## ON THE NUMBER OF NON-ALMOST ISOMORPHIC MODELS OF T IN A POWER

## SAHARON SHELAH

Let T be a first order theory. Two models are almost isomorphic if they are elementarily equivalent in the language  $L_{\infty,\omega}$ . We investigate the number of non almost-isomorphic models of T of power  $\lambda$  as a function of  $\lambda$ ,  $I(T, \lambda)$ . We prove  $\mu > \lambda \ge |T|$ ,  $I(T, \lambda) \le \lambda$  implies  $I(T, \mu) \le I(T, \lambda)$ . In fact, we generalize the downward Skolem-Lowenheim theorem for infinitary languages. Th. (1, 4, 5).

Let L be a set of predicates with finite number of places and sufficient number of variables. (We assume there are no function symbols in L for simplicity only.) |L| will denote the number of predicates in L plus  $\aleph_0$ . Models will be denoted by M, N. The set of elements of M will be |M|, the cardinality of a set A by |A| and so the cardinality of M by ||M||. Unless specified otherwise, every model is an L-model. Cardinals will be denoted by  $\lambda, \mu, \kappa, \chi$  ordinals  $i, j, \alpha, \beta$ . T will denote a theory, i.e., set of sentences. We define  $\mu^{(\lambda)} = \sum_{\kappa < \lambda} \mu^{\kappa}$ . For cardinals  $\lambda, \mu$  we define the language  $L(\lambda, \mu)$  i.e., a set of formulas. This set is defined as the well known first-order language where we adjoin to its operations conjunction and disjunction on a set of  $<\lambda$  formulas (i.e.,  $\bigwedge_{i \in I} \phi_i$ , where  $|I| < \lambda$ ) and existential or universal quantifications over a sequence of  $<\mu$  variables.  $L^*(\lambda, \mu)$ will be defined as  $L(\lambda, \mu)$  where in addition we permit quantification of the form

$$\mathbf{i}\mathbf{f}$$

$$[\forall \overline{x}^{\scriptscriptstyle 1})(\exists \overline{y}^{\scriptscriptstyle 1}) \cdots (\forall \overline{x}^{\scriptscriptstyle n})(\exists \overline{y}^{\scriptscriptstyle n}) \cdots]_{n < \omega}$$

$$\{x_{\scriptscriptstyle 0}^{\scriptscriptstyle 1},\, x_{\scriptscriptstyle 1}^{\scriptscriptstyle 1},\, \cdots,\, y_{\scriptscriptstyle 0}^{\scriptscriptstyle 1},\, y_{\scriptscriptstyle 1}^{\scriptscriptstyle 1},\, \cdots,\, x_{\scriptscriptstyle 0}^{\scriptscriptstyle n}\, \cdots\} | < \mu$$
 .

 $RL^*(\lambda, \mu)$  will denote the subset of  $L^*(\lambda, \mu)$  consisting of the formulas  $\Phi$  of  $L^*(\lambda, \mu)$  such that for every subformula  $\phi$  of  $\Phi$ , if  $\phi = [(\forall \overline{x}^i) (\exists \overline{y}^i) \cdots] \psi$ , then  $\models \phi \leftrightarrow \mathbb{Z}[(\exists \overline{x}^i)(\forall \overline{y}^i) \cdots] \mathbb{Z} \psi$ . Clearly  $RL^*(\lambda, \mu) \supset L(\lambda, \mu)$ . K will denote any of those languages. Satisfaction (i.e., if  $\phi = \phi(\overline{x})$ , and  $\overline{a}$  is a sequence from |M|, then  $M \models \phi[\overline{a}]$ ) is defined naturally. (See Hanf [2] and Henkin [3].) The only nontotally trivial case is

$$\psi(\overline{z}) = \ [(orall ar{x}^{\scriptscriptstyle 0})(\exists ar{y}^{\scriptscriptstyle 0})(orall ar{x}^{\scriptscriptstyle 1})(\exists ar{y}^{\scriptscriptstyle 1}) \cdots] \phi(ar{z}, ar{x}^{\scriptscriptstyle 0}, ar{x}^{\scriptscriptstyle 1}, \ \cdots, ar{y}^{\scriptscriptstyle 0}, ar{y}^{\scriptscriptstyle 1} \cdots) \ .$$

 $M \models \psi[\bar{a}]$  if and only if there are functions  $f_i^n(\bar{x}^0, \dots, \bar{x}^n)$  such that for every sequence  $\bar{a}^0, \bar{a}^1, \dots$  from  $M, M \models \phi[\bar{a}, \bar{a}^0, \bar{a}^1, \dots, \bar{b}^0, \bar{b}^1, \dots]$ where  $\bar{b}^n = \langle \dots, f_i^n(\bar{a}^0, \bar{a}^1, \dots, \bar{a}^n), \dots \rangle$ . For a sentence  $\psi, \models \psi$  if for