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#### Abstract

We give two proofs that $H^{2}\left(\mathrm{SL}(3,3), \boldsymbol{F}_{3}^{3}\right)=0$. This result has appeared in a paper by Sah, [6], but our methods are relatively elementary, i.e., we require only elementary homological algebra and do a group-theoretic analysis of an extension of $\mathrm{SL}(3,3)$ by $\boldsymbol{F}_{3}^{\mathbf{3}}$ to show that the extension splits. The starting point is to notice that the vector space is a free module for $\boldsymbol{F}_{3}(\langle x\rangle)$, where $x$ has Jordan canonical form $\left(\begin{array}{lll}1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1\end{array}\right)$. We then can exploit the vanishing of $H^{i}\left(\langle x\rangle, F_{3}^{3}\right) i=$ 1, 2 .


For elementary linear algebra, we refer to [2] and for cohomology of groups, we refer to [1], [4], [5] or [6]. Group theoretic notation is standard and follows [3]. Let $V$ be a 3-dimensional $F_{3}$-vector space and let $\mathrm{SL}(3,3)$ be the associated special linear group. Let $v_{1}, v_{2}, v_{3}$ be a basis for $V$. Define, for $i, j \in\{1,2,3\}, i \neq j$, and $t \in \boldsymbol{F}_{3}$, $x_{i j}(t) \in \operatorname{SL}(3,3)$ by

$$
x_{i j}(t): v_{k} \longmapsto\left\{\begin{array}{l}
v_{k} \quad k \neq i \\
v_{i}+t v_{j}, k=i
\end{array}\right.
$$

Inspection of the Jordan canonical form shows that all $x_{i j}(t), t \neq 0$, are conjugate in $\mathrm{GL}(3,3)=\{ \pm I\} \times \mathrm{SL}(3,3)$, hence in $\mathrm{SL}(3,3)$.

Set $G=\operatorname{SL}(3,3)$. We let

## (*)

$$
1 \longrightarrow V \longrightarrow G^{*} \xrightarrow{\pi} G \longrightarrow 1
$$

be an arbitrary extension of $G$ by $V$ with the above action. We will show (*) is split. We use the convention that $u^{*} \in G^{*}$ is a representative (arbitrary, unless otherwise specified) for $u \in G$.

The alternate proof of splitting (given later) is much neater than the first version. The methods are quite different, however, and it seems worthwhile to give two proofs.

Lemma 1. Let $x=x_{12}(1) x_{23}(1) x_{13}(-1)$. Then $C_{G}(x)=\left\langle x, x_{13}(1)\right\rangle$. If $t \in G$ is an involution which inverts $x$, then $t$ centralizes $x_{13}(1)$.

Proof. The first statement is elementary linear algebra. Namely, $x$ has a cyclic vector in $V$, so that any transformation which commutes with $x$ is a polynomial in $x$. Since $x$ has minimal polynomial of

