A SET OF AXIOMS FOR LINE GEOMETRY*

BY M. G. GABA

1. Introduction. In 1901 Pieri proposed a set of axioms for line geometry in terms of line and intersection.[†] That Pieri's set of eleven postulates was not independent was shown by Hedrick and Ingold in 1914; they proposed a simpler and more elegant set of but five independent axioms, using the same undefined concepts.[‡] Both of these sets are for geometries equiva ent to the general three-space geometry established by axioms A_1 , A_2 , A_3 , E_0 , E_1 , E_2 , E_3 and E_3' of Veblen and Young.§

In this paper is given a set of six independent axioms in terms of line as an undefined element and an undefined class of one-to-one correspondences among the lines called collineations. There is introduced but one defined term before the complete statement of the axioms. To make a proper projective space it has usually been necessary not only to add a postulate of projectivity but also a sequence of definitions for such concepts as perspectivity, projectivity, etc., to give that postulate content. If to our set a seventh postulate is added, we have a proper projective three-space without the intervention of any additional defined concepts.

2. Postulates. Our basis is a class of undefined elements, called *lines*; an undefined class of one-to-one correspondences, or transformations, among the lines, called *collineations*; and

^{*} Presented to the Society, Nov. 27, 1920.

[†] Sui principi che regno la geometria delle rette, TORINO ATTI, vol. 36 (1901), pp. 335–351.

A set of axioms for line geometry, Transactions of this Society, vol. 15 (1914), pp. 205–214.

[§] A set of assumptions for projective geometry, AMERICAN JOURNAL, vol. 30 (1908); Projective Geometry, vol. 1, Boston, 1910.

 $[\]parallel$ Another set of postulates equivalent to the set of all seven of the axioms is the first seven given by the author in his paper A set of postulates for general projective geometry, TRANSACTIONS OF THIS SOCIETY, vol. 16 (1915), pp. 51-61.