## On the synthesis problem for orbits of Lie groups in $\mathbb{R}^n$

W. Kirsch, D. Müller

0.

The content of this paper is essentially that of our "Diplomarbeit" written at the university of Bielefeld under the guidance of Prof. H. Leptin.

1.

Let  $F_1(\mathbf{R}^n)$  be the subalgebra of all functions in  $C_{\infty}(\mathbf{R}^n)$ , which are Fourier transforms of functions in  $L_1(\mathbf{R}^n)$ . The elements of the dual  $PM(\mathbf{R}^n)$  of  $F_1(\mathbf{R}^n)$  are called pseudomeasures. Considered as distributions the pseudomeasures are just the Fourier transforms of essentially bounded measurable functions.

For a closed ideal I in  $F_1(\mathbb{R}^n)$  the cospectrum of I is defined as the set of common zeros of the functions in I.

For a closed subset E of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , we denote by j(E) the smallest, by k(E) the biggest closed ideal with cospectrum E.

It is well known that the quotient algebra

$$r(E) := k(E)/j(E)$$

is a radical algebra.

For certain manifolds it has been proved that r(E) is even nilpotent. E is said to be of spectral synthesis if  $r(E) = \{0\}$ .

So C. Herz [4] showed for the circle  $S^1$  that  $r(S^1) = \{0\}$ , and Varopoulos [11] proved more generally that for the (n-1)-dimensional sphere  $S^{n-1}$  the algebra  $r(S^{n-1})$  is nilpotent of degree  $\left[\frac{n+1}{2}\right]$ . F. Lust [8] discovered that  $r(E) = \{0\}$  for each closed orbit of a one-parameter group.

Y. Domar [1] proved that for compact subsets E of (n-1)-dimensional submanifolds of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  with non-vanishing curvature the algebra r(E) is nilpotent of degree  $\left[\frac{n+1}{2}\right]$  provided that E satisfies a certain technical condition. He also gave an example [2] of a  $C^{\infty}$ -curve in  $\mathbb{R}^2$  without spectral synthesis, thus showing that the curvature condition is essential. In this paper we investigate orbits in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  under the action of a general connected subgroup G of  $GL(n, \mathbb{R})$ . Among other things we shall prove that if E is a closed orbit of dimension m, then  $r(E)^{\left[\frac{m}{2}+1\right]}=\{0\}$ . This follows easily from a more general theorem (Theorem 1) about certain compact subsets of a general, not necessarily closed orbit  $\omega = Gx_0 = \{gx_0; g \in G\}, x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$ , of G in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . The result on r(E), E closed, was already conjectured by H. Leptin in [6].

The proof of Theorem 1 follows the line of the proof Domar gave for his main theorem in [1]. We also thank Prof. Domar for his interest and valuable comments on the subjects of this paper.

2.

In the following we denote by G a connected Lie group acting connuously on  $\mathbb{R}^n$  by linear transformations.

For  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$  let

$$\omega = Gx$$

be the orbit through x and  $H:=H_x$  the stabilizer of x.

Transferring the  $C^{\infty}$ -structure of G/H to  $\omega$  via the canonical map ing we consider  $\omega$  as a regular submanifold of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ .

While for closed orbits the topology defined by the  $C^{\infty}$ -structure is qual to the topology induced by  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , this is not true in general. (see Helgason [3], Ch. II, Ex.; Hochschild [5]). Nevertheless both topologies induce the same topology on any compact subset of the manifold  $\omega$ .

The following definitions carry over the notion of the "restricted cone property" used by Domar in [1] to our situation.

A subset P of G is called an approximation set, if the identity e of G lies in the closure of the interior of P.

A compact subset E of  $\omega$  is said to have the *convolution property*, if for every  $x \in E$  there exist a neighbourhood  $U_x$  of x in E and an approximation set  $P_x$ , such that  $P_x \overline{U}_x \subset E$ .

Now we can state our main result:

**Theorem 1.** If  $\omega$  is an m-dimensional orbit of G and E a compact subset of  $\omega$  having the convolution property, then

$$r(E)^{[m/2+1]} = \{0\}.$$

This theorem contains for m=1 the result of F. Lust [8]. Of course for n>1 the degree of nilpotency of r(E) may be smaller than  $\left[\frac{m}{2}+1\right]$ , e.g.  $r(E)=\{0\}$  for every flat orbit E. The determination of the exact degree of nilpotency of r(E) would require a Littman type estimate of the Fourier—Stieltjes transforms of measures supported by E (see Littman [7]).

3.

I. Now we shall prove Theorem 1.

In the following we denote by E a compact subset of  $\omega$  having the convolution property.

The following definitions are essentially due to Domar [1]:

- 1°. Let B(E) denote the space of all bounded measures on  $\mathbb{R}^n$  with support in E. B(E) can be considered as a subspace of  $PM(\mathbb{R}^n)$ .
- 2°. For every integer  $i \ge 1$ , let  $J_i(E)$  denote the space of all test functions in  $\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , which vanish on E together with all partial derivatives up to the order i-1.
  - 3°. For every integer  $i \ge 1$ , let  $C_i(E)$  denote the annihilator of  $J_i(E)$  in  $PM(\mathbb{R}^n)$ .

The closure of B(E) is just the annihilator of k(E) in  $PM(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , where the closure is taken in the weak\* topology  $\sigma(PM(\mathbb{R}^n), F_1(\mathbb{R}^n))$ . Obviously we have  $J_1(E)^i \subset J_i(E)$ , hence  $\overline{J_1(E)}^i \subset \overline{J_i(E)}$  for every integer  $i \ge 1$ .

The following theorem is an easy generalization of Domar's theorem 2.9.4° in [1]:

**Theorem 2.** Let M be a smooth, m-dimensional submanifold of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  and let E be a compact subset of M. Furthermore suppose that  $f \in F_1(\mathbb{R}^n)$  has compact support,  $T \in PM(\mathbb{R}^n)$  has its support in E and

$$|\hat{T}(x)| = \mathcal{O}(|x|^{\tau})$$
 as  $x \to \infty$ , where  $-\frac{n}{2} < \tau \le 0$ . Let

$$|f|_{\varepsilon,\infty} := \sup \{|f(x)|; \operatorname{dist}(x,E) \le 2\varepsilon\}.$$

Then

$$\langle T, f \rangle = \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^{-\tau - (m/2)} |f|_{\varepsilon, \infty})$$

as  $\varepsilon \to 0$ .

This result goes back to Beurling, Pollard and Herz. We omit the proof, because the proof given by Domar in [1] can be adopted with only slight changes.

We apply Theorem 2 to functions  $f \in J_i(E)$ . Using Taylor expansion of f around boundary points of E, we derive easily the estimate

$$|f|_{\varepsilon,\infty}=\mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^i).$$

For  $T \in PM(\mathbb{R}^n)$  clearly

$$|\hat{T}(x)| = \mathcal{O}(1)$$
 as  $|x| \to \infty$ .

Thus Theorem 2 yields

$$\langle T, f \rangle = 0$$

for all  $f \in J_{\lfloor m/2+1 \rfloor}(E)$  and  $T \in PM(\mathbb{R}^n)$  with supp  $T \subset E$ .

Consequently, by Hahn-Banach theorem, we have proved

$$\overline{J_{\lceil m/2+1\rceil}(E)}=j(E),$$

because the annihilator of j(E) consists precisely of all pseudomeasures T with supp  $T \subset E$ .

Remembering that  $\overline{J_1(E)}^{[m/2+1]} \subset \overline{J_{[m/2+1]}}$ , we have shown:

Corollary 1.  $\overline{J_1(E)}^{[m/2+1]} = j(E)$ .

To prove  $r(E)^{\lfloor m/2+1\rfloor} = \{0\}$ , it is now sufficient to show

$$\widehat{J_1(E)} = k(E)$$

or, equivalently,

$$\overline{B(E)} = C_1(E).$$

II. The aim of this section is the proof of the following proposition, which will also finish the proof of Theorem 1:

**Proposition 1.** If E is a compact subset of  $\omega$  which has the convolution property, then every  $T \in C_1(E)$  is the weak\* limit of a sequence  $\{T_{\nu}\}_{\nu}$  of measures in B(E).

We shall use the following two lemmas. The first one is a localisation lemma due to Domar.

**Lemma 1.** Assume that every point  $x \in E$  has an open neighbourhood  $U_x \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  such that every  $T \in C_1(E \cap \overline{U}_x)$  is the weak\* limit of a sequence  $\{T_v\}_v \subset B(E)$ . Then every  $S \in C_1(E)$  is the weak\* limit of a sequence  $\{S_v\}_v$  of measures in B(E).

The proof can be found in Domar [1].

**Lemma 2.** If E is a compact subset of  $\omega$  which has the convolution property, then for every  $x \in E$  and every neighbourhood V of x in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  there exist neighbourhoods  $V_1$  and  $V_2$  of x in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  and an approximation set  $P \subset G$ , such that

$$\overline{V}_1 \subset V_2 \subset \overline{V}_2 \subset V$$
 and  $P \cdot (\overline{V}_1 \cap E) \subset V_2 \cap E$ .

Proof. There exist an open neighbourhood  $\theta$  of x in E and an approximation set  $P' \subset G$ , such that  $P' \cdot \overline{\theta} \subset E$ . We have  $\theta = U \cap E$  for some neighbourhood U of x in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . We choose a neighbourhood  $V_2'$  of x in E, such that  $V_2' \subset \overline{V_2'} \subset V$ , and set  $V_2 := U \cap V_2'$ , hence  $\overline{V_2} \subset \overline{U} \cap \overline{V_2'} \subset V$ . There exist a neighbourhood W of e in G and a neighbourhood  $V_x$  of x in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , such that  $W \cdot V_x \subset V_2$ . Choosing a neighbourhood

bourhood  $V_1$  of x in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  such that  $\overline{V_1} \subset V_x$ , we get  $\overline{V_1} \subset U$ . For  $P := P' \cap W$  we easily obtain  $P(\overline{V_1} \cap E) \subset V_2 \cap E$ .

Let  $x_0 \in E$ . Lemma 2 allows us to choose suitable neighbourhoods  $U_{x_0}$ ,  $V_2$  and V of  $x_0$  in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , an approximation set P and an open subset  $\Omega \subset \omega$ , for which  $\Omega \cap E = V \cap E$ , such that

$$\overline{U_{x_0}} \subset V_2 \subset \overline{V_2} \subset V$$
,  $P \cdot (\overline{U_{x_0}} \cap E) \subset V_2 \cap E$ ,

and such that  $\Omega$  is covered both by a chart defined by the exponential mapping and by a chart  $(\Omega', \Psi)$ , where  $\Psi$  is of the form

$$\Psi: x' \to (x', \psi(x')), x' \in \Omega' \subset \mathbb{R}^m, \psi \in C^{\infty}(\Omega', \mathbb{R}^{n-m}).$$

Now, by Lemma 1, it suffices to show that every  $T \in C_1(E \cap \overline{U_{x_0}})$  is the weak\* limit of a sequence  $\{T_v\}_v \subset B(E)$ . We shall prove this by using regularisations of pseudomeasures.

The group G acts continuously on  $F_1(\mathbf{R}^n)$  by isometries, explicitly

$$f_a(x) := f(g^{-1}x), \text{ if } f \in F_1(\mathbf{R}^n), g \in G, x \in \mathbf{R}^n.$$

Let M(G) denote the algebra of bounded Radon measures on G. For  $\mu \in M(G)$ ,  $f \in F_1(\mathbb{R}^n)$  let

$$f_{\mu} := \int_{G} f_{g} d\mu(g).$$

It is clear that  $F_1(\mathbb{R}^n)$  may be regarded as a Banach M(G)-module. Choosing a fixed left Haar measure dg on G we identify a function  $f \in L^1(G)$  with the measure f dg. We define an action of  $\mu \in M(G)$  on  $PM(\mathbb{R}^n)$  by

$$\langle T_{\mu}, f \rangle := \langle T, f_{\mu} \rangle$$
 for  $T \in PM(\mathbf{R}^n)$ ,  $f \in F_1(\mathbf{R}^n)$ .

If  $\mu_{\nu}$  is a sequence of positive measures with total mass one, such that  $\mu_{\nu}(\mathbf{f}U) \rightarrow 0$  for every open neighbourhood U of  $e \in G$ , then

$$T_{\mu_{\nu}} \to T$$
 in the weak\* topology for every  $T \in PM(\mathbb{R}^n)$ .

Now let  $T \in C_1(E \cap \overline{U_{x_0}})$ , and let P be the approximation set choosen before.

Choose a sequence  $\{\varphi_{\nu}\}_{\nu}$  of functions in  $\mathcal{D}(G)$  such that

$$\varphi_{\nu} \ge 0$$
,  $\int \varphi_{\nu} dg = 1$ , supp  $\varphi_{\nu} \subset P^{-1}$  for every  $\nu$ ,

and such that  $\int_{\mathbb{Q}U} \varphi_v dg \to 0$  for every open neighbourhood U of  $e \in G$ . Thus we have  $T = \lim_{\varphi_v} T_{\varphi_v}$ .

To prove Proposition 1 and hence Theorem 1 it remains to show that  $T_{\varphi_{\nu}} \in B(E)$ . In the following we restrict our considerations to functions and distributions on  $\Omega' \times \mathbb{R}^{n-m}$ , because for every  $T \in C_1(E \cap \overline{U_{x_0}})$  we have supp  $T \subset \Omega' \times \mathbb{R}^{n-m}$  and supp  $T_{\varphi_{\nu}} \subset \Omega' \times \mathbb{R}^{n-m}$ .

We define a diffeomorphism  $T: \Omega' \times \mathbb{R}^{n-m} \to \Omega' \times \mathbb{R}^{n-m}$  by

$$\Gamma(x', x'') := (x', \psi(x') - x'').$$

For  $\varphi \in \mathscr{D}(\Omega' \times \mathbb{R}^{n-m})$  we set  $\varphi^{\Gamma} := \varphi \circ \Gamma$ , and for  $S \in \mathscr{D}'(\Omega' \times \mathbb{R}^{n-m})$  we define  $S^{\Gamma}$  by

$$\langle S^{\Gamma}, \varphi \rangle := \langle S, \varphi^{\Gamma} \rangle.$$

Let  $T \in C_1(E \cap \overline{U_{x_0}})$ ; then supp  $T^r \subset \Omega' \times \{0\} \subset \Omega \times \mathbb{R}^{n-m}$ .

For a multi-index  $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^{n-m}$  we denote by  $D_{x''}^{\alpha}$  the partial derivation

$$\frac{\partial^{\alpha_1}}{\partial^{\alpha_1} \chi_1''} \cdots \frac{\partial^{\alpha_{n-m}}}{\partial^{\alpha_{n-m}} \chi_{n-m}''}$$

with respect to the decomposition x=(x',x'') for  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $x' \in \mathbb{R}^m$ ,  $x'' \in \mathbb{R}^{n-m}$ .

Then it is wellknown (Schwartz [10]) that for some unique distributions  $t_{\alpha} \in \mathcal{D}'(\Omega')$  with

 $(\operatorname{supp} t_{\alpha}) \times \{0\} \subset \operatorname{supp} T^{\Gamma} \subset \Psi^{-1}(E \cap \overline{U_{x_0}}) \times \{0\}$  we have

$$T^{\Gamma} = \sum_{|\alpha| \leq p} D_{x''}^{\alpha}(\bar{t}_{\alpha}),$$

where  $l_{\alpha}$  denotes the extension of  $l_{\alpha}$  onto  $\Omega' \times \mathbb{R}^{n-m}$ , and p denotes the order of  $T^{\Gamma}$ . Now choose a multi-index  $\beta \in \mathbb{N}^{n-m}$ ,  $0 < |\beta| \le p$ , and a test function  $\xi \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^{n-m})$ ,  $\xi \equiv 1$  in a neighbourhood of the origin of  $\mathbb{R}^{n-m}$ . For  $\varrho \in \mathcal{D}(\Omega')$  we define  $\varrho_{\beta} \in \mathcal{D}(\Omega' \times \mathbb{R}^{n-m})$  by

$$\varrho_{\beta}(x',x'') := \xi(x'') \frac{x''^{\beta}}{\beta!} \varrho(x').$$

An easy computation shows that  $\langle T, \varrho_{\beta}^{\Gamma} \rangle = \langle t_{\beta}, \varrho \rangle$ . But  $\varrho_{\beta}^{\Gamma} \in J_{1}(E \cap \overline{U_{x_{0}}})$ , hence  $\langle T, \varrho_{\beta}^{\Gamma} \rangle = 0$ , and thus we have  $\langle t_{\beta}, \varrho \rangle = 0$  for all  $\varrho \in \mathcal{D}(\Omega')$ . This shows that we have

$$T^{\Gamma} = \overline{t}_0$$
.

Now we define a distribution t on the submanifold  $\Omega$  of  $\omega$  by

$$\langle t, \varrho \rangle := \langle t_0, \varrho \circ \Psi \rangle.$$

Then it is easily seen that T is the extension of t, i.e.

$$\langle T, f \rangle = \langle t, f |_{\Omega} \rangle$$
 for all  $f \in \mathcal{D}(\Omega' \times \mathbb{R}^{n-m})$ .

In the following we use another chart constructed from the exponential mapping of the Lie group G.

Let g be the Lie algebra of G, h the Lie algebra of  $H := \operatorname{Stab}_G x_0$ , and let m be a subspace of g such that  $g = m \oplus h$ . Choose a basis  $X_1, \ldots, X_m$  of m, and let

 $\widetilde{\Psi}$  be defined by

$$\widetilde{\Psi}(s_1, \ldots, s_m) := \exp\left(\sum s_i X_i\right) x_0$$

on an open neighbourhood  $\tilde{\Omega}$  of the origin in  $\mathbb{R}^m$ , such that  $(\tilde{\Omega}, \tilde{\Psi})$  is a chart for  $\Omega$ . Since t is a distribution with compact support contained in  $\Omega$ , there is an  $N \in \mathbb{N}$ 

and a constant C>0, such that

$$|\langle t, \varrho \rangle| \leq C \sum_{|\alpha| \leq N} \sup_{s \in \tilde{\Omega}} |D^{\alpha}(\varrho \circ \tilde{\Psi})(s)|$$

for all  $\varrho \in \mathcal{D}(\Omega)$ .

We choose a function  $\xi \in \mathcal{D}(\Omega' \times \mathbf{R}^{n-m})$ ,  $\xi \equiv 1$  on a neighbourhood of  $\overline{U_{x_0}} \in E$  in  $\mathbf{R}^n$ . Since supp  $T \subset \overline{U_{x_0}} \cap E$ , we get:

$$\begin{split} &|\langle T_{\varphi_{\nu}}, f \rangle| = |\langle T, f_{\varphi_{\nu}} \rangle| = |\langle T, \xi \cdot f_{\varphi_{\nu}} \rangle| \\ &= |\langle t, (\xi \cdot f_{\varphi_{\nu}})|_{\Omega} \rangle| \\ &\leq C \sum_{|\alpha| \leq N} \sup_{s \in \widetilde{\Omega} \cap \text{supp } \xi} |D^{\alpha}(\xi f_{\varphi_{\nu}} \circ \widetilde{\Psi})(s)|. \end{split}$$

From this we get, with a new constant C'>0:

$$|\langle T_{\varphi_{\nu}}, f \rangle| \leq C' \sum_{|\alpha| \leq N} \sup_{s \in \Omega \cap \text{supp } \xi} |D^{\alpha}(f_{\varphi_{\nu}} \circ \widetilde{\Psi})(s)|.$$

Since

$$\begin{split} f_{\varphi_{\nu}} \circ \widetilde{\Psi}(s) &= \int f \big( g^{-1}(\exp \sum s_i X_i) x_0 \big) \varphi_{\nu}(g) \, dg \\ &= \int f \big( g^{-1} x_0 \big) \varphi_{\nu} \big( (\exp \sum s_i X_i) g \big) \, dg, \end{split}$$

we have

$$D_s^{\beta}(f_{\varphi_{\nu}}\circ\widetilde{\Psi})(s) = \int f(g^{-1}x_0)D_s^{\beta}\varphi_{\nu}((\exp\sum s_iX_i)g)dg,$$

hence

$$|D_s^{\beta}(f_{\varphi_{\mathbf{v}}}\circ \widetilde{\Psi})(s)| \leq \sup_{\mathbf{x}\in \omega} |f(\mathbf{x})| \int |D_s^{\beta}\varphi_{\mathbf{v}}((\exp \sum s_i X_i)g)| dg.$$

Since the functions  $s \to \int |D_s^{\beta} \varphi_v| (\exp \sum s_i X_i) g) |dg|$  are continuous, we finally have the estimate

$$|\langle T_{\varphi_{\nu}}, f \rangle| \le C \sup_{x \in \omega} |f(x)| \le C |f|_{\infty}$$

with a constant C independent of f. Hence  $T_{\varphi_{\nu}}$  is a Radon measure. Furthermore supp  $T_{\varphi_{\nu}} \subset (\text{supp } \varphi_{\nu})^{-1} \cdot (\text{supp } T) \subset P \cdot (\overline{U_{x_0}} \cap E) \subset E$ , and thus we have proved that  $T_{\varphi_{\nu}}$  is contained in B(E).

4.

In this section we shall prove that each point  $x_0 \in \omega$  has a local base consisting of compact sets which have the convolution property.

Let V be a finite dimensional vector space. A closed set  $K \subset V$  is said to have the restricted cone property at a point  $y_0 \in K$ , if there exist a neighbourhood U of

 $y_0$  in V and a cone P defined by

$$P := \{ y \in V; \ (1 - \varkappa) \, |y| \le \langle y, y_1 \rangle \le \varkappa \},$$

where  $0 < \varkappa < 1$ ,  $y_1 \in V$ ,  $|y_1| = 1$ , such that  $y + P \subset K$  for every  $y \in \overline{K \cap U}$  (see Domar [1]).

In the next lemma we reformulate the restricted cone property in a form which will be more useful in the following:

**Lemma 3.** A compact set  $K \subset V$  has the restricted cone property at every point  $x \in K$ , if and only if there exists a constant C > 0, such that for every  $v \in K$  there exist a neighbourhood U of v in V and a cone P, such that

$$\operatorname{dist}(y+z,V\setminus K) \ge C|y|$$
 for all  $y\in P, z\in \overline{U\cap K}$ .

*Proof.* Let  $P_{\kappa} = \{v \in V; (1-\kappa) | v| \leq \langle v, y_1 \rangle \leq \kappa \}$ ,  $0 < \kappa < 1, |y_1| = 1$ . For fixed  $y_1$ , there exists a  $C_{\kappa} > 0$ , such that dist  $(y, V \setminus P_{\kappa}) \geq C_{\kappa} |y|$  for all  $y \in P_{\kappa/2}$ , as geometrical considerations show.

Now let  $v \in K$ . There exist a neighbourhood  $U_v$  of v in V and a cone  $P_{\varkappa}$ , depending on v, such that  $z + P_{\varkappa} \subset K$  for every  $z \in \widetilde{K \cap U_v}$ . Then we have

$$\operatorname{dist}(z+y, V \setminus K) \ge \operatorname{dist}(z+y, z+V \setminus P_{\varkappa})$$

$$= \operatorname{dist}(y, V \setminus P_{\varkappa})$$

$$\ge C_{\varkappa}|y|$$

for every  $z \in \overline{K \cap U_v}$ ,  $y \in P_{\varkappa/2}$ .

Using an obvious compactness argument we easily find a C>0 with  $C_{\kappa} \ge C$  uniformly on K. This proves Lemma 3.

Now we choose an inner product  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  on the Lie algebra g of G. Let m denote the orthogonal complement of k with respect to the chosen inner product. Then

$$g = h \oplus m$$
.

Let  $\pi$  denote the canonical homomorphism from G onto G/H.

**Proposition 2.** If K is a compact subset in m, which has the restricted cone property at every point  $z \in K$  with respect to m, then there exists a  $t_0 > 0$ , such that  $\pi$  (exp tK) has the convolution property for every  $0 < t \le t_0$ .

*Proof.* We denote by  $\varphi_1$  (resp.  $\varphi_2$ ) the canonical coordinates of the first (resp. second) kind, i.e. for  $X=X_1+X_2$ ,  $X_1\in \mathbb{A}$ ,  $X_2\in \mathbb{M}$ , we have  $\varphi_1(X)=\exp X$ ,  $\varphi_2(X)=\exp X_1\exp X_2$ .

There is a neighbourhood U of  $0 \in \mathcal{G}$ , such that  $\varphi_i$ , i=1, 2, maps U homeomorphically onto a neighbourhood of  $e \in G$ . Also we may assume that  $\pi \circ \exp|_{\mathfrak{M}}$  maps  $U \cap \mathfrak{M}$  homeomorphically onto an open set in G/H. If U is sufficiently small,

by the Campbell—Hausdorff formula there exists a constant  $C_1 > 0$ , such that

$$\exp Y \exp Z = \exp (Y + Z + \varepsilon(Y, Z))$$
 for all  $Y, Z \in U$ ,

where  $\varepsilon$  is a function from  $U \times U$  to  $\varphi$ , which satisfies the estimate

$$|\varepsilon(Y,Z)| \leq C_1|Y||Z|.$$

Furthermore, by Lemma 3, there exist a constant C>0, bounded open subsets  $U_j$ ,  $j=1,\ldots,k$ , in m and cones  $P_j'\subset m$ , such that

$$K \subset \bigcup_{j} U_{j}, \quad P'_{j} + \overline{U_{j} \cap K} \subset K,$$

and

$$\operatorname{dist}(Y'+Z, m\backslash K) \ge C|Y'|$$
 for all  $Y' \in P'_i$ 

and  $Z \in U_i \cap K$ .

Now we choose  $r, r' \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $0 < r' < r \le \min\left\{\frac{C}{26C_1}, \frac{1}{2C_1}\right\}$ , such that  $B_r := \{X \in \mathcal{G}; |X| < r\} \subset U \text{ and } \varphi_2^{-1}(\varphi_1(B_{r'})^3) \subset B_r$ . Furthermore we fix a  $t_0 > 0$ , such that  $t_0 \cdot (\bigcup_j U_j) \subset B_r$  and  $t_0 \cdot P_j' \subset B_{r'}$ .

In the following we shall show that  $\pi$  (exp tK) has the convolution property in G/H for every  $0 < t < t_0$ .

Considering tK,  $tU_j$  instead of K,  $U_j$ , we may assume t=1. We define  $\tilde{K}:=\pi$  (exp K),  $\tilde{U}_j:=\pi$  (exp  $U_j$ ) and, if  $P'_j=\{X\in_{\mathcal{M}};\; (1-\varkappa_j)|X|\leq \langle X,\,Y_j\rangle\leq \varkappa_j\}$ , let  $P_j:=\{X\in_{\mathcal{G}};\; (1-\varkappa_j)|X|\leq \langle X,\,Y_j\rangle\leq \varkappa_j\}$ , and define  $Q_j:=\exp P_j$ .  $Q_j$  is an approximation set.

We shall prove that  $Q_j \cdot \overline{\widetilde{U}_j \cap \widetilde{K}} \subset \widetilde{K}$ , from which it follows, that  $\widetilde{K}$  has the convolution property.

For some  $Y \in P_i$  and  $Z \in \overline{U_i \cap K}$  let

$$y = \exp Y \in Q_j, \quad \tilde{z} = \pi(\exp Z) \in \overline{\tilde{U}_j \cap \tilde{K}}.$$

We have Y=R+S for some  $R \in h$  and  $S \in m$ .

Obviously  $S \in P'_j$ .

We get  $y\tilde{z} = \pi(y \cdot \exp Z) = \pi(\exp(R+S) \exp Z \exp(-R))$ , and

 $\exp(R+S)\exp Z\exp(-R)=\exp(S+Z+\varepsilon(R+S,Z)+\varepsilon(R+S+Z+\varepsilon(R+S,Z),$ 

-R). Moreover

$$\exp(R+S) \exp Z \exp(-R) \in \varphi_1(B_{r'})^3 \subset \varphi_2(B_r),$$

that means: there exist  $V \in m$  and  $T \in h$ , such that

$$\exp(R+S) \exp Z \exp(-R) = \exp(S+Z+V) \exp T =$$

$$= \exp(S+Z+V+T+\varepsilon(S+Z+V,T)).$$

From this it follows that

$$\varepsilon(R+S,Z)+\varepsilon(R+S+Z+\varepsilon(R+S,Z),-R)=V+T+\varepsilon(S+V+Z,T).$$

An easy estimate gives:

(\*) 
$$|\varepsilon(R+S,Z)+\varepsilon(R+S+Z+\varepsilon(R+S,Z),-R)| \leq 4C_1r|Y|$$
 and

We write  $\varepsilon(S+Z+V,T)=\varepsilon_{\hbar}+\varepsilon_{m}$ ,  $\varepsilon_{\hbar}\in\hbar$ ,  $\varepsilon_{m}\in\mathbb{M}$ . Then, using (\*) and (\*\*),

$$|T| \leq |T + \varepsilon_{k}| + |\varepsilon_{k}| \leq |T + V + \varepsilon(S + Z + V, T)| + |\varepsilon(S + Z + V, T)|$$
$$\leq 4C_{1}r|Y| + \frac{1}{2}|T|,$$

hence  $|T| \leq 8C_1 r |Y|$ .

Thus we get

$$|V| \le |V+T+\varepsilon(S+Z+V,T)|+|T|+|\varepsilon(S+Z+V,T)|$$

$$\le 4C_1r|Y|+8C_1r|Y|+C_1r|Y|$$

$$\le \frac{C}{2}|Y|.$$

We may assume that  $\varkappa_j < \frac{1}{3}$  for every j. Then  $|Y| \le \frac{3}{2} |S|$ , hence

$$|V| \leq \frac{3}{4} C|S|.$$

Thus we get  $S+Z+V\in K$ , since dist  $(S+Z, m\setminus K) \ge C|S|$ . This gives the desired result

$$Y\widetilde{Z} = \pi(\exp(S+Z+V)\exp T) = \pi(\exp(S+Z+V))\in \widetilde{K}.$$

5.

The results of the preceding sections can be applied to the case of closed orbits to get the following theorem:

**Theorem 2.** If  $\omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  is a closed m-dimensional orbit under a linear action of a connected Lie group G, then

$$r(\omega)^{[m/2+1]} = \{0\}.$$

*Proof.* For every point  $x \in \omega$  there exists, by Proposition 2, a compact neighbourhood  $U_x$  of x in  $\omega$  which has the convolution property. By Theorem 1 we know that  $r(U_x)^{\lfloor m/2+1\rfloor} = \{0\}$ .

Because  $\omega$  is closed in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $U_x$  is also a neighbourhood of x in the topology induced by  $\mathbb{R}^n$  on  $\omega$ . This shows that  $r(\omega)^{[m/2+1]} = \{0\}$ , since the nilpotency of  $r(\omega)$  is a local property as in the case of Wiener sets (see Reiter [9], Chap. II).

## References

- 1. Domar, Y., On the spectral synthesis problem for (n-1)-dimensional subsets of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . Ark. Mat. 9 (1971), 23—37.
- 2. Domar, Y., A  $C^{\infty}$ -curve of spectral non-synthesis. Mathematika 24 (1977), 189—192.
- 3. HELGASON, S., Differential Geometry and Symmetric Spaces. New York, 1962.
- 4. HERZ, C. S., Spectral synthesis for the circle. Ann. of Math. 68 (1958), 709-712.
- 5. Hochschild, G., The structure of Lie groups. San Francisco, 1965.
- LEPTIN, H., Lokalkompakte Gruppen mit symmetrischen Algebren. Symposia Math. 22 (1977), 267—281.
- 7. LITTMAN, W., Fourier transforms of surface-carried measures and differentiability of surface averages. Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. 69 (1963), 766—770.
- 8. Lust, F., Le probleme de la synthese et de la *p*-finesse pour certain orbites des groupes lineares dans  $A_p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . Studia Math. 39 (1971), 17—28.
- 9. Reiter, H., Classical harmonic analysis and locally compact groups. Oxford, 1968.
- 10. Schwartz, L., Theorie des distributions. Paris, 1966.
- VAROPOULOS, N. TH., Spectral synthesis on spheres. Proc. Cambridge Phil. Soc. 62 (1966), 379—387.

Received November 9, 1979

W. Kirsch Fakultät für Mathematik Ruhr-Universität Bochum 4630 Bochum W. Germany

D. Müller Universität Bielefeld Fakultät für Mathematik 48 Bielefeld Postfach 8640 W. Germany