

# GENERATING GROUPS OF NILPOTENT VARIETIES

BY L. G. KOVÁCS, M. F. NEWMAN AND P. F. PENTONY

Communicated by Walter Feit, March 21, 1968

Problem 14 of Hanna Neumann's book [3] asks for a proof of a conjecture which is contradicted by the following

**THEOREM.** *If  $c$  is an integer greater than 2, then the variety  $\mathfrak{N}_c$  of all nilpotent groups of class at most  $c$  is generated by its free group  $F_{c-1}(\mathfrak{N}_c)$  of rank  $c-1$  but not by its free group  $F_{c-2}(\mathfrak{N}_c)$  of rank  $c-2$ .*

In the terms of [3], this means that for  $c > 2$  one has  $d(c) = c-1$  rather than  $d(c) = \lfloor c/2 \rfloor + 1$  as suggested in Problem 14; correspondingly, [3, 35.35] is false for  $c=5$  and 6. (Professor Neumann has confirmed that her proofs were faulty.)

The theorem was suggested by Graham Higman's approach to nilpotent varieties of class  $c$  and prime exponent greater than  $c$ , via the representation theory of the general linear groups [1]. In particular, he remarked that each critical group in such a variety can be generated by  $c-1$  elements (if  $c > 2$ ). Since  $F_c(\mathfrak{N}_c)$  generates  $\mathfrak{N}_c$  (cf. [3, 35.12]) and is residually of prime exponent (cf. Higman [2]), it follows easily that  $F_{c-1}(\mathfrak{N}_c)$  generates  $\mathfrak{N}_c$ . It is not difficult to use Higman's method for confirming the second half of the theorem as well.

In this note we outline a proof which avoids the conceptual complexity of Higman's approach; the price of this is paid for in length. Unless otherwise specified, our notation and terminology follow Hanna Neumann's book [3].

To prove the first half of the theorem, it is sufficient to find a set of homomorphisms from  $F_c(\mathfrak{N}_c)$  to  $F_{c-1}(\mathfrak{N}_c)$  whose kernels intersect trivially. Hanna Neumann did just this in the proof of [3, 35.35] for  $c=4$ , and the same idea works generally: if  $\{a_1, \dots, a_c\}$  is a free generating set for  $F_c(\mathfrak{N}_c)$  and  $\{b_1, \dots, b_{c-1}\}$  is one for  $F_{c-1}(\mathfrak{N}_c)$ , then the  $2c-1$  homomorphisms  $\delta_1, \dots, \delta_c, \theta_1, \dots, \theta_{c-1}$  defined by

$$\begin{aligned} a_j \delta_i &= b_j & \text{if } j < i, & \quad \text{and} & \quad a_j \theta_i = b_j & \text{if } j \leq i, \\ a_j \delta_i &= 1 & \text{if } j = i, & & a_j \theta_i = b_{j-1} & \text{if } j > i \\ a_j \delta_i &= b_{j-1} & \text{if } j > i & & & \end{aligned}$$

will do. The verification of this makes use of the unique representation of the elements of  $F_c(\mathfrak{N}_c)$  in terms of basic commutators in  $\{a_1, \dots, a_c\}$  as defined by Martin Ward [4]. The case of odd  $c$  is