

RESEARCH ANNOUNCEMENTS

The purpose of this department is to provide early announcement of significant new results, with some indications of proof. Although ordinarily a research announcement should be a brief summary of a paper to be published in full elsewhere, papers giving complete proofs of results of exceptional interest are also solicited. Manuscripts more than eight typewritten double spaced pages long will not be considered as acceptable. All papers to be communicated by a Council member should be sent directly to M. H. Protter, Department of Mathematics, University of California, Berkeley, California 94720.

HOLOMORPHIC MAPPINGS INTO TIGHT MANIFOLDS

BY DONALD A. EISENMAN

Communicated by Richard Palais, May 15, 1969

This paper gives an extension (*Proposition 3*) of *Theorem C* of H. Wu's paper [4], as well as a few other results. The terminology will be that of [4].

If M and N are complex manifolds $A(M, N)$ will denote the set of holomorphic mappings between M and N . It is a topological space under the topology of uniform convergence on compact subsets of M . If f_i is a sequence in $A(M, N)$ and g is in $A(M, N)$ then $f_i \rightarrow g$ will mean that the f_i 's converge to g in this topology. A pair (N, d) , where N is a complex manifold and d is a distance on N , will be called *tight* iff $A(M, N)$ is equicontinuous with respect to d for all complex manifolds M . In fact (N, d) is tight iff $A(B^n, N)$ is equicontinuous with respect to d , where B^n here denotes the unit ball in C^n . For details see *Part I* of [4].

Our basic lemma, interesting for its own sake, is

PROPOSITION 1. *Let M be a connected complex manifold, U an open subset of M , and (N, d) be tight. For $f \in A(M, N)$ define $i_U(f) \in A(U, N)$ to be the restriction of f to U . Then i_U is a homeomorphism of $A(M, N)$ into $A(U, N)$.*

PROOF. i_U is one-to-one because U is open in M . If $f_i \rightarrow g$ in $A(M, N)$ it is clear that $i_U(f_i) \rightarrow i_U(g)$. Thus i_U is continuous, and it remains only to show that $i_U(f_i) \rightarrow i_U(g)$ in $A(U, N)$ implies that $f_i \rightarrow g$ in $A(M, N)$.

Suppose $i_U(f_i) \rightarrow i_U(g)$ in $A(U, N)$. Let $\mathfrak{u} = \{V \subset M: V \text{ open in } M \text{ and } i_V(f_i) \rightarrow i_V(g) \text{ in } A(V, N)\}$. Partially order \mathfrak{u} by inclusion. If $V_1 \subset V_2 \subset V_3 \subset \dots$ is a totally ordered chain in \mathfrak{u} , it is clear that $V = \cup V_j$ is a member of \mathfrak{u} . Since $U \in \mathfrak{u}$, \mathfrak{u} is not empty, so Zorn's Lemma implies that \mathfrak{u} contains maximal elements. Let U_0 be one such. We will show that $U_0 = M$.

If not, $\partial U_0 = \overline{U_0} - U_0$ is not empty. Let $x \in \partial U_0$ and $\epsilon > 0$. Since N