

ORTHOGONAL TRANSFORMATIONS FOR WHICH  
TOPOLOGICAL EQUIVALENCE IMPLIES  
LINEAR EQUIVALENCE

BY W.-C. HSIANG<sup>1</sup> AND WILLIAM PARDON<sup>2</sup>

Let  $R_1, R_2 \in O(n)$ , the group of orthogonal transformations of  $\mathbf{R}^n$ . We say  $R_1$  and  $R_2$  are *topologically* (resp. *linearly*) *equivalent* if there is a homeomorphism (resp. linear automorphism)  $f: \mathbf{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^n$  such that

$$(1) \quad f^{-1}R_1f = R_2: \mathbf{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^n, \quad f(0) = 0.$$

(Of course, linear equivalence of  $R_1$  with  $R_2$  is the same as equality of the respective sets of complex eigenvalues.) The *order* of an orthogonal transformation is its order as an element of  $O(n)$ . The purpose of this note is to announce and discuss the proof of the following result [HP].

**THEOREM A.** *Let  $R_1, R_2 \in O(n)$  have order  $k = l2^m$ , where  $l$  is odd and  $m \geq 0$ . Suppose that*

(a)  $R_1$  and  $R_2$  are topologically equivalent, and

(b) each eigenvalue of  $R_1^l$  and  $R_2^l$  is either 1 or a primitive  $2^m$ th root of unity. Then  $R_1$  and  $R_2$  are linearly equivalent.

If  $G$  is a group and  $\rho_1, \rho_2: G \rightarrow O(n)$  are orthogonal representations, we say  $\rho_1$  and  $\rho_2$  are *topologically* (resp. *linearly*) *equivalent* if there is a homeomorphism (resp. linear automorphism)  $f: \mathbf{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^n, f(0) = 0$ , such that

$$(2) \quad f\rho_1(g)(x) = \rho_2(g)f(x),$$

for all  $x \in \mathbf{R}^n, g \in G$ . Here is an equivalent statement of Theorem A giving a more geometric description of its condition (b).

**THEOREM B.** *Let  $\rho_1, \rho_2: G \rightarrow O(n)$  be orthogonal representations of the finite group  $G$  such that  $\rho_1|_H$  and  $\rho_2|_H$  define semi-free actions of  $H$  on  $\mathbf{R}^n$  for each cyclic 2-subgroup  $H$  of  $G$ . If  $\rho_1$  and  $\rho_2$  are topologically equivalent, then they are linearly equivalent.*

Returning to Theorem A, note that if  $k$  is odd, condition (b) may be omitted; in this case the result has been proved independently, using rather different methods, by Madsen and Rothenberg [MR]. If  $k$  is an odd prime power,

---

Received by the editors November 16, 1981.

1980 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 57C25; Secondary 57C10, 57E10.

<sup>1</sup>Partially supported by NSF Grant GP 34324X1.

<sup>2</sup>Partially supported by NSF Grant MCS 78-02404.

© 1982 American Mathematical Society  
0273-0979/81/0000-0081/\$01.75