M. Nakai Nagoya Math. J. Vol. 50 (1973), 67-87

ORDER COMPARISONS ON CANONICAL ISOMORPHISMS

MITSURU NAKAI

Consider a nonnegative Hölder continuous 2-form P(z)dxdy (z = x + iy) on a connected Riemann surface R. We denote by P(R) the linear space of solutions u of the equation $\Delta u = Pu$ on R and by PX(R) the subspace of P(R) consisting of those u with a certain boundedness property X. We also use the standard notations H(R) and HX(R) for P(R) and PX(R)with $P \equiv 0$. As for X we take B to mean the finiteness of the supremum norm $||u|| = \sup_{R} |u|$, D the finiteness of the Dirichlet integral D(u) = $\int_{R} du \wedge^{*} du$, E the finiteness of the energy integral $E(u) = \int_{R} (du \wedge^{*} du)$ $+ u^2(z)P(z)dxdy$, and their nontrivial combinations BD and BE. Let Q(z)dxdy be another 2-form of the same kind. We say that PX(R) is canonically isomorphic to QX(R) if there exists a linear isomorphism T of PX(R) onto QX(R) such that u and Tu have the same ideal boundary values for every u in PX(R) in the sense that |u - Tu| is dominated by a potential on R, i.e. a nonnegative superharmonic function whose greatest harmonic minorant is zero. In the pioneering work [14] concerning canonical isomorphisms, Royden proved the following order comparison theorem: If there exists a constant $c \ge 1$ such that

$$(1) c^{-1}P(z) \le Q(z) \le cP(z)$$

on hyperbolic R except possibly for a compact subset K of R, then PB(R) and QB(R) are canonically isomorphic. In this connection we wish to discuss the following two questions:

1°. Is the condition (1) also sufficient for PX(R) and QX(R) to be canonically isomorphic for X = D, E, BD, and BE?

 2° . In the affirmative case how large can we make the exceptional set K in (1) for X = B, D, E, BD, and BE?

Received September 12, 1972.