1. J. J. Dennis and H. S. Wall, The limit-circle case for a positive definite J-fraction, Duke Math. J. vol. 12 (1945) pp. 255-273.

2. E. Hellinger and H. S. Wall, Contributions to the analytic theory of continued fractions and infinite matrices, Ann. of Math. (2) vol. 44 (1943) pp. 103–127.

3. J. F. Paydon and H. S. Wall, The continued fraction as a sequence of linear transformations, Duke Math. J. vol. 9 (1942) pp. 360-372.

4. W. T. Scott and H. S. Wall, A convergence theorem for continued fractions, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. vol. 47 (1940) pp. 155–172.

5. ——, On the convergence and divergence of continued fractions, Amer. J. Math. vol. 69 (1947) pp. 551-561.

6. T. J. Stieltjes, Recherches sur les fractions continues, Oeuvres, vol. 2, pp. 402-566.

7. H. S. Wall and Marion Wetzel, Quadratic forms and convergence regions for continued fractions, Duke Math. J. vol. 11 (1944) pp. 89–102.

8. E. B. Van Vleck, On the convergence of continued fractions with complex elements, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. vol. 2 (1901) pp. 205–233.

THE UNIVERSITY OF TEXAS

REMARKS ON THE NOTION OF RECURRENCE

J. WOLFOWITZ

We give in several lines a simple proof of Poincaré's recurrence theorem.

THEOREM. Let Ω be a point set of finite Lebesgue measure, and T a one-to-one measure-preserving transformation of Ω into itself.¹ Let $B \subset A \subset \Omega$ be measurable sets such that, if $b \in B$, $T^n b \in A$ for all positive integral n. Then the measure m(B) of B is 0.

PROOF. First we show that, if i < j, $(T^iB)(T^jB) = 0$. Suppose $c \in T^jB$; then from the hypothesis on B it follows that j is the smallest integer such that $T^{-i}c \in A$. Hence $c \notin T^iB$. Now if $m(B) = \delta > 0$, Ω would contain infinitely many disjunct sets T^nB , each of measure δ . This contradiction proves the theorem.

The following generalization of the above theorem is trivially obvious: The result holds if we replace the hypothesis that T is measure-preserving by the following: If m(D) > 0, $\limsup_i m\{T^i(D)\} > 0$.

Received by the editors April 3, 1948.

¹ For a discussion in probability language see M. Kac, On the notion of recurrence in discrete stochastic processes, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. vol. 53 (1947) pp. 1002–1010.