## A NOTE ON A PAPER BY L. C. YOUNG

## F. W. GEHRING

1. Introduction. Suppose that f(x) is a real- or complex-valued function defined for all real x. For  $0 \le \alpha \le 1$ , we define the  $\alpha$ -variation of f(x) over  $a \le x \le b$  as the least upper bound of the sums

$$\{\sum |\Delta f|^{1/a}\}^a$$

taken over all finite subdivisions of  $a \le x \le b$ . (When  $\alpha = 0$ , we denote by the above sum simply the maximum  $|\Delta f|$ .) We say that f(x) is in  $W_{\alpha}$  if it has finite  $\alpha$ -variation over the interval  $0 \le x \le 1$ . L.C. Young has proved the following result.

THEOREM 1. (See [2, Theorem 4.2].) Suppose that  $0 < \beta < 1$  and that f(x), with period 1, satisfies the condition

$$\int_{0}^{1} |f \{ \phi(t+h) \} - f \{ \phi(t) \} | dt \leq h^{\beta} \qquad (h \geq 0)$$

for every monotone function  $\phi(t)$  such that

$$\phi(t+1) = \phi(t) + 1$$

for all t. Then f(x) is in  $\mathbb{W}_{\alpha}$  for each  $\alpha < \beta$ .

Young's argument does not suggest whether we can assert that f(x) is in  $W_{\beta}$ . We present here an elementary proof for Theorem 1 and an example to show that this result is the best possible one in this direction.

2. Lemma. We require the following:

LEMMA 2. Suppose that  $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_N$  and  $b_1, b_2, \dots, b_N$  are two sets of nonnegative numbers such that  $a_1 \ge a_2 \ge \dots \ge a_N$  and such that

$$\sum_{\nu=1}^n a_\nu \leq \sum_{\nu=1}^n b_\nu$$

Received July 2, 1953.

Pacific J. Math. 5 (1955), 67-72