

On the Local Central Limit Theorem for Gibbs Processes

G. Del Grosso

Istituto Matematico, Università di Roma, Roma, Italy

Received April 10, 1973; in revised form January 14, 1974

Abstract. We derive a sufficient condition for the validity of the local central limit theorem for Gibbs processes and their isomorphism with a Bernoulli shift.

1. Introduction

It has been recently realized to the class of δ -dimensional discrete time stationary Markov processes and the class of translation invariant finite range Gibbs processes are in one-to-one correspondence and define the same class of random fields [1–3] (see below for a precise statement).

To fix the notations we briefly recall the definitions. For simplicity the space of states will be restricted to be $I = [0, 1]$: the generalization to I finite is straightforward.

Definition 1. A stationary Markov process on a δ -dimensional lattice Z^δ is

- i) a translation invariant Borel probability measure μ on I endowed with the product topology (I being considered with the discrete topology);
- ii) μ has the property that if $A \subset Z^\delta$ is a finite region then the probability distribution of the events inside A is independent on the events outside

$$A_d \equiv \{\xi/\xi \in Z^\delta/A, d(\xi, A) \leq d, d(\xi, A) = \text{distance of } \xi \text{ from } A\}$$

where $0 \leq d < \infty$ and d depends on μ but not on A .

Before defining a Gibbs process observe that I^{Z^δ} can be regarded as the set of subsets $X \subset Z^\delta$. If $X \in I^{Z^\delta}$ is regarded as a subset of Z^δ we shall call its points the “occupied points” and we shall refer to X as to a “configuration”¹.

¹ It would be more appropriate to call such a process a d -Markov process I or a finite memory process since, if $\delta = 1$, it does not reduce to the equal definition of Markov process (unless $d = 1$). However it is known that one-dimensional finite memory processes are Markov processes on a different space of states (see, for instance, [1]).