Commun. Math. Phys. 90, 1-26 (1983)

## **Reconstruction of Singularities for Solutions of Schrödinger's Equation**

Steven Zelditch

Department of Mathematics, Columbia University, New York, NY 10027, USA

Abstract. We determine the behavior in time of singularities of solutions to some Schrödinger equations on  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . We assume the Hamiltonians are of the form  $H_0 + V$ , where  $H_0 = 1/2\Delta + 1/2 \sum_{k=1}^{n} \omega_k^2 x_k^2$ , and where V is bounded and smooth with decaying derivatives. When all  $\omega_k = 0$ , the kernel k(t,x,y) of exp (-itH) is smooth in x for every fixed (t,y). When all  $\omega_1$  are equal but non-zero, the initial  $m\pi$ 

singularity "reconstructs" at times  $t = \frac{m\pi}{\omega_1}$  and positions  $x = (-1)^m y$ , just as

if V = 0; k is otherwise regular. In the general case, the singular support is shown to be contained in the union of the hyperplanes  $\{x|x_{js} = (-1)^l js_{y_{js}}\}$ , when  $\omega_j t/\pi = l_j$  for  $j = j_1, \dots, j_r$ .

## 0. Introduction

Let  $H = H_0 + V$  be a Schrödinger operator on  $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , where  $H_0$  is one of the model Hamiltonians:

(1) 
$$-1/2 \Delta$$
 Free Particle,  
(2)  $-1/2 \Delta + 1/2 |x|^2$  Isotropic Oscillator,  
(3)  $-1/2 \Delta + 1/2 \sum_{k=1}^{n} \omega_k^2 x_k^2$  Anisotropic Oscillator

and where the perturbing potential V is a 0-symbol on  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , i.e.  $|\partial_x^x v| \leq C_\alpha (1+|x|)^{-|\alpha|}$ . Then H generates a one parameter group of unitary operators  $U(t) = \exp - itH$ , whose Schwarz kernels we denote by  $k_V(t,x,y)$  (called "propagators"). Our goal is to determine the wave front sets of these  $k_V(t,x,y)$  when (t,y) are held fixed. This is the essential step in finding out how U(t) propagates singularities—or, more correctly, how U(t) smooths out and later reconstructs singularities.

The main problem is that although these distributions are oscillatory integral ones, i.e. of the form

$$k(t,x,y) = \int a(t,x,y,\theta) e^{iS(t,x,y,\theta)} d\theta,$$

they are not Lagrangian distributions (cf. 4, 7). Consequently,  $WF(k(t, \cdot, y)) \notin WF(k(t, \cdot, y))$