For, the given identity implies

$$
a_{2 r}+b_{2 r}+\cdots+c_{2 r}=0, \quad(r=0,1, \cdots)
$$

and therefore

$$
\begin{gathered}
p_{0}\left(a_{0}+b_{0}+\cdots+c_{0}\right)+p_{2}\left(a_{2}+b_{2}+\cdots+c_{2}\right)+\cdots \\
+p_{2 s}\left(a_{2 s}+b_{2 s}+\cdots+c_{2 s}\right)=0
\end{gathered}
$$

which is the stated conclusion.
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## ON SYMMETRIC PRODUCTS OF TOPOLOGICAL SPACES*

## BY KAROL BORSUK AND STANISLAW ULAM

1. Introduction. This paper is devoted to an operation that is defined for an arbitrary topological $\dagger$ space $E$ and is analogous to the operation of constructing the combinatorial product spaces. $\ddagger$ We shall be concerned with the topological properties of point sets defined by means of the above operation when executed on the segment $0 \leqq x \leqq 1$.

Let $E$ be an arbitrary topological space. Let $E^{n}$ denote the $n$th topological product of the space $E$, that is, the space whose elements are ordered systems $\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \cdots, x_{n}\right)$ of points $x_{i} \in E$. By a neighborhood of a point $\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \cdots, x_{n}\right)$, we understand the set of all systems ( $x_{1}^{\prime}, x_{2}^{\prime}, \cdots, x_{n}^{\prime}$ ), where $x_{i}^{\prime}$ belongs to a neighborhood $u_{i}$ of the point $x_{i}$ in the space $E . \ddagger$

The operation with which we are concerned in this paper consists in constructing a space which we shall call the $n$th symmetric product of the space $E$ and denote by $E(n)$. Its elements are non-ordered systems of $n$ points (which may be different or not) belonging to $E$. Two systems differing only by the order or multiplicity of elements are considered identical. A non-ordered system or simply a set consisting of $n$ points $x_{1}, \cdots, x_{n}$ from the space $E$ will be denoted by $\left\{x_{1}, x_{2}, \cdots, x_{n}\right\}$. If $u_{i}$ is a neighborhood of the point $x_{i}$ in the space $E$, then the set of all systems

[^0]
[^0]:    * The definition of symmetric products is given below.
    $\dagger$ In the sense of Hausdorff, Grundzïge der Mengenlehre, p. 228.
    $\ddagger$ See, for example, F. Hausdorff, Grundzüge der Mengenlehre, p. 102.

