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Introduction. The proofs given in $\S \S 1$ and 2 of this note were contained in a letter from the present writer to G. Lumer, sent shortly after the appearance of [1]. The subsequent appearance of [2] makes it desirable to publish these demonstrations. In [3] we mention an observation relevant to the topic of [2].

1. On [1]. [1] consists primarily of the proof of the following lemma and an addendum. Let $T$ be any selfadjoint operator in $\mathcal{H}$, a Hilbert space, $\mathcal{Y}$ a closed subspace in $\mathfrak{H}, P$ the orthogonal projection of $\mathfrak{H}$ onto $\mathcal{Y}, \chi=\mathcal{Y}^{\perp}$, the orthogonal complement of $\mathcal{Y}$ in $\mathfrak{H}$. Let $T_{0}=P T P$.

Lemma 1. If $\chi$ is finite dimensional, then $T_{0}$ is selfadjoint.
Our Proof. $D\left(T_{0}\right)=\mathscr{D}(T P)$ is dense by [3, Theorem IV 2.7(iv), p. 103; note $\mathscr{D}(T)$ dense is the only property of $T$ used in (iv)]. Any time $\mathscr{D}(T P)$ is dense, $\left(T_{0}\right)^{*} \equiv[P(T P)]^{*}=(T P)^{*} P \supseteq P T P=T_{0}$, and $T_{0}$ is selfadjoint iff $(T P)^{*}=P T$ iff $P T$ is closed, the latter implication seen from $(T P)^{*} \equiv\left(T^{*} P^{*}\right)^{*}=(P T)^{* *} \supseteq P T$, equality holding iff $P T$ is closed. But $P T$ is closed by [3, Theorem IV 2.7(i)].

The addendum of [1] asserts that a remark credited to G. Lumer in the acknowledgment of [4] is incorrect. However, the remark is correct in the context of bounded operators, which clearly was the context intended by Williams [4]. In that context, $T_{0}=A T A$ is obviously selfadjoint whenever $A$ and $T$ are.
2. On [2]. Let $S$ and $T$ be densely defined closed linear operators in $\mathfrak{H}$; [2] deals with the interesting question of when $(T S)^{*}=S^{*} T^{*}$
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