## A NOTE ON DIFFERENTIAL POLYNOMIALS

## A. P. HILLMAN

The following theorem indicates to what extent the expression of a differential polynomial ${ }^{1} G$ as an element of the differential ideal determined by $F$ is unique.

Theorem I. Let $F \neq 0, C_{0}, C_{1}, \cdots, C_{s}$ be differential polynomials in the unknowns $y_{1}, \cdots, y_{n}$ with coefficients in an abstract differential field 7 . Let $F^{(i)}$ be the ith derivative of $F$ and let

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{0} F+C_{1} F^{\prime}+\cdots+C_{s} F^{(s)} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

be identically zero. Then each $C_{i}$ is in the perfect ideal generated by $F .{ }^{2}$
We need merely show that any solution $y_{j}=\bar{y}_{j}(j=1, \cdots, n)$, in any extension $\mathscr{F}_{1}$ of $\mathcal{F}$, of the form $F$ is a solution of each $C_{i} .{ }^{3}$ Since this is true if $F$ has no solutions, we may assume that $F$ effectively involves the unknowns. Make the substitution $y_{j}=z_{j}+\bar{y}_{j}$ in (1). Let $A$ consist of the terms of $F$ of lowest degree in the $z_{j}$ and their derivatives. Collecting terms of the same degree, we see that

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{0}(\bar{y}) A+\cdots+C_{s}(\bar{y}) A^{(s)}=0 \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $C_{i}(\bar{y})$ is the element of $\mathcal{F}_{1}$ obtained by substituting $y_{j}=\bar{y}_{j}$ $(j=1, \cdots, n)$ in $C_{i}$. Let $A$ be of order $p \geqq 0$ in some $z_{k}$ which it effectively involves, let $z_{k, m}$ be the $m$ th derivative of $z_{k}$, and let $S$ be the partial derıvative of $A$ with respect to $z_{k, p}$. For $i>0, A^{(i)}$ can be written as $S z_{k, p+i}+B_{i}$, where $B_{i}$ is some form of order less than $p+i$ in $z_{k}$. Now (2) becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{s}(\bar{y}) S z_{k, p+s}+D=0 \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $D$ has order less than $p+s$ in $z_{k}$. Hence $C_{s}(\bar{y})=0$. In turn $C_{s-1}, \cdots, C_{0}$ must vanish for $y_{j}=\bar{y}_{j}$ as desired.

Using the ideas of the above proof together with a uniqueness result of J. F. Ritt, ${ }^{4}$ one can very easily prove the following generalization.
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