## ON THE LAW OF QUADRATIC RECIPROCITY*

## BY ALBERT WHITEMAN

The following proof of the law of quadratic reciprocity, which depends upon a modified form of the Gaussian criterion, is believed to be new.

According to the usual form of this criterion, if $p$ is any integer not divisible by the odd prime $q$, then $p$ is a quadratic residue or non-residue of $q$ according as in the series

$$
p, 2 p, 3 p, \cdots,(q-1) p / 2
$$

the number of numbers whose least positive remainders $(\bmod q)$ exceed $q / 2$ is even or odd. But, if $\lambda p=\mu q+r, q / 2<r<q$, then $2 \lambda p=(2 \mu+1) q+2 r-q$, and conversely. Hence we have the transformed criterion: $p$ is a quadratic residue or non-residue of $q$ according as the number of least positive odd remainders in the series:

$$
\begin{equation*}
2 p, 4 p, 6 p, \cdots,(q-1) p \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

is even or odd. $\dagger$
In the following discussion $p, q$ represent any two odd primes such that $q>p$. Let $r$ denote any odd remainder of (1) such that $p<r<q$. Then, for a suitable $\lambda,(1 \leqq \lambda \leqq(q-1) / 2)$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
2 \lambda p \equiv r \quad(\bmod q) \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

whence

$$
\begin{equation*}
(q+1-2 \lambda) p \equiv p+q-r \quad(\bmod q) \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $p<p+q-r<q$.
Congruences (2) and (3) are identical only for $2 \lambda=(q+1) / 2$, $r=(p+q) / 2$. Hence the odd remainders of (1) that are greater than $p$ may be arranged in pairs by means of (2) and (3) except
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[^0]:    * Presented to the Society, February 23, 1935.
    $\dagger$ For other proofs of the reciprocity law using this transformed criterion see a paper by Lange, Ein Elementarer Beweis des Reziprozitäts-gesetzes, Berichte der Koeniglichen Sachsischen Gesellschaft, vol. 48 (1896), p. 629; vol. 49 (1897), p. 607; see also P. Bachmann, Niedere Zahlentheorie, Part 1, 1902, pp. 256-261, and pp. 266-267.

