THE STRUCTURE OF sl(2, 1)-SUPERSYMMETRY: IRREDUCIBLE REPRESENTATIONS AND PRIMITIVE IDEALS

Didier Arnal, Hedi Ben Amor and Georges Pinczon

We give a detailed study of the enveloping algebra of the Lie superalgebra sl(2, 1), including classification of irreducible Harish-Chandra modules, completeness of finite dimensional irreducible, explicit computation of center, and classification of primitive ideals.

Introduction and main results. Lie superalgebras are important both in physics and in mathematics [5]. In physics, they are used e.g. to unify fermions and bosons in a unique picture (one irreducible representation of the structure) via supersymmetry. In mathematics, their enveloping algebras provide a class of very interesting noetherian algebras. Much information is known about enveloping algebras of Lie algebras (e.g., [4]), but for superalgebras there is a lot to do (see e.g. [2] for a pioneering work, and [13] for a very nice survey of results obtained up to now). Let us restrict to the simple case; then a natural distinction does appear between simple superalgebras with an enveloping algebra which is a domain and others. The first case is exactly the series osp(1, 2n), which are also the only semi-simple simple superalgebras [8]. The simplest model of this case is h = osp(1, 2); U(h)was completely studied in [16], including explicit computation of Prim U(h). The simplest model of the second case is g = sl(2, 1), and the purpose of the present paper is a complete study of U(g). We shall give a classification of irreducible Harish-Chandra modules, a detailed computation of the center Z(g) of U(g), and a classification of Prim U(g).

Let us recall known results: finite dimensional irreducible representations of g = sl(2, 1) are known [18], and also unitary irreducible are classified ([6], [7]). Moreover, finite dimensional representations provide a complete set of representations [2], but are generally not fully reducible.

A fundamental result of our paper is the fact that finite dimensional irreducible provide a complete set, because of information that can be deduced on U(g). Actually, we deduce an explicit determination of the center Z(g), which shows that Z(g) is not a finitely