ON THE STRUCTURE OF SEMI-NORMAL OPERATORS[1]

BY C. R. PUTNAM

Communicated by P. R. Halmos, July 7, 1963

1. **Preliminaries.** Only bounded operators on a Hilbert space $\mathfrak{H}$ of elements x will be considered. If A is self-adjoint with the spectral resolution

(1) $A = \int \lambda dE(\lambda)$,

and if $\mathfrak{H}_a = \mathfrak{H}_a(A)$ denotes the set of elements x for which $\|E(\lambda)x\|^2$ is an absolutely continuous function of λ, then $\mathfrak{H}_a$ is a subspace; cf. [**2**, p. 240], [**3**, p. 436] and [**6**, p. 104]. If $\mathfrak{H} = \mathfrak{H}_a$, then A is called absolutely continuous. The one-dimensional Lebesgue measure of the spectrum of a self-adjoint operator A will be denoted by meas sp(A).

An operator T on $\mathfrak{H}$ is called semi-normal if

(2) $TT^* - T^*T \equiv D \geqq 0$ or $D \leqq 0$.

There will be proved the following result concerning such an operator.

2. **Theorem.** *If T satisfies* (2) *and if $\mathfrak{M} = \mathfrak{M}_T$ is the smallest subspace of $\mathfrak{H}$ reducing T and containing the range of D, then*

(3) *$T + T^*$ is absolutely continuous on $\mathfrak{M}$,*

and, if $\mathfrak{M}^\perp$ denotes the orthogonal complement of $\mathfrak{M}$ (so that $\mathfrak{M}^\perp$ also reduces T), then

(4) *T is normal on $\mathfrak{M}^\perp$.*

In addition,

(5) $2\pi\|D\| \leqq \|T - T^*\|$ meas sp$(T + T^*)$,

and the inequality (5) *is optimal in the sense that there exist examples with $D \neq 0$ for which* (5) *becomes an equality.*

As a consequence, if T is semi-normal but not normal, then $\mathfrak{H}_a(T + T^*) \neq 0$, a result which can also be concluded from [**4**, Corollary 3, p. 1029], where the symbol "$<$" should be replaced by "$\neq$." (This same Corollary, incidentally, also implies the result proved by Andô [**1**] that a completely continuous semi-normal operator T must be normal. In fact, if T is completely continous, so also are T^* and $T + T^*$. But the spectrum of $T + T^*$ clearly must be of measure zero.)

If θ is real and $T(\theta) = e^{i\theta}T$, then (2) is unchanged if T is replaced by $T(\theta)$. Also, it is clear that the set $\mathfrak{M}_{T(\theta)}$ is independent of θ. It follows that (3), (4) and (5) remain valid if, in each instance, T is

[1] This work was supported by the National Science Foundation research grant NSF-G18915.