

Unitarization of a Singular Representation of $SO(p, q)$

B. Binegar and R. Zierau

Department of Mathematics, Oklahoma State University, Stillwater, Oklahoma 74078-0613, USA

Received June 20, 1990

Abstract. A geometric construction of a certain singular unitary representation of $SO_e(p, q)$, with $p + q$ even is given. The representation is realized geometrically as the kernel of a $SO_e(p, q)$ -invariant operator on a space of sections over a homogeneous space for $SO_e(p, q)$. The K -structure of these representations is elucidated and we demonstrate their unitarity by explicitly writing down an $\mathfrak{so}(p, q)$ -invariant positive definite hermitian form. Finally, we demonstrate that the annihilator in $\mathcal{U}[\mathfrak{g}]$ of this representation is the Joseph ideal, which is the maximal primitive ideal associated with the minimal coadjoint orbit.

1. Introduction

The irreducible unitary representations of a semisimple Lie group G fall into two basic classes; the tempered representations which enter the Plancherel decomposition of $L^2(G)$, and the “singular representations” which form the complement of the tempered representations in the full unitary dual of G . There are fairly uniform geometric constructions of the tempered representations that associate these representations with certain orbits of semisimple elements in the dual of the Lie algebra of G .

There is no such uniform scheme for constructing the singular unitary representations. A good geometric construction seems to be the procedure of Rawnsley, Schmid and Wolf ([R–S–W]) which uses indefinite harmonic theory to unitarize Dolbeault cohomology. However, the procedure works only in a narrow setting; it associates most of the unitary highest weight modules to elliptic coadjoint orbits. Other singular representations have geometric realizations. For example, the metaplectic representation is constructed by a quantization procedure known as the Kostant–Sternberg–Blattner method of moving polarizations (see [B]). There are also constructions using Howe’s dual pair picture (e.g., [M]), and there are constructions using twister techniques (e.g. [E–P–W] and [N1]). Even so, most of the success in constructing singular representations has been limited to singular highest weight representations.