## FATOU THEOREMS ON DOMAINS IN $C^n$

## STEVEN G. KRANTZ

If  $D \subseteq \mathbf{C}$  is the unit disc and  $0 then define <math>H^p(D)$  to be those f holomorphic on D such that

$$||f||_{H^p} \equiv \sup_{0 < r < 1} \int_0^{2\pi} |f(re^{i\theta})|^p d\theta^{1/p} < \infty.$$

The space  $H^{\infty}(D)$  consists of the bounded holomorphic functions equipped with the supremum norm. The classical Fatou theorem asserts that if  $f \in$  $H^{p}(D)$  then for a.e. (with respect to linear measure in  $\partial D$ )  $e^{i\theta} \in \partial D$  it holds that

$$\lim_{r \to 1^-} f(re^{i\theta}) \equiv f^*(e^{i\theta})$$

exists. For  $p \ge 1$ , the function f can be recovered from  $f^*$  by means of the Cauchy or Poisson integral formulas. See [**JG** or **SK**].

It is an important and useful fact that this radial approach to  $e^{i\theta} \in \partial D$ may be replaced by a more general type of approach: if  $\alpha > 1$  and  $P \in \partial D$ we define the *Stolz region* 

$$\Gamma_{\alpha}(P) = \{z \in D: |z - P| < \alpha \cdot (1 - |z|)\}.$$

Then, for any  $0 , <math>f \in H^p(D)$ , and  $\alpha > 1$  we have

$$\lim_{\Gamma_{\alpha}(P)\ni z\to P}f(z)=f^{*}(P)$$

for a.e.  $P \in \partial D$ . It is known [IP] that this nontangential method of approach is best possible.

If  $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{C}^n$  is a smoothly bounded domain then there is a similar theory of  $H^p$  spaces (also classical). (Let  $\delta_{\Omega}(z) \equiv \operatorname{dist}(z, \partial \Omega)$ .) In this theory one replaces

(i) the circles  $\{re^{i\theta}: 0 \le \theta < 2\pi\}$  by  $\partial \Omega_{\varepsilon} \equiv \{z \in \Omega: \delta_{\Omega}(z) = \varepsilon\}, \varepsilon$  small;

(ii) linear measure by (2n-1)-dimensional area measure;

(iii) Stolz regions  $\Gamma_{\alpha}$  by cones in space of fixed aperture.

It is a remarkable discovery of Korányi (for the ball and for certain symmetric domains [**AK1**, **AK2**]) and of Stein (for smoothly bounded domains [**ES1**]) that in  $\mathbb{C}^n$ , n > 1, the conical approach regions are not optimal for studying the boundary behavior of  $H^p$  functions. Indeed, they may be replaced by *admissible approach regions* which are conical in "complex normal directions" and parabolic in "complex tangential directions" (see [**ES1**, **SK**]).

©1987 American Mathematical Society 0273-0979/87 \$1.00 + \$.25 per page

Received by the editors June 30, 1986.

<sup>1980</sup> Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 32A35; Secondary 42B30.

Work supported in part by a grant from the National Science Foundation. I am grateful to the Institute for Advanced Study for its hospitality during a portion of this work.