

## NON-COMPACT TOTALLY PERIPHERAL 3-MANIFOLDS

LUKE HARRIS AND PETER SCOTT

**A 3-manifold is totally peripheral if every loop is freely homotopic into the boundary. It is shown that an orientable 3-manifold  $M$  is totally peripheral if and only if there is a boundary component  $F$  of  $M$  such that the inclusion of  $F$  in  $M$  induces a surjective map of fundamental groups. If  $M$  is non-orientable, there are essentially two counterexamples.**

A 3-manifold is *totally peripheral* if every loop is freely homotopic into the boundary. Brin, Johannson and Scott studied compact totally peripheral 3-manifolds. They showed that when  $M$  is orientable, compact and totally peripheral, then there is a boundary component  $F$  of  $M$  such that the natural map  $\pi_1(F) \rightarrow \pi_1(M)$  is surjective. When  $M$  is non-orientable, they showed that this result is almost true but that there are essentially two counterexamples. In this paper, we show that the same results hold if the compactness hypothesis on  $M$  is omitted. The results remain true even if the fundamental group of  $M$  is not finitely generated.

Brin, Johannson and Scott [1] also proved a relative version of their results. We say that a 3-manifold is totally peripheral relative to a subsurface  $B$  of  $\partial M$  (possibly  $B$  is disconnected), or *TP rel  $B$* , if every loop in  $M$  is freely homotopic into  $B$ . They showed that if  $M$  is orientable, compact and totally peripheral relative to a compact subsurface  $B$  of  $\partial M$ , then there is a component  $C$  of  $B$  such that the natural map  $\pi_1(C) \rightarrow \pi_1(M)$  is surjective. This relative result is also a consequence of our result for the non-compact case as, given a compact manifold  $M$  and a compact subsurface  $B$  in  $\partial M$  such that  $M$  is *TP rel  $B$* , one can remove the closure of  $\partial M - B$  from  $M$  to obtain a non-compact totally peripheral 3-manifold  $M'$  with boundary equal to the interior of  $B$ . However, we use the relative case of [1] in the proof of our results.