ON THE NUMBER OF 1-1 DIRECTLY CONFORMAL MAPS WHICH A MULTIPLY-CONNECTED PLANE REGION OF FINITE CONNECTIVITY p (>2) ADMITS ONTO ITSELF

MAURICE HEINS

1. Introduction. It is well known¹ that a plane multiply-connected region G of finite connectivity greater than two admits only a finite number of 1-1 directly conformal maps onto itself (such maps will be termed henceforth conformal automorphisms of G); in fact, if G is of connectivity p(>2), then the number of conformal automorphisms of G can in no case exceed p(p-1)(p-2). The object of the present note is to determine the best upper bound, N(p), for the number of conformal automorphisms of G as a function of the connectivity p. The basic theorems are:

THEOREM A. The group of conformal automorphisms of a plane region of finite connectivity p(>2) is isomorphic to one of the finite groups of linear fractional transformations of the extended plane onto itself.

THEOREM B. If p(>2) is different from 4, 6, 8, 12, 20, then N(p) = 2p. For the exceptional values of p, one has

N(4) = 12, N(6) = N(8) = 24, N(12) = N(20) = 60.

The proofs of these theorems are based upon the following results:²

I. An arbitrary plane region G of finite connectivity p admits a 1-1 directly conformal map onto a canonical plane region G^* whose boundary consists of points and complete circles (either possibly absent), in all p in number, and mutually disjoint.

If G and G^* denote the groups of conformal automorphisms of Gand G^* respectively, then G is isomorphic to G^* . Hence for the purposes of the present problem it suffices to consider the canonical regions and their associated groups of conformal automorphisms.

II. A conformal automorphism of a canonical region G^* admits an extension in definition throughout the extended complex plane as a linear fractional transformation.

Received by the editors, January 14, 1946.

¹ Cf. G. Julia, Lecons sur la représentation conforme des aires multiplement connexes, Paris, 1934. In particular, see pp. 68–69.

² Cf. Hurwitz-Courant, Funktionentheorie, Berlin, 1929. See pp. 512-520.