

# THE GALOIS THEORY OF INFINITE PURELY INSEPARABLE EXTENSIONS

BY PAUL PONOMARENKO

Communicated by A. D. Mostow, June 20, 1965

**Introduction.** Given a field  $K$  of characteristic  $p \neq 0$ , denote by  $\text{Der}(K)$  the set of all derivations of  $K$ . Then  $\text{Der}(K)$  is a vector space over  $K$ , and a Lie subring of the ring of additive endomorphisms of  $K$ . Moreover,  $\text{Der}(K)$  is closed under  $p$ th powers. A Lie ring satisfying this additional closure property is called a *restricted Lie ring*. Take any subfield  $F$  of  $K$  such that  $K$  over  $F$  is of exponent one, i.e.,  $K^p \subset F$ . Denote by  $\text{Der}(K/F)$  the set of all derivations of  $K$  which vanish on  $F$ . Then  $\text{Der}(K/F)$  is a vector subspace and restricted Lie subring of  $\text{Der}(K)$ . On the other hand, take a restricted Lie subring  $D$  of  $\text{Der}(K)$  which is also a vector subspace over  $K$ . Let  $\Phi(D) = \{x \in K \mid \lambda(x) = 0 \text{ for every } \lambda \in D\}$ . Then  $\Phi(D)$  is a subfield of  $K$  such that  $K$  over  $\Phi(D)$  is of exponent one. This gives a one-to-one correspondence between subfields  $F$  of  $K$  over which  $K$  is *finite* and of exponent one, and restricted Lie subrings of *finite* dimension over  $K$  (cf. [1] and [2]). The purpose of this note is to extend this Galois correspondence to the infinite dimensional case. The first half of the correspondence is valid regardless of the dimension of  $K$  over  $F$ , i.e.,  $\Phi(\text{Der}(K/F)) = F$  if  $K^p \subset F$  [1, p. 183]. However, to establish the second half of the correspondence, one must put a stronger condition on the vector subspace of  $\text{Der}(K)$ , namely, that of  $p$ -convexity.

*p-convexity.* Let us fix a field  $K$  of characteristic  $p \neq 0$ . Since we shall only consider subfields  $F$  for which  $K^p \subset F$ , we should *designate*  $K^p$  as our base field. For every  $x \in K$ , let  $H_x$  denote the set of all  $\lambda$  in  $\text{Der}(K)$  such that  $\lambda(x) = 0$ .  $H_x$  may be regarded as a "distinguished" hyperplane in  $\text{Der}(K)$ . We call a subspace  $V$  of  $\text{Der}(K)$  *p-convex* if  $V = \bigcap (V + H_x)$ , the intersection being taken over all  $x \in K$ .

**THEOREM 1.** *Let  $V$  be a vector subspace of  $\text{Der}(K)$  which is  $p$ -convex, and let  $F = \Phi(V)$ . Then  $\text{Der}(K/F) = V$ , which implies that every  $p$ -convex subspace of  $\text{Der}(K)$  is automatically a restricted Lie subring of  $\text{Der}(K)$ . Conversely, if  $F$  is a subfield of  $K$  containing  $K^p$ , then  $\text{Der}(K/F)$  is  $p$ -convex.*

**PROOF.** Let  $\lambda \in \text{Der}(K/F)$ . Take any element  $x$  of  $K$ . If  $x$  is in  $F$ , then  $\lambda(x) = 0 = \mu(x)$  for any  $\mu \in V$ . Suppose that  $x$  is not in  $F$ . Let  $E_x = K^p(x)$ . Then  $V$  restricted to  $E_x$  must be a nonzero vector subspace of  $D(E_x, K)$ , the set of all derivations of  $E_x$  into  $K$ . Denote by