

# ORDINARY MEANS IMPLY RECURRENT MEANS

BY R. V. CHACON

Communicated by J. L. Doob, July 20, 1964

**Introduction.** Let  $(X, \mathfrak{M}, \mu)$  be a  $\sigma$ -finite measure space, let  $T$  be a positive linear operator from  $L_1(X)$  to  $L_1(X)$  whose norm is less than or equal to one. Let  $\{w_k\}$ ,  $k \geq 1$ , be a sequence of non-negative numbers whose sum is one and let  $\{u_k\}$ ,  $k \geq 0$ , be the sequence defined by  $u_n = w_1 u_{n-1} + \dots + w_n u_0$ ,  $u_0 = 1$ . Set, for any pair of functions  $f$  in  $L_1(X)$  and  $p$  in  $L_1(X)$ ,  $p \geq 0$ ,  $Q_n(f, p) = Z_n(f)/Z_n(p)$ ,  $Z_n(g) = \sum_0^{n-1} u_k T^k g$ . Baxter, [2], [3] utilizing [6], has obtained the following result:

**THEOREM 1.** *The ratios  $Q_n(f, p)$  have a finite limit almost everywhere on the set where  $p > 0$ .*

The method of proof given by Baxter is a considerable and non-trivial application of the methods given in [4]. The theorem reduces to that of [4] if one takes  $w_1 = 1$ ,  $w_k = 0$ ,  $k \geq 2$ . The purpose of the present note is to show that the theorem of [4] yields Theorem 1 directly and in a stronger form. The stronger form of Theorem 1 gives convergence almost everywhere on the set where  $\sum_0^\infty u_k T^k p > 0$  and answers a question raised in [3]. Our proof is also sufficient to yield the theorem of [1] (see [7]).

**1. Proof.** Let  $(I, \mathfrak{R}, m)$  be the measure space obtained by taking  $I$  to be the positive integers,  $\mathfrak{R}$  the Borel field of all subsets of  $I$ , and  $m$  the measure given by  $m(\{1\}) = 1$  and, for  $i \geq 2$ , by

$$m(\{i\}) = 1 - w_1 - \dots - w_{i-1}, \quad \beta_n = w_n / (1 - w_1 - \dots - w_{n-1}),$$

$$n \geq 2, \quad \beta_1 = w_1.$$

Let  $P$  be the transformation of  $L_1(I)$  to  $L_1(I)$  defined by left multiplication by the matrix

$$P = \begin{pmatrix} \beta_1 & 1 - \beta_1 & 0 & 0 & \dots \\ \beta_2 & 0 & 1 - \beta_2 & 0 & \dots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \end{pmatrix}.$$

We use  $P$  to denote the transformation and the matrix and represent the elements of  $L_1(I)$  as column vectors. It follows easily that  $\|P\| = 1$ ,