## REDUCED PRODUCTS, HORN SENTENCES, AND DECISION PROBLEMS

## BY FRED GALVIN<sup>1</sup>

Communicated by D. Scott, September 1, 1966

We shall consider a first order language with equality, and the corresponding structures. *Reduced products* (powers) and Horn sentences are defined in [4, pp. 199, 211]. An  $\forall_n$  sentence is a prenex sentence whose prefix consists of a block of universal quantifiers, followed by a block of existential quantifiers, followed by another block of universal quantifiers, and so on *n* times;  $\exists_n$  sentences are defined dually. An *EC* (*HC*,  $\forall_n C$ ) is the class of all models of an elementary sentence (Horn sentence,  $\forall_n$  sentence), and an  $EC_{\Delta}$  ( $HC_{\Delta}$ ,  $\forall_n C_{\Delta}$ ) is the class of all models of a set of elementary sentences (Horn sentences,  $\forall_n$ sentences).

THEOREM 1. A sentence is preserved by proper reduced products iff it is equivalent to a Horn sentence.

The "if" part of Theorem 1 is due to Chang [4, Theorem 2.6, p. 215]. The "only if" was conjectured by Chang [8, p. 307] and proved by Keisler [8, result A, p. 307; Corollary 4.3, p. 322] assuming that at least one case of the generalized continuum hypothesis holds, i.e., that  $2^{\alpha} = \alpha^{+}$  for some infinite cardinal  $\alpha$ . Now, I have not found a direct proof of Theorem 1 without using the continuum hypothesis. Instead I have observed that, using Ershov's results in [2], one can eliminate the continuum hypothesis from Theorem 1 by Kreisel's method [11, p. 165] based on Gödel's proof of the consistency of the continuum hypothesis. On the basis of Theorem 1, several related results (Theorems 2, 6, and 8), previously known to follow from the continuum hypothesis, can be proved without assuming that hypothesis and without further recourse to Kreisel's method.

THEOREM 2. An  $EC_{\Delta}$  is closed under proper reduced products iff it is an  $HC_{\Delta}$ .

The "if" in Theorem 2 is due to Chang [4, Corollary 2.7, p. 215]; the "only if" was proved by Keisler [8, Corollary 4.1, p. 322] assum-

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Most of these results are from the author's thesis, supervised by Professor Bjarni Jónsson at the University of Minnesota, with support from NSF grant GP-1612. Other results were obtained and this paper was prepared under NSF grant GP-4608 at the University of California, under the direction of Professors Alfred Tarski and Leon Henkin.