A COUNTER-EXAMPLE TO A LEMMA OF SKORNJAKOV

B. L. Osofsky

In his paper, Rings with injective cyclic modules, translated in Soviet Mathematics 4 (1963), p. 36-39, L. A. Skornjakov states the following lemma: If a cyclic R-module M and all its cyclic submodules are injective, then the partially ordered set of cyclic submodules of M is a complete, complemented lattice.

An example is constructed to show that this lemma is false, thus invalidating Skornjakov's proof of the theorem: Let R be a ring all of whose cyclic modules are injective. Then R is semi-simple Artin. The theorem, however, is true. (See Osofsky [4].)

The theorem, however, is true. (See Osofsky [4].)

In this paper, all rings have identity and all modules are unital left modules. $_{R}\mathfrak{M}$ will denote the category of *R*-modules, and $_{R}M$ will signify $M \in _{R}\mathfrak{M}$.

Let Q be a commutative, left self injective, regular, non-Artin ring, and let I be a maximal ideal of Q which is not a direct summand of $_{Q}Q$. (For example, let Q be a direct product of fields, and Ia maximal ideal containing their direct sum.) Let $N = Q \bigoplus Q/I$. We observe the following:

1. $_{Q}N$ is injective. Q is injective by hypothesis, and Q/I is a simple module over the commutative regular ring Q; hence injective by a theorem of Kaplansky. (See [5].)

2. $_{Q}M \subseteq _{Q}N$ is a direct summand of $_{Q}N$ if and only if $_{Q}M$ is finitely generated. If $_{Q}M$ is a direct summand of $_{Q}N$, $_{Q}M$ is generated by the projections of (1, 0 + I) and (0, 1 + I). If $_{Q}M$ is finitely generated, and π is the projection of N onto (Q, 0 + I), then $\pi(_{Q}M)$ is finitely generated. Hence $\pi(_{Q}M)$ is a direct summand of $_{Q}Q$. (See von Neumann [6].) Say $Q = \pi(_{Q}M) \oplus K$. Since $\pi(_{Q}M)$ is projective (it is a direct summand of Q), $_{Q}M = (\pi(_{Q}M))' \oplus (\text{Ker } \pi \cap _{Q}M)$. Since Q/Iis simple, $Q/I = (\text{Ker } \pi \cap _{Q}M) \oplus K_{2}$ where $K_{2} = 0$ or Q/I. Then $N = M \oplus K \oplus K_{2}$.

3. The direct summands of N do not form a lattice. In particular, $Q(1, 0 + I) \cap Q(1, 1 + I) = (I, 0 + I)$ is not a direct summand

Received August 5, 1964 and in revised form March 18, 1965. The author gratefully acknowledges support from the National Science Foundation under grant GP-1741. The author wishes to thank the referee for simplifying and clarifying her original example, and for several very constructive suggestions on the presentation.