etc., these being of weight 4 and 6 respectively.
If the system of equations (2) is equivalent to a single linear differential equation of the second order, all of the invariants vanish, and conversely.

Any system of form (2) can be transformed into another for which $p_{i k}=0$. This is called the semicanonical form of the system. The subgroup $G^{\prime}$ of $G$ which leaves this form unchanged is examined. But we can fulfill the further condition $q_{11}+q_{22}=0$, and a system for which both $p_{12}=0$ and $q_{11}+q_{22}=0$, is said to be in the canonical form. The subgroup $G^{\prime \prime}$ of $G^{\prime}$ which leaves this unchanged is a finite group of very simple form, and has some additional invariants of the form called quadri-derivatives by Forsyth.

Only a few simple results about covariants are mentioned. This and further generalizations are left for a future paper.
F. N. Cole.

Columbia University.

## ON LINEAR DEPENDENCE OF FUNCTIONS OF ONE VARIABLE.

BY PROFESSOR MAXIME BÔCHER.
It is ordinarily stated that the identical vanishing of the determinant
is a sufficient* condition for the linear dependence of the functions $u_{1}(x), u_{2}(x), \cdots, u_{n}(x)$. This is perfectly true if the $u$ 's are analytic functions of the complex variable $x$. This condition is however no longer sufficient if we are dealing with functions of a real variable, even though these functions possess derivatives of all orders for every real value of $x$. The truth of this statement will be seen from the example of two functions $u_{1}$ and $u_{2}$ defined as follows:

$$
u_{1}=\left\{\begin{array}{ll}
e^{-1 / x^{2}} & (x \neq 0) \\
0 & (x=0)
\end{array} \quad u_{2}= \begin{cases}e^{-1 / x^{2}} & (x>0) \\
0 & (x=0) \\
2 e^{-1 / x^{2}} & (x<0)\end{cases}\right.
$$

[^0]
[^0]:    * It is of course a necessary condition provided the $u$ 's have derivatives of the first $n-1$ orders.

