## THE FORM wx + xy + yz + zu

## BY E. T. BELL

## 1. Introduction. In the usual notation,

$$N \equiv N [n = wx + xy + yz + zu; w, x, z, u > 0; y \ge 0]$$

denotes the number of sets (w, x, y, z, u) of integers, subject to the conditions indicated, satisfying the stated equation in which n is an arbitrary constant integer >0. Let  $\zeta_r(n)$  denote the sum of the rth powers of all the divisors of n, so that  $\zeta_0(n)$  is the number of divisors. Then

(1) 
$$N = \zeta_2(n) - n\zeta_0(n).$$

This curious result is the only one of the numerous theorems on quadratic forms stated by Liouville for which (apparently) no proof has been published.\*

We shall first show that (1) follows from

(2) 
$$2N' = \zeta_2(n) - 2n\zeta_0(n) + \zeta_1(n),$$

$$N' \equiv N' [n = wx + xy + yz + zu + ux; \quad w, x, y, z > 0; \quad u \ge 0],$$

and then prove (2). Another similar result is stated in §5.

2. Equivalence of (1) and (2). The form in N' may be written

$$yz + (z + x)u + x(w + y);$$

and hence, by the conditions on the variables,  $w+y \equiv y' > y$ . Thus (2) is equivalent to

(3) 
$$\begin{aligned} \zeta_2(n) &= 2N\zeta_0(n) + \zeta_1(n) \\ &= 2N' [n = yz + (z+x)u + xw; x, y, z, w > 0; u \ge 0; w > y]. \end{aligned}$$

Applying the substitution (xz)(yw) to the last we see that (3) holds also when the condition w > y is replaced by w < y.

Consider now the remaining possibility, w = y. The equation becomes

<sup>\*</sup> J. Liouville, Comptes Rendus, Paris, vol. 62 (1866), p. 714; also, Journal de Mathématiques, (2), vol. 12 (1867), pp. 47–48. Noted in Dickson's *History*, vol. 3, p. 237. Liouville points out why the theorem is unusual.