

## THE 2-LENGTH OF A FINITE SOLVABLE GROUP

FLETCHER GROSS

**One measure of the structure of a finite solvable group  $G$  is its  $p$ -length  $l_p(G)$ . A problem connected with this measure is to obtain an upper bound for  $l_p(G)$  in terms of  $e_p(G)$ , which is a numerical invariant of the Sylow  $p$ -subgroups of  $G$ . This problem has been solved but the best-possible result is not known for  $p = 2$ . The main result of this paper is that  $l_2(G) \leq 2e_2(G) - 1$ , which is an improvement on earlier results. A secondary objective of this paper is to investigate finite solvable groups in which the Sylow 2-group is of exponent 4. In particular it is proved that if  $G$  is a finite group of exponent 12, then the 2-length is at most 2.**

**Introduction and discussion of results.** The object of this paper is to obtain bounds for the 2-length of a finite solvable group. Following Hall and Higman [4], we call a finite group  $G$   $p$ -solvable if it possesses a normal series such that each factor group is either a  $p$ -group or a  $p'$ -group. The  $p$ -length,  $l_p(G)$ , of such a group is the smallest number of  $p$ -groups which can occur as factor groups in such a normal series.  $e_p(G)$  is defined to be the smallest  $n$  such that  $x^{p^n} = 1$  for all  $x$  belonging to a Sylow  $p$ -subgroup of  $G$ .

For an odd prime  $p$ , it is proved in [4] that  $l_p(G) \leq e_p(G)$  if  $p$  is not a Fermat prime and  $l_p(G) \leq 2e_p(G)$  if  $p$  is a Fermat prime. Furthermore these results are best-possible. A. H. M. Hoare [6] then proved that in a 2-solvable group  $G$ ,  $l_2(G) \leq 3e_2(G) - 2$  provided that  $l_2(G) \geq 1$ . The primary purpose of this paper is to prove the following improvement:

**THEOREM A.** *If  $G$  is a finite solvable group and  $l_2(G) \geq 1$ , then  $l_2(G) \leq 2e_2(G) - 1$ .*

Feit and Thompson [1] have proved that solvability and 2-solvability are equivalent notions for finite groups. Thus no loss of generality is involved in requiring  $G$  to be solvable in the theorem.

Theorem A will be shown to be an easy consequence of the following theorem about linear groups:

**THEOREM B.** *Let  $G$  be a finite solvable linear group over a field*

---

Received July 13, 1964. This work, supported in part by a National Science Foundation Cooperative Fellowship, is based upon my doctoral dissertation at the California Institute of Technology. I wish to express my heartfelt appreciation to my advisor Marshall Hall, Jr. for his encouragement and helpful suggestions.