## Relations between Homotopy and Homology II

## By Atuo Komatu

## § 1. Introduction

After the publication of my last paper, "Relations between homotopy and homology I" [1], I had an opportunity of reading H. Hopf's papers [2], [3]. In those papers I found that both his method and results were somewhat similar to mine. Let us compare the geometrical part, the grouptheoretical part being excluded. Hopf only thought of "Homotopie-ränder" and dealt with the subgroup  $\Pi_0$  defined by the notion of free homotopy, while I dealt with three homomorphisms, namely, homotopy boundary, homotopy relativisation and covering, as a part of the exact homomorphism sequence of homotopy groups. For the lack of the idea of free homotopy in my method, I could not deal with the low dimensional case. The difference of methods leads to different results. Taking Hopf's idea into consideration, we can see the difference between Hopf's group  $\Theta^n$  and my "simple group"  $\Theta^n$ , and its geometrical nature; moreover the homology theory of a complex will be found to be reduced, in a sense, completely into the homotopy theory.

## §2. Hopf's free homotopy group

Let  $\{\alpha_i\}$  be the generators of the q-dimensional homotopy group  $\pi_q(R)$  of a locally contractible topological space R and  $\{\xi_j\}$  be the generators of the fundamental group  $\pi_1(R)$ . The Whitehead's product  $\xi_j \cdot \alpha_i$  is also an element of  $\pi_q(R)$ . We denote by  $\Gamma_q$  the normal subgroup generated by all the elements  $\{\xi_j \cdot \alpha_i\}$ . The free homotopy group  $\hat{\pi}_q(R)$  is defined as the factor group  $\pi_q(R)/\Gamma_q(R)$ , i. e. if we add new defining relations  $\{\xi_j \cdot \alpha_i=1\}$  to the relations of  $\pi_q$ , then we get the group  $\hat{\pi}_q$ . We denote by u the natural homomorphism from  $\pi_q$  to  $\hat{\pi}_q$ . It is easily seen from the definition that for any elements  $\alpha \in \pi_q$ ,  $\xi \in \pi_1$ ,  $\xi \cdot \alpha = 1$  in  $\hat{\pi}_q$ . If R is q-simple in the sense of Eilenberg [4], then it is clearly  $\pi_q = \hat{\pi}_q$ .

Sometimes we take a relative operator domain  $\pi_1(L)$ , where L is a closed connected subset of R. Replacing  $\pi_1(R)$  with  $\pi_1(L)$  we get a