

## The Robinson–Trautman Type III Prolongation Structure Contains $K_2$

J.D. Finley, III

Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of New Mexico, Albuquerque, NM 87131, USA. Internet: finley@tagore.phys.unm.edu.

Received: 14 June 1995/Accepted: 20 September 1995

**Abstract:** The minimal prolongation structure for the Robinson–Trautman equations of Petrov type III is shown to always include the infinite-dimensional, contragredient algebra,  $K_2$ , which is of infinite growth. Knowledge of faithful representations of this algebra would allow the determination of Bäcklund transformations to evolve new solutions.

The general class of Robinson–Trautman solutions [1] to the vacuum Einstein field equations have been important examples of exact solutions for many years, albeit they seem to have various difficulties with respect to their interpretation [2]. They are solutions characterized by having a repeated principal null direction, which is of course geodesic and shearfree, and is required to be diverging but not twisting. The standard reference [3] gives the general form of the metric which any Einstein space must have if it permits such a repeated principal null direction, and notes that all possible algebraically-special Petrov types are allowed. In the case of Petrov type III, the field equations are [3] first reduced to

$$K = \Delta \log P \equiv 2P^2 \partial_\zeta \partial_{\bar{\zeta}} \log P = -3[f(\zeta, u) + \bar{f}(\bar{\zeta}, u)], \quad (1)$$

where  $K$  is the Gaussian curvature of the 2-surface spanned by  $\zeta$  and  $\bar{\zeta}$ . This equation determines the general RT-solution of Petrov type III. However, since  $u$  is nowhere explicitly mentioned within the partial differential equation (pde), it is well-known [3] that one could always simply ignore that dependence, perform a coordinate transformation sending  $f(\zeta) \rightarrow \zeta$ , leaving the curvature completely invariant, and reducing our equation to the rather simple-appearing equation

$$K = 2P^2 \partial_\zeta \partial_{\bar{\zeta}} \log P = -\frac{3}{2}(\zeta + \bar{\zeta}),$$

or

$$u_{x,y} = \frac{1}{2}(x + y)e^{-2u}, \quad \text{where } \log P \equiv u, \quad (2)$$