally polar sets for plurisub-harmonic functions in Cⁿ. Ark. Mat. 16 (1978), 109—115.
- 9. Lelong, P., Fonctions entières de type exponentiel dans Cⁿ. Ann. Inst. Fourier 16 (1966), 269—318.
- SICIAK, J., Extremal plurisubharmonic functions in Cⁿ. Proc. of the first Finnish—Polish Summerschool in complex analysis at Podlesice, Lodz 1977.
- STEHLÉ, J.-L., Fonctions plurisousharmoniques et convexité holomorphe de certains fibrés analytiques. Lecture Notes in Math. 474. Springer Verlag (1975), 155—179.
- ZAHARJUTA, V. P., Separately analytic functions, generalizations of Hartogs' theorem and envelopes of holomorphy. *Mat. Shornik* 101 (1976)=*Math. USSR Shornik* 30 (1976), 51—67.
- ZAHARJUTA, V. P., Extremal plurisubharmonic functions, Hilbert scales and isomorphism of spaces of analytic functions of several variables (in Russian). Theory of functions, Functional analysis and their applications 19 (1974), 133—157.

Received August 22, 1979

Urban Cegrell
Uppsala University
Department of Mathematics
Thunbergsvägen 3
S—752 38 Uppsala, Sweden