and the points of $S^{\prime}$, a one-to-one reciprocal correspondence preserving limits.*

The following theorems may be easily established with the assistance of Theorem 18 of $\S 2$ and Theorem IV of my paper "On a set of postulates which suffice to define a numberplane." $\dagger$

Theorem A. Every two-dimensional space that satisfies Hilbert's plane axioms of Groups I and II (or Veblen's I-VIII) together with Axiom $A$ is equivalent, from the standpoint of analysis situs, either to a two-dimensional euclidean space or to an everywhere dense subset thereof.

Theorem B. $\ddagger$ Every two-dimensional space that satisfies Hilbert's plane axioms of Groups I, II and III (or Veblen's I-VIII, XII) together with Desargues' theorem and Axiom A is descriptively equivalent either to a two-dimensional euclidean space or an everywhere dense subset thereof.

Corollary. Pascal's theorem§ is a consequence of Hilbert's plane axioms of Groups I, II and III together with Desargues' theorem and Axiom $A$.

The University of Pennsylvania.

## A TYPE OF SINGULAR POINTS FOR A TRANSFORMATION OF THREE VARIABLES.

by DR. W. v. Lovitt.

(Read before the American Mathematical Society, December 31, 1915.)
In the Transactions for October, 1915, I discussed some singularities of a point transformation in three variables
(1) $\quad x=\phi(u, v, w), \quad y=\psi(u, v, w), \quad z=\chi(u, v, w)$

[^0]
[^0]:    * The statement that such a correspondence preserves limits signifies that if $A$ is a point of $S, M$ is a point set of $S$, and $A^{\prime}$ and $M^{\prime}$ respectively are the corresponding point and point set of $S^{\prime}$ then $P$ is a limit point of $M$ if, and only if, $P^{\prime}$ is a limit point of $M^{\prime}$. Here $P$ is said to be a limit point of $M$ if, and only if, every triangle of $S$ that contains $P$ within it contains within it at least one point of $M$ distinct from $P$.
    $\dagger$ Transactions of the American Mathematical Society, vol. 16 (1915), pp. 27-32.
    $\ddagger$ For a corresponding theorem regarding Axiom B (cf. footnote in § 2) see Vahlen, loc. cit., pp. 158-163.
    § Cf. Hilbert, loc. cit., p. 40.

