DIMENSIONAL INVERTIBILITY

P. H. DOYLE AND J. G. HOCKING

We report here upon another aspect of our continuing investigation of invertibility (see [5, 6]) and its applications in the theory of manifolds.

All spaces considered here are separable and metric.

A separable metric space X will be said to be k-invertible, $0 \le k \le \dim X$, if for each nonempty open set U and each compact proper subset C of dimension $\le k$, there is a homeomorphism k of K onto itself such that K is in K. Then we say that K is strongly K-invertible if for each nonempty open set K and each closed proper subset K of dimension K onto itself such that K is in K.

Clearly, "strongly k-invertible" implies "k-invertible" and the two properties coincide in compact spaces. If dim X = n, then "invertible" and "strongly n-invertible" are equivalent but, for instance, E^n is n-invertible and not invertible. We remark that k-invertibility is a strong form of near-homogeneity and says that compact k-dimensional subsets are "small under homeomorphisms." In the case of an n-manifold, k-invertibility is equivalent to the condition that every compact set of dimension k lie in an open n-cell.

We first collect some results on 0-invertible spaces, most of these results being simple generalizations of theorems to be found in [5]. The first of these requires no proof here.

THEOREM 1. The orbit of any point in a 0-invertible space is dense in the space.

Theorem 2. Each orbit in a 0-invertible space is itself 0-invertible.

Proof. Let 0 be the orbit of any point in a 0-invertible space X. Let U be an open subset of 0 and C be a compact 0-dimensional proper subset of 0. Then there is an open set V in X such that $V \cap 0 = U$ and, by 0-invertibility, there is a space homeomorphism h such that h(C) lies in V. But by definition of 0 as an orbit, h(C) also lies in 0, hence h(C) lies in $V \cap 0 = U$.

COROLLARY. Each 0-invertible space is a union of disjoint, dense homogeneous, 0-invertible subspaces.

Received February 21, 1962.