## INTERPOSITION AND APPROXIMATION

BERNARD KRIPKE AND RICHARD HOLMES

Let  $\mathscr{B}(X)$  be the space of all bounded real-valued functions on a set X, with the norm  $||f|| = \sup \{|f(x)|: x \in X\}$ , and let K be any nonempty subset of  $\mathscr{B}(X)$ . The question whether an element f of  $\mathscr{B}(X)$  has a best approximation g in K (such that  $||f-g|| = \delta(f) = \inf \{||f-h||: h \in K\}$ ) can be formulated as the problem of interposing a function g in K between two functions,  $L(\cdot, f)$  and  $U(\cdot, f)$ , which are constructed out of K by certain lattice operations. If K is closed with respect to these lattice operations, or has a certain interposition property, the best approximation will always exist.

For example, X might be a bounded subset of a Banach space Eand K might be the set of restrictions to X of the continuous linear functionals in  $E^*$  [2, 6].  $U(\cdot, f)$  is then constructed in two stages: first the suprema of bounded subsets of K are formed, and then  $U(\cdot, f)$  is obtained as a decreasing sequential limit of such suprema. In two other typical cases, K consists of the bounded continuous functions on a paracompact space [5], or the distance decreasing functions on a metric space. These two share the property of translational invariance:

(1) if 
$$f \in K$$
 and c is a constant, then  $(f + c) \in K$ ,

which permits  $U(\cdot, f)$  to be constructed by forming suprema alone, without the intervention of decreasing sequential limits. In the last of these sample cases, it actually turns out that  $U(\cdot, f)$  is itself in K, and is thus the *largest* of the best approximators to f in K.

1. Mere existence. For every  $\rho > 0$ , there is a  $g \in K$  such that  $||f - g|| < \delta(f) + \rho$ , or in other words  $f - \delta(f) - \rho < g < f + \delta(f) + \rho$ . Therefore,  $U_{\rho}(x, f) = \sup \{g(x): g \in K, g \leq f + \delta(f) + \rho\}$  lies between  $f - \delta(f) - \rho$  and  $f + \delta(f) + \rho$ , and dominates

$$L_{
ho}(x,\,f)=\inf\left\{g(x)\colon g\in K,\,g\geqq f\,-\,\delta(f)\,-\,
ho
ight\}\,.$$

 $L_{\rho}$  and  $U_{\rho}$  are, respectively, monotonically increasing and decreasing functions of  $\rho$ , so that

$$egin{aligned} f &-\delta(f) \leqq L(ullet,\,f) = \lim_{n o \infty} L_{1/n}(ullet,\,f) \leqq \lim_{n o \infty} U_{1/n}(ullet,\,f) \ &= U(ullet,\,f) \leqq f + \delta(f) \;. \end{aligned}$$