

REGULAR SEQUENCES AND LIFTING PROPERTY

M. HERRMANN AND R. SCHMIDT

Let A be a commutative noetherian ring, E a finite A -module and let M be an arbitrary A -module. Let $\varphi: E \rightarrow M$ be a homomorphism of A -modules.

In this note we prove in an elementary way that an M -sequence $\underline{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$ being taken to lie in the (Jacobson-) radical $\text{rad}(A)$ of A , is also an E -sequence if $\underline{x}E$ is the contraction $\varphi^{-1}(\underline{x}M)$ of $\underline{x}M$ in E .

As a corollary of this lifting property we obtain very easily the so-called delocalization-lemma for regular sequences (also [2], Cor. 1 for local rings A and [4] Chap. I, §4). Then we exemplify that the condition $\varphi^{-1}(\underline{x}M) = \underline{x}E$ is not necessary for the statement of our theorem (see Example 3); otherwise it is easily seen that generally the theorem (especially Corollary 2) becomes false without any additional condition (see Examples 1 and 2).

Recall that a sequence $x_1, \dots, x_n$ of elements of A is said to be (M -regular or) an M -sequence if, for each $0 \leq i \leq n-1$, a_{i+1} is a non-zero-divisor on $M/(x_1, \dots, x_i)M$ and $M \neq (x_1, \dots, x_n)M$.

2. First we consider the case $n = 1$.

LEMMA. *The notations being as above. Let x be a M -regular element in the radical $\text{rad}(A)$ of A and suppose that*

$$(1) \quad \ker \varphi \subseteq xE^1.$$

Then x is an E -regular element too and φ is injective.

Proof. We put $F = \ker \varphi$. Clearly x is E/F -regular, hence $xE \cap F = xF$, hence $F = xF$ by (1). Therefore we get $F = 0$ by Nakayama's lemma, hence φ is injective and x is E -regular.

THEOREM. *Let E be a finite A -module, M an arbitrary A -module and $\varphi: E \rightarrow M$ a module-homomorphism. Let $\underline{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$ be an M -sequence in $\text{rad}(A)$ and suppose that*

¹ We denote by xE or $\underline{x}E$ the product $(x)E$ or $(\underline{x})E$ respectively, where (x) or $(\underline{x})$ is the ideal generated by x or $x_1, \dots, x_n$ respectively.