SPACES WITHOUT REMOTE POINTS

ERIC K. VAN DOUWEN AND JAN VAN MILL

All spaces considered are completely regular and X^* denotes $\beta X - X$. The point $x \in X^*$ is called *a remote point of* X if $x \notin \operatorname{Cl}_{\beta X} A$ for each nowhere dense subset A of X. If $y \in Y$, then the space Y is said to be *extremally disconnected at* y if $y \notin \overline{U} \cap \overline{V}$ whenever U and V are disjoint open sets. In this paper we construct two noncompact σ -compact spaces X, one locally compact and one nowhere locally compact, such that X has no remote points, and in fact such that βX is not extremally disconnected at any point.

Our examples were motivated by the following results from [6]:

(1) X has remote points if X has countable π -weight, in particular if X is separable and first countable, and is not pseudocompact, [6, 1.5]; see also [7] for an earlier consistency result, and [1] for a more general result.

(2) βX is extremally disconnected at each remote point of X, [6, 5.2]. Via the observation that

(3) if Y is dense in Z, and $y \in Y$, then Y is extremally disconnected at y iff Z is extremally disconnected at y,

these results and the following imply a nonhomogeneity result, which applies for example to the rationals and the Sorgenfrey line

(4) if X is a nowhere locally compact nonpseudocompact space which has a remote point and if $\{x \in X: X \text{ is not extremally disconnected at } x\}$ is dense in X, e.g. if X is first countable, then X^* is not homogeneous because X^* is extremally disconnected at some but not at all points.

(This is a special case of Frolik's theorem that X^* is not homogeneous if X is not pseudocompact, [8]. The proof of Frolik's theorem does not yield a simple "because" as in (4). X is called nowhere locally compact if no point of X has a compact neighborhood, or, equivalently, if X^* is dense in βX .)

In this paper we produce two closely related examples which show that the condition on the π -weight cannot be omitted altogether in (1), thus answering a question of [6].

Our two examples are rather big: they have cellularity at least ω_3 . This suggests the question of whether every nonpseudocompact separable space has a remote point. (This would generalize (1).) It follows from a construction in [7] that the answer is affirmative under CH.