

SOME REMARKS ON EULER'S ϕ FUNCTION AND SOME RELATED PROBLEMS

PAUL ERDÖS

The function $\phi(n)$ is defined to be the number of integers relatively prime to n , and $\phi(n) = n \cdot \prod_{p|n} (1 - p^{-1})$.

In a previous paper¹ I proved the following results:

(1) The number of integers $m \leq n$ for which $\phi(x) = m$ has a solution is $o(n [\log n]^{\epsilon-1})$ for every $\epsilon > 0$.

(2) There exist infinitely many integers $m \leq n$ such that the equation $\phi(x) = m$ has more than m^c solutions for some $c > 0$.

In the present note we are going to prove that the number of integers $m \leq n$ for which $\phi(x) = m$ has a solution is greater than $cn(\log n)^{-1} \log \log n$.

By the same method we could prove that the number of integers $m \leq n$ for which $\phi(x) = m$ has a solution is greater than $n(\log n)^{-1} (\log \log n)^k$ for every k . The proof of the sharper result follows the same lines, but is much more complicated. If we denote by $f(n)$ the number of integers $m \leq n$ for which $\phi(x) = m$ has a solution we have the inequalities

$$n(\log n)^{-1} (\log \log n)^k < f(n) < n(\log n)^{\epsilon-1}.$$

By more complicated arguments the upper and lower limits could be improved, but to determine the exact order of $f(n)$ seems difficult.

Also Turán and I proved some time ago that the number of integers $m \leq n$ for which $\phi(m) \leq n$ is $cn + o(n)$. We shall give this proof, and also discuss some related questions:

LEMMA 1. *Let $a < \epsilon$, $b < n$, $a \neq b$, $\epsilon = (\log \log n)^{-100}$. Then the number of solutions $N_n(a, b)$ of*

$$(1) \quad (p-1)a = (q-1)b, \quad p \leq na^{-1}, \quad q \leq nb^{-1},$$

p, q primes, does not exceed

$$(2) \quad \frac{(a, b)}{ab} \frac{n}{(\log n)^2} (\log \log n)^{30}.$$

PROOF. Put $(a, b) = d$. Then we have $p \equiv 1 \pmod{bd^{-1}}$. Also $(p-1)ab^{-1} + 1 = q$ is a prime. We can assume that both p and q in (1) are greater

Received by the editors February 9, 1945.

¹ *On the normal number of prime factors of $p-1$* , Quart. J. Math. Oxford Ser. vol. 6 (1935) pp. 205-213.