

ON THE DEFINITION OF LOGARITHMS.

BY PROF. MELLE W. HASKELL.

AFTER reading Professor Stringham's interesting paper* on "A Classification of Logarithmic Systems," and at his suggestion, I undertook to examine his definitions from the point of view of the theory of functions. The results of my investigation are embodied in the following paper. If I have taken the liberty of deriving some well-known formulæ, I hope that at least the point of view will prove interesting.

Starting from Riemann's definition of the logarithm as that function $\phi(z)$ which satisfies the equation

$$\phi(uz) = \phi(u) + \phi(z), \quad (1)$$

we can easily find an expression for the derivative of the logarithm in terms of the derivative of the independent variable as follows:† Differentiating equation (1) on the assumption that z is constant, we have

$$z\phi'(uz) = \phi'(u);$$

whence, if we write $u = 1$, it follows that

$$z\phi'(z) = \phi'(1).$$

The value of $\phi'(1)$ can be chosen at will and is characteristic for the system of logarithms under consideration. It is called the *modulus* of the system, and we shall denote it by $M = m \text{ cis } \beta$.

Let us write $\phi(z) = w$, and denote time-derivates by dots. The last equation obtained can then be written

$$\dot{w} = M \frac{\dot{z}}{z}. \quad (2)$$

Writing $z = r \text{ cis } \theta$, we find by differentiation

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{z} &= \dot{r} \text{ cis } \theta + r(-\sin \theta + i \cos \theta)\dot{\theta} = (\dot{r} + ir\dot{\theta}) \text{ cis } \theta \\ &= \left(\frac{\dot{r}}{r} + i\dot{\theta}\right)z, \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

whence follows immediately

$$\dot{w} = M \frac{\dot{z}}{z} = M \left(\frac{\dot{r}}{r} + i\dot{\theta}\right). \quad (4)$$

* *American Journal of Mathematics*, vol. 14, p. 187.

† See, for example, Dürège, "Theorie der Funktionen," 3. Aufl.