

## STRONG REDUCIBILITIES

BY PIERGIORGIO ODIFREDDI<sup>1</sup>

*Dedicated to my teacher Flavio Previale*

### Table of Contents

#### Acknowledgements

1. Introduction
2. Where it all started: Post's problem and  $T$ -completeness
3. The structure inside single degrees
4. The structure of  $m$ -degrees
5. The structure of 1-degrees
6. The structure of  $tt$ -degrees
7. Elementary equivalence and undecidability
8. Where we end: variations on the theme of  $tt$ -reducibility

**Acknowledgements.** During the writing of the first draft I have been helped in many ways by Carl Jockusch, Jr., who patiently explained to me an incredible number of results on these and other topics. R. Shore and R. Soare have extensively commented on it and given many suggestions for the Introduction. Sincere thanks to them.

I'm also indebted to Marat Arslanov, Richard Epstein, Yuri Ershov, Georg Kreisel, Donald Martin, Anil Nerode and Steve Simpson for help, advice and corrections.

**1. Introduction.** The notion of algorithm permeates the experience of every mathematician, and goes back to the very origins of mathematics. To answer positively a problem asking for an algorithmic solution, the intuitive notion of algorithm is sufficient since we can simply exhibit a solution and convince ourselves that the procedure is effective. This has been done for centuries. If we have instead reasons to believe that the answer is negative, we need a formal characterization of algorithm to prove that each one is ruled out as a solution. Such a characterization was only obtained in the late 1930's with the work of Herbrand, Gödel, Church, Turing, Post and Kleene. (See [Da1].) They defined various notions of recursive function (on the set of natural numbers) and the related concept of recursively enumerable (r.e.) set, using for example abstract machines, routine rules of calculation or generative grammars. All of these *prima facie* different approaches defined the same class of functions and of sets. These are the precise analogues of the intuitive notions of computable function and effectively generated set.

With the formal version of computability at hand, we can talk of an

---

Parts of this paper were presented in 1979 in talks at the University of Chicago, Iowa State University and the University of Connecticut; received by the editors June 1, 1980.

1980 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary 03D30; Secondary 03D25.

<sup>1</sup>The author was partially supported by a grant of CNR, Italy.