

DERIVATIONS WITH INVERTIBLE VALUES IN RINGS WITH INVOLUTION

A. GIAMBRUNO, P. MISSO AND C. POLCINO MILIES

Let R be a semiprime 2-torsion free ring with involution $*$ and let $S = \{x \in R \mid x = x^*\}$ be the set of symmetric elements. We prove that if R has a derivation d , non-zero on S , such that for all $s \in S$ either $d(s) = 0$ or $d(s)$ is invertible, then R must be one of the following: (1) a division ring, (2) 2×2 matrices over a division ring, (3) the direct sum of a division ring and its opposite with exchange involution, (4) the direct sum of 2×2 matrices over a division ring and its opposite with exchange involution, (5) 4×4 matrices over a field with symplectic involution.

Recently Bergen, Herstein and Lanski studied the structure of a ring R with a derivation $d \neq 0$ such that, for each $x \in R$, $d(x) = 0$ or $d(x)$ is invertible. They proved that, except for a special case which occurs when $2R = 0$, such a ring must be either a division ring D or the ring D_2 of 2×2 matrices over a division ring.

In this paper we address ourselves to a similar problem in the setting of rings with involution, namely: let R be a 2-torsion free semiprime ring with involution and let S be the set of symmetric elements. If $d \neq 0$ is a derivation of R such that the non-zero elements of $d(S)$ are invertible, what can we conclude about R ?

We shall prove that R must be rather special. In fact we shall show the following:

THEOREM. *Let R be a 2-torsion free semiprime ring with involution. Let d be a derivation of R such that $d(S) \neq 0$ and the non-zero elements of $d(S)$ are invertible in R . Then R is either:*

1. *a division ring D , or*
2. *D_2 , the ring of 2×2 matrices over D , or*
3. *$D \oplus D^{\text{op}}$, the direct sum of a division ring and its opposite relative to the exchange involution, or*
4. *$D_2 \oplus D_2^{\text{op}}$ with the exchange involution, or*
5. *F_4 , the ring of 4×4 matrices over a field F with symplectic involution.*

In case $R = F_4$ with $*$ symplectic we shall prove that d is inner. As Herstein has pointed out, an easy example of such a ring is given by