

# THE EMBEDDING PROBLEM FOR NOETHERIAN RINGS

BY LANCE W. SMALL

Communicated by I. N. Herstein, July 31, 1968

**1. Introduction.** Goldie's theorem implies that a semiprime Noetherian ring can be embedded in a semisimple Artinian ring. Furthermore, the author has shown [1] that any finitely-generated algebra over a commutative Noetherian ring can also be embedded in an Artinian ring. The question has thus arisen whether an arbitrary Noetherian ring can be so embedded. In this note we present an example to show that this is not possible. The ring constructed also fails to satisfy the descending chain condition on right annihilators.

**2. Definitions and notation.** In this note ring means ring with unit element, Noetherian means right Noetherian and Artinian will be right Artinian.

**3. The example.** We begin with a simple Noetherian domain  $R$  which is not a division ring (it is well known that such exist). Let  $K$  be a nonzero right ideal of  $R$ ,  $M = R/K$ , and

$$T(M) = \{m \in M \mid mr = 0, 0 \neq r \in R\}.$$

LEMMA. (1)  $T(M) = M$ .

(2) If  $m_1, \dots, m_n \in M$ , there is a nonzero  $d \in R$  such that  $m_i d = 0$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, n$ .

PROOF. (1) A typical element of  $M$  is of the form  $a + K$ . If  $0 \neq k \in K$ , we have by the Ore condition  $c, d \in R$  such that  $ac = kd$  which lies in  $K$ . Thus,  $(a + K)c = 0$ .

(2) Suppose  $m_i d_i = 0$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, n$ . Again by the Ore condition  $d_1 R \cap \dots \cap d_n R \neq 0$ . If  $0 \neq d = d_1 r_1 = \dots = d_n r_n$ , then it is clear that  $m_i d = 0$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, n$ .

Since  $R$  is simple, the annihilator of  $M$  is 0. Let  $\mathcal{F} = \{I \subset R \mid I \text{ is the annihilator of a finite subset of } M\}$ .

LEMMA.  $\mathcal{F}$  does not satisfy the minimum condition.

PROOF. If  $\mathcal{F}$  satisfied the minimum condition, there would be a minimal element  $V \in \mathcal{F}$ .  $V$  is the annihilator of  $\{m_1, \dots, m_n\}$ , say. If  $m$  is any other element of  $M$ , the annihilator (which is nonzero) of  $\{m, m_1, \dots, m_n\}$  is  $V \cap U$ , where  $U$  is the annihilator of  $m$ . By the choice of  $V$ , we have  $V = V \cap U$  so that  $V \subset U$  and  $V$  annihilates  $M$  which is a contradiction.