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Until very recently all the results of the investigations into the magnitude of the coefficients of the cyclotomic polynomial

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q_{n}(x)=\prod_{\delta \mid n}\left(1-x^{n / \delta}\right)^{\mu(\delta)} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

tended to show that these coefficients are very small indeed. In fact for $n<105$ all the coefficients are $\pm 1$, and 0 , and for $n<385$ they do not exceed 2 in absolute value.

In 1883 Migotti* showed that the coefficients of $Q_{n}(x)$ are all $\pm 1$ or 0 for $n$ a product of two primes, but noted that the coefficient of $x^{7}$ in $Q_{105}(x)$ is -2 . In 1895 Bang $\dagger$ proved that no coefficient of $Q_{n}(x)$ for $n=p q r,(p<q<r$, odd primes), exceeds $p-1$.

Nothing further was done on the problem until 1931, when I. Schur gave a very ingenious proof of the following theorem.

Schur's Theorem. There exist cyclotomic polynomials with coefficients arbitrarily large in absolute value.

As this proof has not been published, it is given below. $\ddagger$
Proof. Let $n=p_{1} p_{2} \cdots p_{t}$, where $t$ is odd and $p_{1}<p_{2}<\cdots<p_{t}$ are odd primes such that $\S p_{1}+p_{2}>p_{t}$. To prove the theorem it is sufficient to show that the coefficient of $x^{p_{t}}$ in $Q_{n}(x)$ is $1-t$. This can be done by taking $Q_{n}(x)$ modulo $x^{p_{t+1}}$. We then get

$$
\begin{aligned}
Q_{n}(x) & \equiv \prod_{i=1}^{t}\left(1-x^{p_{i}}\right) /(1-x) \\
\equiv & \left(1+x+\cdots+x^{p_{t}-1}\right)\left(1-x^{p_{1}}\right)\left(1-x^{p_{2}}\right) \cdots\left(1-x^{p_{t}-1}\right) \\
\equiv & \left(1+x+\cdots+x^{p_{t}-1}\right)\left(1-x^{p_{1}}-x^{p_{2}}-\cdots-x^{p_{t-1}}\right) \\
& \left(\bmod x^{p_{t}+1}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

[^0]
[^0]:    * Sitzungsberichte, Akademie der Wissenschaften, Wien. (math), (2), vol. 87 (1883), pp. 7-14.
    $\dagger$ Nyt Tidsskrift for Mathematik, (B), vol. 6 (1895), pp. 6-12.
    $\ddagger$ This proof is essentially the one given by Schur in a letter to Landau.
    § Surh a set of primes exists for every $t$.

