

ON MONOTONE VS. NONMONOTONE INDUCTION

BY LEO A. HARRINGTON AND ALEXANDER S. KECHRIS

Communicated by S. Feferman, May 11, 1976

1. Introduction. For definitions and notation in what follows, see [4] and [5]. If A is an infinite set and $\varphi(y_1 \cdots y_n, R, Y_1 \cdots Y_m) = \varphi(\bar{y}, R, \bar{Y})$ is a second order relation on A , we call φ *operative* if R is n -ary. For such a φ let

$$I_\varphi^\xi = \bigcup_{\eta < \xi} I_\varphi^\eta \left\{ (\bar{y}, \bar{Y}) : \varphi(\bar{y}, \left\{ \bar{y} : (\bar{y}, \bar{Y}) \in \bigcup_{\eta < \xi} I_\varphi^\eta \right\}, \bar{Y}) \right\} \quad \text{and} \quad I_\varphi = \bigcup_\xi I_\varphi^\xi.$$

If F is a collection of second order relations (for simplicity *collection of operators*) on A , then $F\text{-IND}^2$ is the class of all second order relations of the form $\psi(\bar{x}, \bar{Y}) \Leftrightarrow I_\varphi(\bar{a}, \bar{x}, \bar{Y})$, for some operative $\varphi(\bar{u}, \bar{x}, R, \bar{Y})$ in F and constants \bar{a} from A . As in [5] $F\text{-IND}$ is the class of all *relations* on A which are in $F\text{-IND}^2$. We let F^{mon} be the collection of all operative $\varphi(\bar{y}, R, \bar{Y})$ in F which are *monotone* on R and we put $\cap F = \{ \cap \varphi : \varphi \in F \}$. A collection of operators F on A is *adequate* if it contains all the $\Pi_1^0(C)$ second order relations, where C is a coding scheme on A and is closed under \wedge, \vee, \exists^A and trivial combinatorial substitutions. Let $WF(S) \Leftrightarrow S$ be a well-founded relation on $A \Leftrightarrow \cap \exists a_0 a_1 a_2 \cdots \forall i(a_{i+1}, a_i) \in S$.

THEOREM 1. *Let F be an adequate collection of operators on an infinite set A . If $WF \in \cap F$ and $\cap F \subseteq F^{\text{mon}}\text{-IND}^2$, then $F\text{-IND}^2 = F^{\text{mon}}\text{-IND}^2$.*

2. Elementary induction. Let EL be the collection of all the elementary second order relations on a structure $A = \langle A, R_1 \dots R_l \rangle$ and let EL^+ be the subcollection of EL^{mon} consisting of all operative $\varphi(\bar{x}, R, \bar{Y})$ which are definable by positive in R elementary formulas. One usually writes $EL^+\text{-IND}^2 = \text{IND}^2$ and $EL^+\text{-IND} = \text{IND}$. Clearly $\text{IND}^2 \subseteq EL^{\text{mon}}\text{-IND}^2 \subseteq EL\text{-IND}^2$ and it is well known that IND^2 is a tiny part of $EL\text{-IND}^2$ for (say) almost acceptable A 's. By a basic result of Kleene and Spector for ω and Barwise-Gandy-Moschovakis in general (see [4, §8A]), on every *countable* almost acceptable structure, $\text{IND}^2 = EL^{\text{mon}}\text{-IND}^2 (= \Pi_1^1)$. On the other hand, letting $WF^n(S) \Leftrightarrow S$ is a $2n$ -ary relation on A which is well founded (viewed as binary on A^n), we have

COROLLARY 1. *Let A be an infinite structure such that each WF^n is elementary. Then $EL^{\text{mon}}\text{-IND}^2 = EL\text{-IND}^2$.*

AMS (MOS) subject classifications (1970). Primary 02F27.

Copyright © 1976, American Mathematical Society