A NOTE ON THE ASSOCIATIVE LAW IN LOGICAL ALGEBRAS*

BY H. B. CURRY

1. Introduction. In 1925 Bernays published \dagger a proof that within the formalism of the *Principia Mathematica* the proposition "Assoc" could be derived from the other primitive propositions. The purpose of this note is to give an alternative proof which brings out the fact that a similar conclusion holds in a variety of other systems, described hereunder as systems *B*.[‡] The proof is essentially a refinement of that given by Schröder in his *Vorlesungen über die Algebra der Logik*, vol. 1, pp. 255–257, and credited to C. S. Peirce.§

2. Definition of Systems A and B. A system A is a system consisting of a class K containing a rule of combination (which we may call multiplication and denote by simple juxtaposition), and a relation < such that

- I. *p* < *pp*.
- II. pq < q.
- III. pq < qp.
- IV. If p < q and q < r, then p < r.
- A system B is a system A which has the additional properties: V. If p < q, then rp < rq.
- VI. If p < q and p < r, then p < qr.

THEOREM 1. If a system A has either of the properties V, VI, it has the other, and so is a system B.

* Presented to the Society, January 2, 1936.

† Mathematische Zeitschrift, vol. 25, p. 312.

 \ddagger Bernays' proof is also valid in a system *B*. Indeed, he recognizes (footnote 6, loc. cit.) that his proof is valid in more general systems, although he does not formulate any explicit limitations on them.

§ Thus the present proof contains nothing essentially new and is probably known to several writers. The author has been led to publish it solely by the fact that the validity of Peirce's proof under these circumstances appears not to be universally realized; certainly it was overlooked by the authors of the *Principia*.

 \parallel It should be recognized that I–VI are non-formal statements about the system concerned and not mere formulas. It is, of course, a weaker hypothesis that such rules hold than that formulas to the same effect are provable.