## **ARGUESIAN LATTICES WHICH ARE NOT LINEAR**

## MARK D. HAIMAN

ABSTRACT. A linear lattice is one representable by commuting equivalence relations. We construct a sequence of finite lattices  $A_n$   $(n \ge 3)$  with the properties: (i)  $A_n$  is not linear, (ii) every proper sublattice of  $A_n$  is linear, and (iii) any set of generators for  $A_n$  has at least n elements. In particular,  $A_n$  is then Arguesian for  $n \ge 7$ . This settles a question raised in 1953 by Jónsson.

1. Introduction. A lattice L is *linear* if it is representable by commuting equivalence relations. Jónsson [6] showed that any such lattice is Arguesian. Numerous equivalent forms of the Arguesian law are now known; it is a strong condition with important applications in coordinatization theory [1, 2]. Nevertheless, the question raised by Jónsson, whether every Arguesian lattice is linear, has remained open until now.

Here we describe an infinite family  $\{A_n\}$   $(n \ge 3)$  of nonlinear lattices, Arguesian for  $n \ge 7$  (and possibly for  $n \ge 4$ ), settling Jónsson's question in the negative. Actually, we obtain more: a specific infinite sequence of identities strictly between Arguesian and linear, and a proof that the universal Horn theory of linear lattices is not finitely based.

**2.** The lattices  $A_n$ . Let  $n \ge 3$ . In what follows, all indices are modulo n, i.e.,  $x_{i+1}$  means  $x_0$  when i = n-1, etc. Let  $L_n$  be the lattice of all subspaces of a vector space v (dim v = 2n) over a prime field **K** with at least 3 elements. Let  $\{\alpha_0, \ldots, \alpha_{n-1}, \beta_0, \ldots, \beta_{n-1}\}$  be a basis of v. Let

(1) 
$$m = \langle \alpha_0, \dots, \alpha_{n-1} \rangle, \quad q_i = \langle \{\alpha_j | j \neq i \} \rangle, \quad p_i = q_i \wedge q_{i+1},$$
  
 $r_i = m \lor \langle \beta_i \rangle, \quad s_i = r_{i-1} \lor r_i,$ 

where  $\langle \cdots \rangle$  denotes linear span. Let

where  $[x, y] = \{z | x \le z \le y\}.$ 

 $A_n \subset L_n$  is a sublattice; the intervals in the union (2) are its maximal complemented intervals, or *blocks*; they are the blocks of a tolerance relation on  $A_n$  [5]; as such, the set S of blocks acquires a lattice structure; specifically,  $0_S = [0, m], 1_S = [m, v], a_i = [p_i, r_i]$  are atoms,  $b_i = [q_i, s_i]$  are coatoms, and  $a_i < b_i, b_{i+1}$  defines the order relation.

Let  $\overline{m}$  (dim  $\overline{m} = n$ ) be another vector space, with basis { $\overline{\alpha}_0, \ldots, \overline{\alpha}_{n-1}$ }. Define  $\overline{p}_i, \overline{q}_i$  by analogy with (1). Let  $F = \bigcup_i [p_i, v]$ ;  $F \subset \tilde{A}_n$  is an order filter. Within  $F, \bigcup_i [p_i, m]$  is an order ideal. Set up a "twisting" isomorphism

1980 Mathematics Subject Classification (1985 Revision). Primary 06C05.

Received by the editors March 25, 1986.

<sup>©1987</sup> American Mathematical Society 0273-0979/87 \$1.00 + \$.25 per page