## TREES AND DISCRETE SUBGROUPS OF LIE GROUPS OVER LOCAL FIELDS

## ALEXANDER LUBOTZKY

Let K be a locally compact field and G a simple K-group, G = G(K). A discrete subgroup  $\Gamma$  of G is called a *lattice* if  $G/\Gamma$  carries a finite G-invariant measure. It is a *uniform* (or cocompact) lattice if  $G/\Gamma$  is compact and non-uniform otherwise.

When the K-rank of G is greater than one, Margulis [Ma, Z] proved that  $\Gamma$  is arithmetic, establishing the conjecture of Selberg and Piatetski-Shapiro. This remarkable work left open the case of rank one groups.  $SL_2(\mathbb{R})$  contains continuous families of lattices (the Teichmüller spaces) and in particular it contains nonarithmetic lattices. By the Mostow rigidity theorem (cf. [M1]),  $SL_2(\mathbb{R})$  is essentially the only real simple Lie group which allows this phenomenon. Some other real rank one groups are known to have nonarithmetic lattices: Gromov and Piatetski-Shapiro [GPS] showed that SO(n, 1) have such lattices (earlier it was shown by Makarov and Vinberg for small n), Mostow [M2] constructed nonarithmetic lattices in SU(2, 1), and together with Deligne [DM] also in SU(3, 1). For the other rank one real groups: SU(n, 1)  $(n \ge 4)$ , Sp(n, 1) and  $F_4$  the problem is still open.

A related problem is the congruence subgroup problem, which asked: Given  $\Gamma$  arithmetic, are all its finite index subgroups congruence subgroups? Serre [S1] conjectured that  $\Gamma$  has the congruence subgroup property (CSP) if and only if rank<sub>K</sub>(G)  $\geq 2$ . The affirmative part of this conjecture was proved to a large extent (but mainly for nonuniform lattices; see [R1, R2] for precise results and history). Less is known for arithmetic lattices in real rank one groups: It is easy to prove that none of the arithmetic lattices in  $PSL_2(\mathbb{R}) = SO(2, 1)^0$  has CSP. The same holds for  $PSL_2(\mathbb{C}) = SO(3, 1)$  (see [S1] for the nonuniform case and [L1] for the uniform case). For SO(n, 1), general n, it is known only for some of the lattices (Millson [Mi]). Similarly, Kazdhan [Ka] showed that some lattices in SU(n, 1) do not have CSP. Again, nothing is known for Sp(n, 1) and  $F_4$ , which are of rank one but have Kazdhan property (T) like groups of higher rank.

In this note we will describe results on the structure of lattices in rank one groups over locally compact *nonarchimedean* fields, as well as some

©1989 American Mathematical Society 0273-0979/89 \$1.00 + \$.25 per page

Received by the editors May 24, 1988.

<sup>1980</sup> Mathematics Subject Classification (1985 Revision). Primary 22E40; Secondary 20G25.

Key words and phrases. Lie groups over local fields, discrete subgroups, trees.