

# Generalized Transition Probability, Mobility and Symmetries

Vittorio Cantoni

Istituto Matematico dell'Università, via Saldini 50, I-20133 Milano, Italy

**Abstract.** In the framework of Mackey's description of a physical system, the *generalized transition probability*, as defined in an earlier paper, is shown to be non-decreasing while the system evolves, and invariant when the evolution is reversible. It is also invariant under a natural action of the space-time symmetry group.

## 1. Introduction

As in [1], we shall adopt Mackey's description of a physical system in terms of a set  $\mathcal{S}$  of *states*, a set  $\mathcal{O}$  of *observables*, and a structure function  $\mu$  representing the probability distributions associated with the measurements of the observables on the states [2]. This broad framework is enough to define a *generalized transition probability*  $T(\alpha, \beta)$  on  $\mathcal{S} \times \mathcal{S}$  (or, equivalently, a distance function  $d(\alpha, \beta)$  which turns  $\mathcal{S}$  into a metric space). [1, 3–5].

Additional structure must be specified in order to exhibit how the generalized transition probability is related to the dynamical evolution of the system, and to the space-time symmetry group in a relativistic theory.

Following Mielnik [6, 7], we shall represent the set of all possible evolutions of the physical system by a *mobility semigroup*  $\mathcal{M}$ . Its natural action on  $\mathcal{S}$  and  $\mathcal{O}$  will turn out to be such that the generalized transition probability between any pair of evolving states cannot decrease with time. Under an additional reversibility assumption,  $\mathcal{M}$  becomes a group and  $T$  is preserved. Similarly, in a relativistic theory, the space-time symmetry group  $\mathcal{G}$  must have a natural action on  $\mathcal{S}$  and  $\mathcal{O}$  such that the function  $T$  be preserved.

We shall conclude by remarking that, in this perspective, the purely *metric* aspects of the quantum-mechanical formalism, directly related to observation *via* the transition probability, acquire a *primary* significance, while the underlying linear structure, and all its important consequences, appear in a certain sense as *derived* elements—a remark which seems pertinent both to the justification of the established formalism and to the search for its possible extensions or modifications.